CITY OF PRESCOTT ARIZONA

Water and Wastewater Rate Study

Report / April 26, 2024





April 26, 2024

Mr. Lars Johnson Assistant Budget and Finance Director City of Prescott 201 S. Cortez St. Prescott, AZ 86303

Subject: Water and Wastewater Rate Study Report

Dear Mr. Johnson,

Raftelis Financial Consultants, Inc. (Raftelis) is pleased to provide this Water and Wastewater Rate Study Report (Report) for the City of Prescott (City) to address the current and expected financial needs of the City's water and wastewater utilities.

The major objectives of the study include the following:

- Update financial plans for the water and wastewater enterprises to ensure financial sufficiency, meet operation and maintenance (O&M) costs, ensure sufficient funding for capital replacement and refurbishment (R&R) needs, and maintain the financial health of the enterprises.
- Update water system, water resource, and wastewater development impact fees to reflect increased costs and factor in completed projects since the previous analysis completed in 2019.
- Conduct cost of service analyses for both water and wastewater customer classes.
- Design rates consistent with the City's priorities that recover customer class cost of service.

The Report summarizes the key findings and recommendations related to the development of the financial plans for the water and wastewater utilities and the development of the updated water rates.

It has been a pleasure working with you, and we thank you and the City staff for the support provided during this study.

Sincerely,

Andrew Rheem

Senior Manager

Journ Galvan

Lead Analyst

Table of Contents

| 1. 2. | EXECUTIVE SUMMARYINTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW | 1 7 |
|---------------|---|--------|
| 2.1. | REPORT ORGANIZATION | |
| 2.2. | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | |
| 2.3. | RELIANCE ON CITY PROVIDED DATA | |
| 3. | UTILITY CUSTOMER DATA | |
| 3.1. | WATER | 9 |
| 3.2. | WASTEWATER | |
| 3.3. | GROWTH ASSUMPTIONS | |
| 4. | WATER FUND FINANCIAL PLAN | |
| 4.1. | CASH FLOW ANALYSIS | 12 |
| 4.2. | DEBT PROCEEDS, ISSUANCE COSTS AND DEBT SERVICE | 12 |
| 4.3. | OPERATING FUND | 13 |
| 4.3.1. | Reserves and Cash Balance | |
| 4.3.2. | Revenues | |
| 4.3.3. | Revenue Requirements | |
| 4.3.4. | Transfers to Alternative Water Fund | |
| 4.3.5. | Water Transportation Fee | |
| 4.3.6. | Transfer to Capital Improvement Fund | |
| 4.3.7. | System Development Impact Fee Fund Loans and Loan Repayment | |
| 4.4. | ALTERNATIVE WATER FUND | |
| 4.4.1. | Reserves and Cash Balance | |
| 4.4.2. | Revenues | |
| 4.4.3. | Source and Uses | |
| 4.5. | WATER RESOURCE MONITORING FUND | |
| 4.5.1. | Reserves and Cash Balance | |
| 4.5.2. | Sources and Uses | |
| 4.6. | AQUIFER PROTECTION FEE FUND | |
| 4.6.1. | Reserves and Cash Balance | |
| 4.6.2. | Sources and Uses | |
| 4.7. | CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FUND | |
| 4.7.1. | Source and Uses | |
| 4.8. | WATER SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE FUNDS | |
| 4.8.1. | Proposed DIFs | |
| 4.8.2. | Cash Flow Analysis | |
| 4.8.3. | Reserves and Cash Balance | |
| 4.8.4. | Source and Uses | |
| 4.8.5. | Revenue Projections | |
| 4.9. 4.9.1 | WATER RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE | 40 |
| 491 | Cash Flow Analysis | 19 |

| 4.9.2. | Reserves and Cash Balance | |
|-------------------------|---|-----------|
| 4.9.3. | Source and Uses | |
| 4.9.4. | Revenue Projections | 19 |
| 5. | WATER COST OF SERVICE | |
| 5.1. | TEST-YEAR | |
| 5.1.1. | Revenue Requirements | |
| 5.2. | FUNCTIONAL COST COMPONENTS | |
| | ALLOCATION FACTORS | |
| 5.3.1. | Allocation to Cost Components | |
| 5.3.2. | Allocation to Customer Classes | |
| 5.3.3. 6. | Outside City and Chino Valley Charges | .26 |
| 6.1. | CURRENT RATES | 26 |
| 6.2. | PROPOSED RATES | 26 |
| 6.3. | AQUIFER PROTECTION FEE (APF) | 30 |
| 6.4. | TYPICAL MONTHLY WATER BILLS | 31 |
| 7. | WASTEWATER FUND FINANCIAL PLAN | .32 |
| 7.1. | CASH FLOW ANALYSIS | 32 |
| 7.2. | DEBT PROCEEDS, ISSUANCE COSTS AND DEBT SERVICE | 32 |
| 7.3. | OPERATING FUND | 33 |
| 7.3.1. | Reserves and Cash Balance | |
| 7.3.2. | Revenues | 34 |
| 7.3.3. | Revenue Requirements | 34 |
| 7.4. | CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FUND | 35 |
| 7.4.1. | Source and Uses | |
| 7.5. | WASTEWATER SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE (DIF) FUND | 35 |
| 7.5.1. | Proposed DIFs | 36 |
| 7.5.2. | Cash Flow Analysis | 36 |
| 7.5.3. | Reserves and Cash Balance | 36 |
| 7.5.4. | Source and Uses | |
| 7.5.5. | Revenue Projections | 36 |
| | WASTEWATER COST OF SERVICE | |
| 8.1. | TEST-YEAR | |
| 8.2. | REVENUE REQUIREMENTS | |
| 8.3. | UNITS OF SERVICE | |
| | ALLOCATION TO COST COMPONENTS | |
| 8.5. | ALLOCATION TO CUSTOMER CLASSES | |
| 8.5.1. 9. | Unit Cost of Service | |
| 9.1. | CURRENT RATES | 41 |
| 9.2. | PROPOSED RATES | 41 |
| 9.3. | TYPICAL MONTHLY WASTEWATER BILLS | 42 |
| | Tables | |
| Table 1-1: \(\) | Water Fund Key Results and Drivers | 1 |

| Table 1-2: Propo | sed Monthly Service Charge – per bill | 2 |
|--------------------|---|---|
| Table 1-3: Propo | sed Water Volume Charges – per 1,000 gallons | 2 |
| | sed Aquifer Protection Fee | |
| Table 1-5: Waste | water Key Results and Drivers | 4 |
| Table 1-6: Propo | sed Wastewater Monthly Service Charge and Volume Rates | 4 |
| Table 3-1: Custo | mer Accounts, Bills, and Water Use | 7 |
| Table 3-2: Waste | water Customer Accounts and Usage Data | 8 |
| Table 3-3: Water | EDU and Account Growth | 9 |
| Table 3-4: Waste | water EDU and Account Growth | 9 |
| Table 4-1: Water | Fund Key Results and Drivers 1 | 0 |
| Table 4-2: Water | Capital Funding Summary 1 | 1 |
| Table 4-3: Water | Fund CIP Debt Funded Projects 1 | 1 |
| Table 4-4: Water | System Development Impact Fees 1 | 6 |
| Table 5-1: Water | FY 2028-29 Cost of Service 1 | 9 |
| Table 5-2: FY 202 | 28-29 Class Cost of Service and Revenue Comparison2 | 2 |
| Table 5-3: FY 202 | 28-29 Utility vs. Cash Needs Basis Cost of Service2 | 3 |
| Table 5-4: Rate of | of Return for Utility Basis Cost of Service2 | 3 |
| Table 5-5: Test-Y | ear FY 2022-23 Utility Basis Cost of Service2 | 4 |
| Table 6-1: Propo | sed Water Monthly Service Charge (per bill)2 | 6 |
| | sed Water Volume Charges (per 1,000)2 | |
| Table 6-3: Percei | ntage of Annual Water Sales Revenues by Tier2 | 7 |
| Table 6-4: Percei | ntage of Revenue Generated by Base Charge and Tier 1 Rates2 | 8 |
| • | sed Aquifer Protection Fee2 | |
| Table 7-1: Waste | water Key Results and Drivers2 | 9 |
| Table 7-2: Waste | water Capital Funding Summary3 | 0 |
| Table 7-3: Waste | water Debt Funded Projects 3 | 0 |
| Table 7-4: Waste | water System Development Impact Fees 3 | 3 |
| Table 8-1: Waste | water - Total Cost of Service | 5 |
| Table 8-2: FY 202 | 28-29 Class Cost of Service and Revenue Comparison | 8 |
| Table 9-1: Propo | sed Monthly Service Charge and Volume Pates | a |

Appendices

APPENDIX A: WATER FINANCIAL PLAN APPENDIX B: WATER COST OF SERVICE

APPENDIX C: WATER RATE DESIGN

APPENDIX D: WASTEWATER FINANCIAL PLAN APPENDIX E: WASTEWATER COST OF SERVICE

APPENDIX F: WASTEWATER RATE DESIGN



1. Executive Summary

The City of Prescott (City) retained Raftelis Financial Consultants, Inc. (Raftelis) to complete a water and wastewater rate study and develop a ten-year financial plan with proposed rate revenue adjustments over a five-year period of fiscal year (FY) 2024-25 through FY 2028-29.

1.1. Water Fund

Projected water sales revenue under existing rates is insufficient to meet revenue requirements while maintaining reserve balances. The City's water fund is currently in a good financial position when including restricted funds, with unrestricted cash balances decreasing through FY 2025-26. Raftelis recommends an annual revenue adjustment of:

- 13% to take effect July 1, 2024,
- 11% taking effect on January 1, 2026 followed by
- 2% annual rate revenue adjustments on January 1, 2027, 2028, and 2029.

Table 1-1 summarizes the key water fund results and drivers including maintaining the operations sub-fund cash balance at 25% of annual operations and maintenance (O&M) expenses as the key driver of indicated rate adjustments. Debt service coverage (DSC) with and without Development Impact Fees (DIFs) are above targets through FY 2028-29.

Current Year Projected **Key Results and Drivers** FY 2023-24 FY 2024-25 FY 2025-26 FY 2026-27 FY 2027-28 FY 2028-29 **Operating Fund Proposed Revenue Increases Annual Increases** 0.0% 13.0% 11.0% 2.0% 2.0% 2.0% **Cumulative Increase** 0.0% 13.0% 25.4% 27.9% 30.5% 33.1% Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance (\$11,516,397) (\$9.604.922) (\$530,757) \$3,086,183 \$5,654,567 \$8.578.412 20,431,448 **Ending Operating Fund Balance** 13.247.964 3.643.043 3.112.286 6,198,469 11.853.036 Operations Sub-Fund Reserve (Target 25%) 114% 30% 25% 47% 87% 146% Consolidated Water Fund Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Target 150%) 195% 228% 246% 264% 260% 292% 144% Debt Service Coverage Ratio (w/o DIFs - Target 125%) 192% 193% 205% 202% 229% Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance \$433,680 (\$10,819,882) (\$816,749) \$3,121,256 \$5,767,007 \$9,082,629 Beginning Fund Balance 20.933.476 21.367.155 10.547.273 9.730.524 12.851.780 18.618.787 **Ending Fund Balance** \$21.367.155 \$10.547.273 \$9.730.524 \$12.851.780 \$18.618.787 \$27,701,416

Table 1-1: Water Fund Key Results and Drivers

Revenue requirements and cost of service allocations described in this report provide the basis for designing water rates. Raftelis developed cost of service rates for the FY 2028-29 test year that proportionately recover class cost of service from each customer class. Raftelis developed proposed rates for each year of the study period that provide a smooth transition from existing rates to FY 2028-29 customer class cost of service rates and generate the additional revenue needed to adequately meet revenue requirements. Tables 1-2 and 1-3 summarize current and proposed FY 2024-25 through FY 2028-29 monthly service charges and volume rates. The proposed rates maintain existing tier allowances and price ratios or multipliers for Tiers 2, 3 and 4 relative to Tier 1. The proposed rates phase to the test-year FY 2028-29 total customer class cost of service over the five-year period while generating additional revenues

annually. The first rate adjustments anticipate a July 1, 2024 effective date with subsequent adjustments effective January 1st each year starting in 2026.

Table 1-2: Proposed Monthly Service Charge – per bill

| | | Proposed Water Base Charge (per bill) | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|
| Meter | | | | | | | | |
| Size | Current | 7/1/2024 | 1/1/2026 | 1/1/2027 | 1/1/2028 | 1/1/2029 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 5/8" | \$15.56 | \$17.58 | \$19.52 | \$19.91 | \$20.30 | \$20.71 | | |
| 3/4" | 16.41 | 18.54 | 20.58 | 20.99 | 21.41 | 21.84 | | |
| 1" | 18.58 | 20.99 | 23.30 | 23.77 | 24.25 | 24.73 | | |
| 1 1/2" | 22.84 | 25.81 | 28.65 | 29.22 | 29.80 | 30.40 | | |
| 2" | 30.16 | 34.08 | 37.83 | 38.59 | 39.36 | 40.15 | | |
| 3" | 44.87 | 50.70 | 56.28 | 57.41 | 58.56 | 59.73 | | |
| 4" | 65.87 | 74.43 | 82.62 | 84.28 | 85.96 | 87.68 | | |
| 6" | 118.35 | 133.73 | 148.44 | 151.41 | 154.44 | 157.53 | | |
| 8" | 181.46 | 205.05 | 227.61 | 232.16 | 236.80 | 241.54 | | |
| Percent Change | | 13% | 11% | 2% | 2% | 2% | | |

Table 1-3: Proposed Water Volume Charges – per 1,000 gallons

| _ | | 1 | | Proposed Volume Rate (per 1,000 gallons) | | | | ns) |
|---------------------------------------|--------|----------|---------|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Customer Class | Tier W | idth (1) | Current | 7/1/2024 | 1/1/2026 | 1/1/2027 | 1/1/2028 | 1/1/2029 |
| | Start | to End | | | | | | |
| Inside City | Gal | lons | | | | | | |
| Single Family | | | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 | 0 | 3,000 | \$4.44 | \$5.10 | \$5.73 | \$5.86 | \$5.99 | \$6.12 |
| Tier 2 | 3,001 | 10,000 | 6.66 | 7.65 | 8.60 | 8.79 | 8.98 | 9.18 |
| Tier 3 | 10,001 | 20,000 | 9.99 | 11.47 | 12.89 | 13.18 | 13.47 | 13.77 |
| Tier 4 | Over | 20,001 | 19.98 | 22.95 | 25.79 | 26.36 | 26.94 | 27.54 |
| Multi-Family | | | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 | 0 | 1,700 | \$4.18 | \$4.80 | \$5.40 | \$5.52 | \$5.64 | \$5.77 |
| Tier 2 | 1,701 | 5,000 | 6.27 | 7.21 | 8.11 | 8.29 | 8.47 | 8.66 |
| Tier 3 | 5,001 | 10,000 | 9.41 | 10.81 | 12.15 | 12.42 | 12.70 | 12.98 |
| Tier 4 | Over | 10,001 | 18.81 | 21.62 | 24.31 | 24.85 | 25.41 | 25.97 |
| Non-Residential | | | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 | 0 | 6,000 | \$4.76 | \$5.36 | \$5.93 | \$6.04 | \$6.16 | \$6.28 |
| Tier 2 | 6,001 | 28,000 | 7.14 | 8.04 | 8.89 | 9.06 | 9.24 | 9.42 |
| Tier 3 | 28,001 | 60,000 | 10.71 | 12.05 | 13.34 | 13.60 | 13.86 | 14.13 |
| Tier 4 | Over | 60,001 | 21.42 | 24.11 | 26.67 | 27.19 | 27.72 | 28.26 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Construction/Hydrant Water Dispensing | All U | Jsage | \$5.43 | \$7.00 | \$8.33 | \$8.57 | \$8.81 | \$9.42 |
| Station | All U | Jsage | \$14.00 | \$14.69 | \$15.27 | \$15.38 | \$15.49 | \$15.76 |

⁽¹⁾ Multi-Family tier allocations are provided per dwelling unit. Non-residential customer tier allocations increase by meter size. 5/8" x 3/4" (smallest meter size) are summarized in this table.

The City assesses an Aquifer Protection Fee (APF), established in 2015, to its water customers. The purpose of the APF is to provide a dedicated funding source for design, construction, and operation of facilities and the implementation of other measures and practices necessary to maintain and improve water quality mandated by state and federal law applicable to surface, reuse, and recharge assets. The City maintains a restricted water fund into which all APF revenues are deposited and the water quality activities are funded.

Table 1-4 summarizes the proposed APF for FY 2023-24 through FY 2028-29. The APF is proposed to be maintained at its current rate but may be evaluated in a future rate study.

Meter Size Current 7/1/2024 1/1/2026 1/1/2027 1/1/2028 1/1/2029 5/8" \$0.72 \$0.72 \$0.72 \$0.72 \$0.72 \$0.72 3/4" 1.08 1.08 1.08 1.08 1.08 1.08 1" 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1 1/2" 2.40 2.40 2.40 2.40 2.40 2.40 2" 3.84 3.84 3.84 3.84 3.84 3.84 3" 7.20 7.20 7.20 7.20 7.20 7.20 4" 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 6" 24.00 24.00 24.00 24.00 24.00 24.00 8" 38.40 38.40 38.40 38.40 38.40 38.40 **Percent Change** 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%

Table 1-4: Proposed Aquifer Protection Fee

1.2. Wastewater Fund

Projected wastewater sales revenue under existing rates is insufficient to meet revenue requirements while maintaining reserve balances. The City's wastewater fund is currently in an acceptable financial position with cashfunded capital expenditures depleting cash reserves. However, future capital expenses are also expected to be debt and cash funded provides sustained rate pressure when combined with annual debt service repayments. Raftelis recommends annual revenue adjustments summarized in Table 1-5 of:

- 18% effective July 1, 2024,
- 17% January 1st 2026,
- 8% January 1st 2027,
- 7% January 1st 2028, and
- 6% January 1st 2029.

The drivers for the wastewater revenue increases include the need to exceed debt service coverage target of 150% annual debt service (including DIFs) from outstanding and planned debt issuances while exceeding an operating fund cash reserve target of 16% (60 days of O&M) is exceeded each year of the five-year planning period. The cumulative effects of inflation in operating costs, interest rates, and capital costs have depleted cash reserves requiring additional debt which combine to place additional pressure on rates and user charges.

Table 1-5: Wastewater Key Results and Drivers

| | Current Year | | | Projected | | |
|--|----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| Key Results and Drivers | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 |
| Operating Fund | | | | | | |
| Proposed Revenue Increases | | | | | | |
| Annual Increases | 0.0% | 18.0% | 17.0% | 8.0% | 7.0% | 6.0% |
| Cumulative Increase | 0.0% | 18.0% | 38.1% | 49.1% | 59.5% | 69.1% |
| Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | (\$20,355,170) | (\$3,763,407) | (\$1,030,497) | \$1,386,505 | \$394,078 | (\$1,537,864) |
| Ending Subfund Balance | 6,619,056 | 2,855,649 | 1,825,152 | 3,211,657 | 3,605,735 | 2,067,871 |
| Operations Sub-Fund Reserve (Target 16%) | 70% | 29% | 17% | 30% | 32% | 18% |
| Consolidated Wastewater Fund | | | | | | |
| Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Target 150%) | 145% | 171% | 156% | 159% | 150% | 154% |
| Debt Service Coverage Ratio (w/o DIFs - Target 120%) | 133% | 160% | 142% | 137% | 131% | 136% |
| Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | (\$910,507) | (\$3,763,407) | (\$1,030,497) | \$1,386,505 | \$394,078 | (\$1,537,864) |
| Beginning Fund Balance | 7,529,562 | 6,619,055 | 2,855,648 | 1,825,151 | 3,211,656 | 3,605,734 |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$6,619,055 | \$2,855,648 | \$1,825,151 | \$3,211,656 | \$3,605,734 | \$2,067,870 |
| Total Wastewater Fund Reserve (Target 16%) | 70% | 29% | 17% | 30% | 32% | 18% |

Revenue requirements and cost of service allocations described in subsequent sections of this report provide the basis for designing wastewater rates. Revenue requirements show the need for adjustments and the level of revenue required. Table 1-6 summarize proposed monthly service charges and volume rates that provide a smooth transition from current rates to FY 2028-29 customer class cost of service rates and generate the additional revenue needed to adequately meet revenue requirements. Proposed rates maintain the current monthly service charge and adjust volume rates per 1,000 gallons to recover customer class cost of service for a FY 2028-29 with annual rate adjustments increasing annual revenues in FY 2024-25 through FY 2028-29.

Table 1-6: Proposed Wastewater Monthly Service Charge and Volume Rates

| Line No | Description | Current | 7/1/2024 | 1/1/2026 | 1/1/2027 | 1/1/2028 | 1/1/2029 |
|---------|------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1 | Annual % Increase in Revenue-Rates | | 18% | 17% | 8% | 7% | 6% |
| | Monthly Service Charge | | | | | | |
| 2 | Residential | \$21.47 | \$25.33 | \$29.64 | \$32.01 | \$34.25 | \$36.31 |
| 3 | Nonresidential (General) | 21.47 | 25.33 | 29.64 | 32.01 | 34.25 | 36.31 |
| | Volume Charge per 1,000 gallons | | | | | | |
| 4 | Residential | \$7.72 | \$8.97 | \$10.36 | \$11.13 | \$11.86 | \$12.52 |
| 5 | Nonresidential (General) | 7.38 | 8.72 | 10.21 | 11.03 | 11.81 | 12.52 |
| 6 | Septage Hauler | 101.25 | 200.00 | 206.00 | 212.18 | 218.55 | 225.11 |

Septage hauler rates were determined by the City with a proposed rate of \$200.00 per kgal increasing the rate from \$101.25 per kgal to be effective July 1, 2024 with subsequent annual increases for inflation, projected at 3% per year and effective January 1st each year.

2. Introduction and Overview

The City retained Raftelis to complete a water and wastewater rate study and develop a ten-year financial plan with proposed revenue adjustments over a five-year period, FY 2024-25 through FY 2028-29.

The City operates a water and wastewater system providing service to City residents within the City's boundaries and to customers located outside the City boundaries within the Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe Reservation (Reservation)¹, Yavapai County (Outside City) and the Town of Chino Valley (Chino Valley).

Raftelis is assisting the City in the evaluation of DIFs to be assessed to new development as a revenue source that funds growth-related capital improvements. The current fees were implemented on August 1, 2019 as outlined in the City of Prescott 2019 DIF Report issued by Raftelis. The formal process to update the City water system, water resource and wastewater DIFs has been initiated and draft results have been incorporated within this report. Revenue projections maintain current DIFs for FY 2023-24 through FY 2025-26, an 18-month period, as there is an up to 24-month delay when DIF increases may be assessed to approved developments. If DIFs decrease, they are projected to be effective immediately starting January 1 2025.

As part of the Rate Study, Raftelis assisted the City to:

- Analyze the existing water and wastewater rate structure for appropriateness and sufficiency of revenue generated.
- Develop separate 10-year water and wastewater financial plans based on information gathered during the study including annual system revenue increases.
- Complete a cost of service analysis for the water and wastewater utilities using a FY 2028-29 test year².
- Develop alternative five-year water and wastewater rates for FY 2024-25 through FY 2028-29 that Council can consider for adoption effective July 1, 2024 and January 1st of each year thereafter starting in 2026.

2.1. Report Organization

Our report to the City contains nine sections as follows:

- Executive Summary
- Introduction and Overview
- Utility Customer Data
- Water Fund Financial Plan
- Water Cost of Service
- Water Rate Design
- Wastewater Fund Financial Plan
- Wastewater Cost of Service
- Wastewater Rate Design

¹ Reservation accounts are assessed Inside City customer rates per the contract.

² The City's fiscal year begins July 1 and ends June 30. FY 2024-25 refers to the fiscal year ending June 30, 2025.

The report contains six appendices including the complete water financial plan and customer data (Appendix A), water FY 2028-29 cost of service (Appendix B), water proposed rates FY 2024-25 through FY 2028-29 (Appendix C), wastewater financial plan and customer data (Appendix D), wastewater cost of service (Appendix E), and wastewater proposed rates FY 2024-25 through FY 2028-29 (Appendix F).

2.2. Acknowledgements

On behalf of the project team, we would like to acknowledge the commitment and contributions provided by several members of the City's Public Works and Finance Departments in completing this project. We would like to recognize City staff for their input and guidance throughout the course of this study.

2.3. Reliance on City Provided Data

During this project, the City provided Raftelis with a variety of technical information from master plans, capital improvement project estimates, and audited and unaudited financial results, including customer, cost and revenue data. Raftelis did not independently assess or test for the accuracy of such data – historic or projected. We have relied on this data in the formulation of our findings and subsequent recommendations, as well as in the preparation of this report.

As is often the case, there will be differences between actual and projected data, and some of the assumptions used in this report will not be realized, and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur. Therefore, there are likely to be differences between the data or results projected in this report and actual results achieved and those differences may be material. As such, we take no responsibility for the accuracy of data or projections provided by or prepared on behalf of the City, nor do we have any responsibility for updating this report for events occurring after the date of this report.

3. Utility Customer Data

3.1. Water

As of June 2023, the City served approximately 25,000 retail water customers inside the City, outside the City limits (County), and in Chino Valley. The City also serves accounts within the Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe Reservation (Reservation) which consists of approximately 1400 acres adjacent to the City. These accounts are divided into the following three customer classes:

- Single Family Residential
- Multi-Family Residential
- Nonresidential

Table 3-1: Customer Accounts, Bills, and Water Use

| | Number of | Number of | Avg. Water Use |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| Customer Class | Accounts | Bills | Per Bill (gal) |
| Inside City | | | |
| Single-Family | 19,149 | 229,793 | 4,374 |
| Multi-Family | 770 | 9,234 | 21,304 |
| Nonresidential | 1,978 | 23,737 | 27,111 |
| Reservation | 61 | 731 | 35,626 |
| Reservation - MF | 2 | 24 | 508,161 |
| Total Inside City | 21,961 | 263,531 | 596,576 |
| | | | |
| Outside City | | | |
| Single-Family | 2,360 | 28,317 | 3,894 |
| Multi-Family | 16 | 192 | 71,708 |
| Nonresidential | 38 | 459 | 49,096 |
| Total Outside City | 2,414 | 28,968 | 124,698 |
| | | | |
| Chino Valley | | | |
| Single-Family | 714 | 8,571 | 5,253 |
| Multi-Family | 1 | 12 | 168,113 |
| Nonresidential | 37 | 444 | 18,036 |
| Total Chino Valley | 752 | 9,027 | 191,402 |
| | | | |
| System-Wide Total | 25,127 | 301,526 | N/A |

Single family residential customers include only those types of residential development, designed as a single housing unit. Multi-family residential customers include all residential structures that contain multiple separate housing units. Nonresidential customers include all other types of customers that are neither single family nor multi-family

residential. Additionally, Reservation accounts have been called out as an additional customer class. Reservation accounts include nonresidential and master metered mixed use which is currently billed as a multi-family connection.

The City also maintains a bulk water dispensing station that provides potable water based on a per thousand gallon volume charge basis. Table 3-1 summarizes the fiscal year FY 2022-23 customer data: number of accounts, number of bills, and the average annual per bill water use for FY 2018-19, FY 2019-20, FY 2021-22, and FY 2022-23 in gallons (gal) for each City customer classification (FY 2020-21 was an outlier and excluded due to COVID).

The water rate and financial plan presented in the balance of this report is based on projections derived from the current number of accounts, dwelling units and volumes in 1,000 gallon increments (kgal) adjusted for growth and reduced for anticipated conservation. Annual bills, water use, and revenues under the current rates are detailed in Appendix A.

3.2. Wastewater

As of November 2023, the City served approximately 21,100 wastewater customers within the City's service area and these accounts are divided into residential and non-residential customer classes. Residential customers include all types of residential development, including multi-family housing. Non-residential customers include all other types of customers. The City has collapsed non-residential customers to a single rate per kgal. Septage haulers which discharge at the wastewater treatment plant are also included as a final customer class.

Table 3-2 summarizes the number of accounts and number of bills for each City customer classification in FY 2022-23 and the average volume using FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 sewer volumes. The wastewater rate and financial plan presented in the balance of this report is based on projections derived from the current number of accounts, dwelling units, and billed volumes in 1,000 gallons (kgals) adjusted for growth and reduced for anticipated conservation.

| | Number of | Number of | Avg Volume |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| Customer Class | Accounts | Bills | per Bill (gal) |
| Residential | 19,304 | 231,651 | 4,217 |
| All Non- Residential | 1,401 | 16,806 | 20,123 |
| Septage Hauler | 1 | 12 | 205,875 |
| System-Wide Total | 20,706 | 248,469 | N/A |

Table 3-2: Wastewater Customer Accounts and Usage Data

The wastewater rate and financial plan presented in the balance of this report is based on projections derived from the current number of accounts and billed sewer volumes. Billed sewer volume is calculated annually based on each account's average winter consumption or average monthly water use during October through March monthly water usage. Annual bills, water use, and revenues under the current rates are detailed in Appendix D.

3.3. Growth Assumptions

Annual growth assumptions are included in Appendix A and D projections of increased customer bills, volume sales and one-time DIF revenues. Growth assumptions included within the rate study were adjusted to be lower than the growth assumptions underlying water demand and sewer flow projections developed within the December 2023 City

of Prescott Land Use Assumptions (LUA) and Infrastructure Improvements Plan (IIP) and are incorporated within the rate study. For this Study, growth in water accounts ranges from 0.75% to 1.30% per year over the 10-year study period. Growth in residential wastewater accounts ranges from 0.75% to 1.30% per year over the 10-year study period as there are fewer overall wastewater accounts on the system compared to water accounts. The growth also drives Equivalent Development Units (EDU) which drives one-time water, water resource and wastewater DIF revenues of 0.75% to 1.30% per year for both utilities applied to different number of EDUs by utility and/or service area.

Tables 3-3 and 3-4 summarize annual water and wastewater EDU and account growth over the study period. Account growth is used to project water and wastewater demands for service using the average of FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23 water use and wastewater volume projected to decrease 0.50% per account per year over the study period to reflect continued conservation and use of more efficient water using devices (e.g., toilets).

Table 3-3: Water EDU and Account Growth

| Fiscal Year | Growth | EDUs | Accounts |
|-------------|--------|------|----------|
| | | | |
| FY 2023-24 | 0.75% | 237 | 207 |
| FY 2024-25 | 0.75% | 238 | 208 |
| FY 2025-26 | 1.30% | 416 | 364 |
| FY 2026-27 | 1.30% | 422 | 369 |
| FY 2027-28 | 1.30% | 427 | 373 |
| FY 2028-29 | 1.30% | 433 | 378 |
| FY 2029-30 | 1.30% | 438 | 383 |
| FY 2030-31 | 1.30% | 444 | 388 |
| FY 2031-32 | 1.30% | 450 | 393 |
| FY 2032-33 | 1.30% | 456 | 398 |

Table 3-4: Wastewater EDU and Account Growth

| Fiscal Year | Growth | EDUs | Accounts |
|-------------|--------|------|----------|
| | | | |
| FY 2023-24 | 0.75% | 195 | 155 |
| FY 2024-25 | 0.75% | 197 | 156 |
| FY 2025-26 | 1.30% | 344 | 273 |
| FY 2026-27 | 1.30% | 348 | 277 |
| FY 2027-28 | 1.30% | 353 | 280 |
| FY 2028-29 | 1.30% | 357 | 284 |
| FY 2029-30 | 1.30% | 362 | 288 |
| FY 2030-31 | 1.30% | 367 | 292 |
| FY 2031-32 | 1.30% | 372 | 295 |
| FY 2032-33 | 1.30% | 376 | 299 |

4. Water Fund Financial Plan

Raftelis developed a financial plan for the five-year rate design study period of FY 2024-25 through FY 2028-29 that is summarized in Table 4-1. Raftelis separated the total water fund into operating, special purpose and capital funds consistent with the City's budget process and procedures. The water fund financial plan is detailed in Appendix A including results through FY2032-33.

Table 4-1: Water Fund Key Results and Drivers

| | Current Year | Projected | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Key Results and Drivers | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 |
| Out and the found | | | | | | |
| Operating Fund | | | | | | |
| Proposed Revenue Increases | | | | | | |
| Annual Increases | 0.0% | 13.0% | 11.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% |
| Cumulative Increase | 0.0% | 13.0% | 25.4% | 27.9% | 30.5% | 33.1% |
| Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | (\$11,516,397) | (\$9,604,922) | (\$530,757) | \$3,086,183 | \$5,654,567 | \$8,578,412 |
| Ending Operating Fund Balance | 13,247,964 | 3,643,043 | 3,112,286 | 6,198,469 | 11,853,036 | 20,431,448 |
| Operations Sub-Fund Reserve (Target 25%) | 114% | 30% | 25% | 47% | 87% | 146% |
| Consolidated Water Fund | | | | | | |
| Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Target 150%) | 195% | 228% | 246% | 264% | 260% | 292% |
| Debt Service Coverage Ratio (w/o DIFs - Target 125%) | 144% | 192% | 193% | 205% | 202% | 229% |
| Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | \$433,680 | (\$10,819,882) | (\$816,749) | \$3,121,256 | \$5,767,007 | \$9,082,629 |
| Beginning Fund Balance | 20,933,476 | 21,367,155 | 10,547,273 | 9,730,524 | 12,851,780 | 18,618,787 |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$21,367,155 | \$10,547,273 | \$9,730,524 | \$12,851,780 | \$18,618,787 | \$27,701,416 |

4.1. Cash Flow Analysis

Projected water sales revenue under existing rates is insufficient to meet revenue requirements while maintaining reserve balances. The City's water fund is currently in a good financial position when including restricted funds, with unrestricted cash balances decreasing through FY 2025-26. Raftelis recommends an annual revenue adjustment of:

- 13% to take effect July 1, 2024,
- 11% taking effect on January 1, 2026 followed by
- 2% annual rate revenue adjustments on January 1, 2027, 2028, and 2029.

The increased revenues are generated through proposed user charges detailed in the water rate design section of the report. Proposed rate increases starting in FY 2026-27 are inflationary adjustments annually to mitigate future rate increases. Table 1-1 summarizes the key water fund results and drivers including maintaining the operations subfund cash balance at 25% of annual O&M expenses as the key driver of indicated rate adjustments. Debt service coverage (DSC) with and without Development Impact Fees (DIFs) are above targets through FY 2028-29.

4.2. Debt Proceeds, Issuance Costs and Debt Service

Projected capital funding between cash, grants, and external debt driven by growth and/or non-growth related debt issues/borrowings are summarized in Table 4-2 The resulting annual debt service is discussed in the following sections and summarized in Appendix A.

Table 4-2: Water Capital Funding Summary

| | Current Year | | | Projected | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| Total CIP with Inflation | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 |
| Total DIF Growth-Related Projects (% Paid by Fees) | \$8,349,992 | \$4,461,250 | \$1,376,375 | \$986,250 | \$1,051,375 | \$781,250 |
| SIF Projects (Service Area A) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SIF Projects (Service Area B) | 8,349,992 | 4,461,250 | 1,376,375 | 986,250 | 1,051,375 | 781,250 |
| WRDF Projects | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alternative Water Fund Projects | | | | | | |
| Debt Financed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grant / Developer / County Funded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cash Financed | 179,568 | 300,000 | 230,000 | 230,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Renewal and Replacement | | | | | | |
| Debt Financed | 40,517 | 10,125,000 | 2,975,000 | 4,381,250 | 3,093,750 | 0 |
| Grant / Developer Funded | 1,000,000 | 1,771,000 | 229,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cash Financed | 17,756,087 | 11,696,011 | 7,813,375 | 6,469,500 | 4,189,625 | 2,523,250 |
| Aquifer Protection Capital Projects | 317,249 | 682,751 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total CIP with Inflation | \$27,643,413 | \$29,036,012 | \$12,623,750 | \$12,067,000 | \$8,334,750 | \$3,304,500 |

Debt by fiscal year reflects the following assumptions and debt funded projects:

- \$23.2 million in outstanding WIFA proceeds are funding FY 2023-24 water projects.
- Future debt issuances of \$30.0 million for FY 2023-24 through FY 2032-33 for individual projects. Debt issuances through FY 2028-29 are projected as follows:
 - o \$9.6 million to fund capital project 74W (Zone 41 Mingus Pump Station, Tank and Pipeline),
 - o \$9.3 million to fund PFAS Remediation projects
 - o \$3.0 million to fund project 162W (Deep Well Ranch DA),
 - o \$2.1 million to fund project 108W (North Airport Distribution System Loop).
 - o \$4.9 million to fund project 106W (Production Well No. 6)
- The financial plan assumes all loans are for a term of 25 years, 4.5% interest rates, 2% issuance expense, require a debt service reserve equal to one year's payment, and assumes one semi-annual debt service payment in the year of issuance.

The debt funded projects for FY 2023-24 through FY 2028-29 are detailed in Table 4-3.

Table 4-3: Water Fund CIP Debt Funded Projects

| Line | Project | | Current Year | | | Projected | | |
|------|---------|--|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| No. | No. | PROJECT NAME | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 |
| 1 | 74W | Zone 41 Mingus Pump Station, Tank and Pipeline | \$40,517 | \$9,550,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2 | | PFAS Remediation | 0 | 125,000 | 2,975,000 | 4,100,000 | 2,850,000 | 0 |
| 3 | 162W | Deep Well Ranch DA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300,000 |
| 4 | 108W | North Airport Distribution System Loop | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,125,000 | 975,000 | 0 |
| 5 | 106W | Production Well No. 6 AP - New | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 400,000 |
| 6 | | Total CIP by Funding Source (with Inflation) | \$40,517 | \$9,675,000 | \$2,975,000 | \$5,225,000 | \$3,825,000 | \$700,000 |

Raftelis recommends annual updates be completed for the water utility multi-year financial plan to recognize changes in growth, water sales, operating expenses, debt and debt service, capital improvement needs and capital funding requirements.

4.3. Operating Fund

The operating fund forecasts the revenues and rate revenue requirements of the water fund over the study period. The operating fund receives revenues funding costs as well as transfers to other water funds discussed in this report.

4.3.1. RESERVES AND CASH BALANCE

The operating fund includes a FY 2023-24 starting balance of \$24.8 million. Ending reserves are targeted at 25% or 90 days of O&M. Raftelis recommends that the City exceed this minimum level of operating reserves.

4.3.2. REVENUES

Revenues for the water utility operating fund are derived from water sales, interest income and other miscellaneous revenues. Revenues from water sales are projected to increase based on the annual growth rate of EDUs of 0.75% increasing to 1.30% starting in FY 2025-26 continuing over the study period as previously discussed. Water sales revenues include monthly service charges that vary by meter size, volume rates that vary by water use tier and customer classification and a separate alternative water service volume rate.

Miscellaneous operating revenue is projected to increase 2.5% per year and include miscellaneous customer charges (e.g., turn on / turn off fees) and water connection fees.

Interest income is projected based on the starting fund balance and an interest earnings rate of 1%.

4.3.3. REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

Operating fund revenue requirements include operation and maintenance (O&M), debt service, and transfers to the other special purpose operating funds and loans to water capital funds.

O&M is organized into various divisions and includes personnel, supplies, services and materials to treat and distribute potable water annually based on the adopted City budget. These costs are funded by water sales revenues. O&M is projected to increase from \$13.0 million in FY 2023-24 to \$14.3 million in FY 2028-29. The City is also proposing additional personnel as follows:

- Aquifer Projection Fee funded position in FY 2024-25
- Revenue Services additional position in FY 2024-25
- Water Distribution additional position in FY 2026-27
- Water Administration additional position in FY 2027-28

The projected O&M by department and component are based on FY 2023-24 budget and adjusted annually for inflation as follows:

- 5% in FY 2024-25,
- 4% in FY 2025-26, and
- 3% in FY 2026-27 and annual thereafter.

Annual debt service is based on the non-growth or rate funded portion of outstanding and projected water fund debt issues ranging from a low of \$2.3 million in FY 2023-24 to a high of \$3.6 million in FY 2027-28.

Other cash inflows and outflows are comprised of a variety of sources and uses³.

4.3.4. TRANSFER TO CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND

Non-growth or rate funded capital improvements are funded within the capital improvement fund and annual transfers are made from the operating fund equal to these cash funded capital requirements.

4.3.5. SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE FUND LOANS AND LOAN REPAYMENT

Within each service area the uses of funds may not directly match projected growth and timing of new development. The timing of the facility requirements as well as current and future debt service are anticipated to create continued and sustained cash shortfalls which are met from loans from the operating funds. At the end of FY 2032-33, the balance is projected to increase to \$20.4 million. These loans are repaid as DIF revenue exceeds annual expenditure requirements considering cash funding future growth-related capital expenses. Loans from the operating fund are anticipated in FY 2023-24 through FY 2025-26, FY 2031-32, and FY 2032-33 and re-payment to the operating fund is included when funds are available.

4.4. Alternative Water Fund

The Alternative Water fund forecasts the sources and uses over the study period and are summarized in Appendix A. The Alternative Water fund is a special purpose fund established by the City many years ago to fund costs associated with securing additional water sources. An Alternative Water Surcharge was discontinued as part of the 2019 rate study, but the restricted fund has been maintained as reserves are used to fund eligible operating or capital expenses. The Alternative Water fund receives no annual inflows and transfers revenues to other water funds supporting water resource operating and/or capital requirements.

4.4.1. RESERVES AND CASH BALANCE

The alternative water fund includes a FY 2023-24 starting balance of approximately \$6.3 million and this fund does not have a minimum reserve target.

4.4.2. REVENUES

In the past, Alternative Water Surcharge revenues were the primary revenue source, but as this volume rate per 1,000 gallons has been eliminated, there isn't an annual revenue source coming into the fund. Interest income is earned on available balances and is projected for this fund as reserves are being utilized as summarized in Appendix A.

The alternative water fund cash flow analysis summarizes the uses over the study period. The transfers are anticipated to continue until the cash reserves within this fund are depleted while funding only eligible expenses.

³ The City previously paid a water transportation fee of \$9.38 per acre-foot produced at the Chino Valley Water Production facility that was eliminated in June 2019.

4.4.2.1. Alternative Water Funded CIP Projects

The Alternative Water fund funds water resource related projects or those projects within the City's multi-year capital improvement program which are designated as Alternative Water Surcharge funded projects. The cash outflow is equal to the annual requirement.

4.4.2.2. Transfers to the CA No. 1 Monitoring Fund

The Alternative Water fund also funds the City's portion of the CA No. 1 Monitoring Fund annual costs, reduced for payments provided by the Town of Prescott Valley (Prescott Valley) and the Salt River Project (SRP) who are partnering with the City on this water resource project.

4.4.2.3. Transfers to the CA No. 1 Modelling Fund

The Alternative Water fund also funds the City's portion of the CA No. 1 Modelling fund annual costs, reduced for payments provided by the Town of Prescott Valley (Prescott Valley) and the Salt River Project (SRP) who are partnering with the City on this water resource project.

4.4.2.4. Transfers to the Water Resource Development Fee Fund

The Alternative Water fund also funds 20% of the 2014 MPC debt service with the remaining 80% funded by the Water Resource Development Fee fund. The 2014 MPC debt issue funded the Big Chino Water Ranch acquisition with remaining debt service payments through FY 2032-33. The 20% corresponds to the portion of the debt issuance benefiting existing customers at the time of the issuance with 80% corresponding to the portion benefiting future growth.

4.5. CA No. 1 Monitoring Fund

The CA No. 1 Monitoring fund forecasts the sources and uses of the special purpose fund established by the City in FY 2012-13. The special purpose fund was established based on the Comprehensive Agreement No. 1 between the City, Prescott Valley and SRP members approved in September 2012⁴. The purpose is to enhance the monitoring groundwater in the Big Chino Sub-basin. The annual study period costs include both operating and capital costs per the agreement.

The CA No. 1 Monitoring fund receives transfers from the alternative water fund and intergovernmental contributions from Prescott Valley and SRP members. Interest income is projected based on the starting fund balance and an interest earnings rate of 1%.

4.5.1. RESERVES AND CASH BALANCE

The CA No. 1 Monitoring fund includes a FY 2023-24 starting balance of \$0.6 million and this fund does not have a minimum reserve target.

4.5.2. SOURCES AND USES

The CA No. 1 Monitoring fund cash flow analysis summarizes the source and uses over the study period included in Appendix A. Contributions from Prescott Valley and SRP members fund a portion of the expenses consistent with the IGA. Alternative Water fund reserves are transferred providing the funding source for the City's portion of the annual operating and capital requirements are funded within this special purpose fund. A positive fund balance is maintained each year of the study period.

⁴ City Contract No. 2013-058.

4.6. CA No. 1 Modeling Fund

The CA No. 1 Modeling fund was also established to fund water resource modeling the City and Prescott Valley. The purpose is to fund the cost to complete modeling on the effect of ground water withdrawals on the surface water flows in the Upper Verde River. The annual study period costs include both operating and capital costs per the agreement.

The CA No. 1 Modeling fund receives transfers from the Alternative Water fund and intergovernmental contributions from Prescott Valley. Interest income is projected based on the starting fund balance and an interest earnings rate of 1%.

4.6.1. RESERVES AND CASH BALANCE

The CA No. 1 Modeling fund includes a FY 2023-24 starting balance of \$0.2 million and this fund does not have a minimum reserve target.

4.6.2. SOURCES AND USES

The CA No. 1 Modeling Fund cash flow analysis summarizes the source and uses over the study period included in Appendix A.

Contributions from Prescott Valley fund a portion of the CA No. 1 Modeling expenses. The projected expenses and contributions over the five-year study period were provided by City staff consistent with the IGA. Alternative Water fund reserves are transferred from the Alternative Water fund as previously discussed providing the funding source for the City's portion of the CA No. 1 Modeling fund. Annual CA No. 1 Modeling fund operating and capital requirements are funded within this special purpose fund. A positive fund balance is maintained each year of the study period.

4.7. Aquifer Protection Fee Fund

The APF Fund is a separate monthly fee per account created effective January 1, 2015. The APF fund forecasts the sources and uses of the special purpose fund. The special purpose fund is reserved to provide a dedicated funding source for design, construction, and operating of facilities and implementation of other measures necessary to achieve and maintain surface, reuse and recharge water quality standards mandated by State of Arizona and Federal law. The City is anticipating additional capital requirements associated with source water quality protection and proactively establishing the fee prior to developing the specific anticipated projects. Also funded are personnel costs starting in FY 2023-24 of \$50,000 for part of the fiscal year and increasing to \$115,000 in FY 2024-25 and adjusted for inflation thereafter.

The APF Fund is projected to receive transfers from the operating fund equal to the annual revenues generated from APF. Interest income is projected based on the starting fund balance and an interest earnings rate of 1%. The APF is not anticipated to increase at this time.

4.7.1. RESERVES AND CASH BALANCE

The APF Fund includes a FY 2023-24 starting balance of \$2.2 million and this fund does not have a minimum reserve target. Projected sources in excess of future requirements are restricted in the special purpose fund.

4.7.2. SOURCES AND USES

The APF fund cash flow analysis summarizes the source and uses over the study period included in Appendix A. The APF as proposed will provide a dedicated funding source for design, construction and operation of facilities and implementation of other measures and practices necessary to achieve and maintain water quality requirements mandated by state and federal law applicable to surface, reuse and recharge water assets. Sources include the APF revenues generated from the new proposed charge as well as interest income earned on cash balances within the special purpose fund. One capital project has been identified as funded from the APF as well as a new staff member starting in FY 2024-25. Future identified projects, in addition to what is within the CIP over the study period, meeting the defined purpose of the dedicated funding source will be funded by this fee. A positive fund balance is maintained through FY 2028-29.

4.8. Non-Growth Capital Improvement Program Fund

The capital improvement program fund forecasts the annual sources and uses of the water fund over the study period. The capital improvement program fund receives transfers from the operating fund and funds non-growth capital improvement projects on an annual basis but does not maintain a separate fund balance. Total capital improvements are included in Appendix A. Non-growth related or those funded within this fund include capital projects designated as "O" or Operational projects as well as the non-growth related portion of eligible IIP facilities.

4.8.1. SOURCE AND USES

Sources include miscellaneous connection fee revenues or one-time fees assessed to new water connections and transfers from the operating fund equal to annual requirements. Bonds and/or loan proceeds supporting non-growth related portion of future debt are projected to be required reducing the amount that would be required from annual water sales revenues. Uses within the fund include non-growth or rate funded portion of capital projects, excluding Alternative Water Surcharge funded improvements. Total FY 2023-24 through FY 2028-29 non-growth capital projects average \$11.1 million with a high in FY 2024-25 of \$23.8 million and a low of \$2.5 million in FY 2028-29. Uses also include bond and/or loan issuance costs and debt service reserve requirements associated with future debt issues.

The fund does not maintain a cash balance and started FY 2023-24 with \$0.

4.9. Water System Development Impact Fee Funds

The City adopted Water System DIFs that have been assessed within two separate service areas since August 1, 2019.

The City of Prescott Land Use Assumptions and Infrastructure Improvements Plan issued in December 2023 and the City of Prescott Development Impact Fee Report to be issued in June 2024 provide additional details regarding improvements, growth, and water demand forecasts. The adopted fees for equivalent 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch water connections by service area are summarized in Table 4-4.

Table 4-4: Water System Development Impact Fees

| | Current Year | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|
| DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | |
| Water System Impact Fees by Service Area | | | | | | | |
| Service Area A | \$862 | \$862 | \$862 | \$940 | \$940 | \$940 | |
| Service Area B | 4.441 | 4.441 | 4.441 | 5.586 | 5.586 | 5.586 | |

4.9.1. PROPOSED DIFS

The financial plan assumes that proposed DIFs will be adopted in FY 2024-25 to go into effect in FY 2024-25, but the increases not realized until FY 2025-26. As part of the update, the Water Service Areas are simplified to just two service areas: A and B. The DIF for Service Area A is \$862 for an equivalent 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch water connection, and for Service Area B it is \$4,441. Proposed DIFs increase the Service Area A to \$940 and the Service Area B to \$5,586 for a 5/8 by 3/4-inch water connection. Under the proposed, new development with a 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch water connection in Service Area B is assessed the combined fee of \$6,526.

4.9.2. CASH FLOW ANALYSIS

A cash flow analysis has been compiled for each service area that aggregates the sources and uses of Water System DIF funds in Appendix A. While the separate service areas are compiled into a consolidated fund that summarizes annual source and uses, each service area is a separate fund. Total study period water system DIF fund debt service averages \$2.1 million decreasing from \$2.2 million in FY 2023-24 to \$1.9 million in FY 2028-29. Overall annual debt service increases with additional debt service from new issuances less decreases as existing debt issuances are paid off and retired.

4.9.3. RESERVES AND CASH BALANCE

The Water System DIF fund includes a FY 2023-24 starting deficit balance of \$14.2 and this fund does not have a minimum reserve target. Starting in FY 2014-15, the City began tracking the fund balances of each service area separately and the amount has accumulated. The Water DIF fund is projected to have years with surplus funds and potential to repay loan balances providing future funding source for capital projects.

4.9.4. SOURCE AND USES

The Water System DIF fund cash flow analysis summarizes the source and uses over the study period included in Appendix A. Sources include Water System DIFs by service area or one-time fees assessed to new water connections, projected interest income, WIFA loan distributions on active growth-related portion of WIFA loans, and loans from the operating fund equal to annual requirements. Bonds and/or loan proceeds supporting growth related portion of future debt are projected to be required reducing the amount that would be required from annual water sales revenues. Uses within the fund include growth-related portion of capital projects, growth-related portion of existing and future debt service, and repayment of loans from the operating fund. Uses also include bond and/or loan issuance costs and debt service reserve requirements associated with future growth-related debt issues.

4.9.5. REVENUE PROJECTIONS

Projected Water System DIF revenues are based on the draft fee per EDU by service area applied to projected EDUs over the ten-year period. Revenues are retained within the separate service areas. Current EDU distribution by service area was identified by the City during the water distribution model analysis. Service Areas A and B reflect the entire water system with projected EDUs by corresponding year based on the average growth rate estimated in the Final LUA and IIP. The distribution of EDUs among the various service areas was estimated evaluating the current distribution of development and anticipated areas of the City to develop. The City completed a water distribution model update in 2023 that also contemplated the pace of development as infrastructure water identified in prioritizing capital projects for inclusion the master plan and IIP.

4.10. Water Resource Development Impact Fee

The City adopted a reduced Water Resource DIF that has been assessed within the single service area since August 1, 2014.

The City of Prescott Land Use Assumptions and Infrastructure Improvements Plan issued in December 2023 and the City of Prescott Development Impact Fee Report to be issued in June 2024 provide additional details regarding the fee calculation. The current fees for equivalent 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch water system DIFs are \$1,441 for the single service area. The proposed fees are \$1,182 for an equivalent 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch connection and are proposed to go into effect in FY 2024-25 as a decrease to the existing fee effective immediately.

4.10.1. CASH FLOW ANALYSIS

A cash flow analysis has been compiled for the single service area that summarizes the sources and uses of Water Resource DIF fund summarized in Appendix A. Water Resource DIF fund debt service averages \$0.8 million and maintains a constant \$0.8 million in FY 2023-24 through FY 2028-29.

4.10.2. RESERVES AND CASH BALANCE

The Water Resource DIF fund includes a FY 2023-24 starting balance of \$1.0 million and this fund does not have a minimum reserve target.

4.10.3. SOURCE AND USES

The water resource DIF fund cash flow analysis summarizes the source and uses over the study period included in Appendix A. Sources include water resource DIFs or one-time fees assessed to new water connections, annual transfer from the Alternative Water fund for 20% of the 2014 MPC annual debt service, and projected interest income. Uses within the fund include water resource DIF related portion of existing debt service.

4.10.4. REVENUE PROJECTIONS

Projected Water Resource DIF revenues are based on the draft fee per EDU by service area applied to projected EDUs over the study period. Projected EDUs are based on water service area A as previously discussed.

A positive fund balance is maintained each year of the study period.

5. Water Cost of Service

Equitable water rates should fairly recover the cost of service from each customer class. Determination of cost of service considers volume of water used, peak rates of demand, number of customers, fire protection requirements, and other relevant factors.

5.1. Test-Year

The cost of service analysis was conducted for a FY 2028-29 test-year. FY 2028-29 was selected as the test year for this study to provide a five-year transition period to cost of service based rate recommendations. Appendix B includes tables that show the water cost of service analysis.

FY 2028-29 cost of service (revenue requirements) totals approximately \$28.0 million and includes O&M, debt service, transfers to capital funds and cash funded capital costs. These costs are met from water sales revenue generated from water user charges and rates, miscellaneous revenue sources summarized in Table 5-1.

Table 5-1: Water FY 2028-29 Cost of Service

| Line No. | Description | Total |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|
| | | |
| | Revenue Requirements | |
| 1 | Operation and Maintenance Expense | |
| 2 | Operations | \$13,951,217 |
| | Debt Service | |
| 3 | Operations | 3,395,817 |
| | Transfers | |
| 4 | Water Resource Development Fee Subund | 130,585 |
| 5 | Alt Water | 0 |
| 6 | System Impact Fee Subfund | 0 |
| 7 | Capital Improvement Subfund | 2,325,254 |
| 8 | Remaining WIFA Loan Balance | 0 |
| 9 | Total Revenue Requirements | \$19,802,872 |
| | Revenue Requirement Adjustments | |
| 10 | Miscellaneous Revenue | (\$527,915) |
| 11 | Interfund Loan Payment | 0 |
| 12 | Interest Income | (118,530) |
| 13 | Annual Surplus/(Deficiency) | 8,578,412 |
| 14 | Revenue Adj. for 6 mo. Increase | 271,122 |
| 15 | Total Revenue Requirement Adjustments | \$8,203,089 |
| 16 | Total Cost of Service | \$28,005,961 |

5.1.1. REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

The total FY 2028-29 revenue requirements consist of \$13.8 million of operating expenses and \$5.7 million of capital costs. These costs are increased in total by \$7.5 million in other miscellaneous revenue sources and sources and uses (e.g., miscellaneous system revenue and projected debt) and change in the cash balance of the operations fund for the FY 2028-29 test-year.

5.2. Functional Cost Components

An initial step in the cost of service analysis is to segregate test year costs into water cost components based on the function or water system component using the base-extra capacity demand method. These cost components include base, extra capacity, customer related, and direct fire protection cost components. Base costs vary directly with the quantity of water used under average day load conditions. Extra capacity costs represent those costs incurred to meet peak demands for water in excess of average day usage. Extra capacity costs are subdivided into costs associated with peak day and peak hour demands. Customer costs vary in proportion to the number of customers and the number and size of meters. Customer costs are subdivided into meter, billing and small distribution main costs.

5.3. Allocation Factors

The water utility is comprised of various facilities, each designed and operated to fulfill a given function. To provide adequate service to its customers at all times, the utility must be capable of providing total water demanded as well as water at peak rates of demand.

Since all customers do not exert their maximum demand for water at the same time, capacities of water facilities are designed to meet coincidental demands of all classes of customers. For every facility on the system, there is an underlying average demand or uniform rate of usage exerted coincidentally by customers for which the base cost component applies.

Comparison of historical system coincidental peak day and peak hour demands to average day demands results in appropriate ratios for allocation of capital costs and operating costs to base and extra capacity cost components. Peak day demands are about 1.80 times greater than average day demands in the City's system. This indicates that approximately 56 percent of the capacity of facilities designed and operated for peak day demand is needed for average or base use. Accordingly, the remaining 44 percent is for peak day extra capacity requirements.

Since peak hour water usage also utilizes facilities designed and operated for average day and peak day demands, costs associated with meeting peak hour demand are allocated to base, peak day extra capacity, and peak hour extra capacity. A ratio of peak hour to average day water use of 3.24 is based on peak hour design requirements outlined in the City's 2005 water system master plan and 2023 water model update. This ratio indicates that approximately 44 percent of the capacity of facilities designed and operated for peak hour demand is needed for average or base use, approximately 25 percent is required to meet peak day extra capacity demand, and the remaining 31 percent is for peak hour extra capacity demand.

5.3.1. ALLOCATION TO COST COMPONENTS

Cost of service is allocated to functional cost components according to the design or function of each facility or activity. The allocation of system assets to functional cost components provides the basis for allocating annual capital costs.

The allocation of O&M is similar to the allocation of capital costs. O&M is allocated to functional cost components according to budgeted designation of operating expenses and how it is associated with operating the water system and the design or function of the facility related to cost categories. For example, water production costs are allocated among base and maximum cost components the facilities are designed to meet the maximum day treated water demands. Alternatively, water distribution system costs are allocated among base, maximum day, maximum hour and the customer distribution system cost components. The transmission or portion of the system related to pipelines with 10-inch and greater diameter are allocated among the volume related base-extra capacity components. The 8-inch and smaller distribution system costs are allocated to meter and service component of the customer cost category.

5.3.2. ALLOCATION TO CUSTOMER CLASSES

The separation of costs into functional components provides a means for distributing such costs to various classes of customers based on their respective responsibilities for each particular type of service. Current customer classes include single family, multifamily, nonresidential, bulk water dispensing station, Reservation and Reservation Multi-Family water accounts as previously discussed. The City provides water service to customers located in unincorporated portions of Yavapai County and customers within Chino Valley. These classifications are reasonable and are retained in this study. These classes group together customers with similar service characteristics and provide a means for allocating costs to customers.

Service requirements are based on class average day, peak day and peak hour demands, and metering and billing requirements. The base cost responsibility of each customer class is related to the quantity of water used by each class under average day load conditions. Average day quantities are based on a detailed analysis of the City's water billing records.

The responsibility for extra capacity costs varies with extra capacity requirements for peak day and peak hour demands of each class. Average day usage and capacity factors, representing the estimated relationship between individual class peak demand and average day usage, are used to develop extra capacity requirements for peak day and peak hour demands. The estimated capacity factors are based on an analysis of each class' monthly usage characteristics summarized in Appendix B.

Fire protection costs are either direct or demand related. Direct costs are related to maintenance of fire hydrants. Demand related costs represent the portion of extra capacity costs related to meeting potential fire demands. Peak fire flow requirements of 2,000 gallons per minute for two hours are estimated for the City. Peak fire flow estimates are based on the guidelines set forth in the City code consistent with industry-based standards for Fire Protection.

Class cost of service is the product of unit costs of service times the class service requirements. Unit costs are the quotient of functionalized cost of service divided by the applicable units of service and provide the foundation for rate design.

Fire protection costs are reallocated to non-fire protection classes since a separate public fire charge does not exist. This reallocation recognizes potential fire suppression capacity included in the water system.

Comparison of class cost of service with class revenue under existing rates shows the adjustment needed in class revenue to meet cost of service is summarized in Table 5-2.

Table 5-2: FY 2028-29 Class Cost of Service and Revenue Comparison

| | | Adjusted | Adjusted | |
|------|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Line | | cos | cos | |
| No. | Customer Class | Cash Basis | Utility Basis | Differential |
| | | | | |
| | Inside City | | | |
| 1 | Single Family | \$14,838,641 | \$19,789,477 | 33% |
| 2 | Multi-Family | 1,994,843 | 2,598,129 | 30% |
| 3 | Nonresidential | 7,084,687 | 9,324,503 | 32% |
| 4 | Bulk Water Station | 45,147 | 58,444 | 29% |
| 5 | Reservation - Non-Residential | 292,625 | 369,473 | 26% |
| 6 | Reservation - MF | 106,578 | 138,057 | 30% |
| 7 | Construction / Hydrant | 207,349 | 196,751 | -5% |
| 8 | Fire Protection | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| 9 | Total Inside City | 24,569,870 | 32,474,834 | 32% |
| | Outside City | | | |
| 10 | Single Family | 2,137,006 | 2,188,777 | 2% |
| 11 | Multi-Family | 162,758 | 161,525 | -1% |
| 12 | , Nonresidential | 278,624 | 278,364 | 0% |
| 13 | Total Outside City | 2,578,388 | 2,628,666 | 2% |
| | Chino Valley | | | |
| 14 | Single Family | 754,817 | 768,755 | 2% |
| 15 | Multi-Family | 14,980 | 14,589 | -3% |
| 16 | , Nonresidential | 34,975 | 88,535 | 153% |
| 17 | Total Chino Valley | 804,772 | 871,880 | 8% |
| 18 | Total Cost of Service | \$27,953,030 | \$35,975,381 | 29% |

5.3.3. OUTSIDE CITY AND CHINO VALLEY CHARGES

The City provides water service to customers outside the City and assesses a surcharge increasing the Inside-City charge. Raftelis evaluated the surcharges completing a similar analysis as was done in developing the current surcharges. The proposed surcharges are calculated using the relationship of the total Outside-City and Chino Valley customer class cost of service under the Utility approach compared to the same cost of service under the cash-needs approach for test year FY 2028-29. Municipal water providers typically use the cash-needs approach to evaluate the cost of service for Inside City customers where the annual revenue requirements are determined by the cash-needs of the Utility system. The Utility approach is most often used by investor-owned utilities or by municipal utilities serving non-owner Outside City and/or contract customers. Under the Utility approach, capital costs include depreciation and a rate of return on rate base while under the cash-needs approach, capital costs include debt service and other cash-based capital requirements. Annual O&M expenses are included in the revenue requirements under both the Utility and cash-needs approaches. Table 5-3 summarizes the difference between the test year FY 2028-29 cash-needs and utility approach revenue requirements.

Table 5-3: FY 2028-29 Utility vs. Cash Needs Basis Cost of Service

| Line | | Adjusted COS | Adjusted COS | |
|------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|
| No. | Customer Class | Cash Basis | Utility Basis | Differential |
| | Total System | | | |
| 1 | Inside City | \$24,569,870 | \$32,474,834 | 32.2% |
| 2 | Outside City | 2,578,388 | 2,628,666 | 1.9% |
| 3 | Chino Valley | 804,772 | 871,880 | 8.3% |
| 4 | Total System Wide | \$27,953,030 | \$35,975,381 | 28.7% |

5.3.3.1. Rate of Return

In order to determine the cost of service under the Utility approach, a rate of return for the assets must be determined. The industry standard for determining this rate of return is to calculate a weighted average cost of capital (WACC). Using City data, Raftelis determined that the rate of return, or WACC, for the City is 5.35%. This calculation is illustrated in Table 5-4.

Table 5-4: Rate of Return for Utility Basis Cost of Service

| Rate of Return for Prescott, AZ | |
|---|-----------------|
| Cost Of Debt Capital | |
| Rate of Prescott's Utility Loans (1) | 2.68% |
| Cost of Equity Capital | 6.00% |
| Debt Structure (2) | |
| Debt as Percentage of Capital | 19.53% |
| Equity as Percentage of Capital | 80.47% |
| Weighted Average Cost Of Capital (WACC) | |
| Weighted Cost of Debt | 0.52% |
| Weighted Cost of Equity | 4.82% |
| WACC | 5.35% |
| Notes: | |
| (1) Based on data provided by City for a FY 201 | 28-29 test-vear |

- (1) Based on data provided by City for a FY 2028-29 test-year
- (2) Based on current assets and CIP through FY 2028-29 net of projected debt issuances through

5.3.3.2. Utility Approach Cost of Service

Once the WACC was determined, Raftelis was able to develop the total COS under the utility approach by determining the return on assets. The return is applied to the utility rate base or the return on assets is the original cost less deprecation (OCLD) of the City's water system assets. Table 5-5 shows the steps used to determine the total cost of service.

Table 5-5: Test-Year FY 2022-23 Utility Basis Cost of Service

| Line | | |
|------|--|--------------|
| No. | Description | Total |
| | | |
| | Operating Revenue Requirements & Adjustments | |
| | Operation and Maintenance Expense | |
| 1 | Operations | \$13,951,217 |
| 2 | Alt. Water Source | 0 |
| 3 | Miscellaneous Revenue | (527,915) |
| 4 | Interest Income | (118,530) |
| 5 | Total Operating Revenue Requirements & Adjustments | \$13,304,772 |
| | Capital Revenue Requirements & Adjustments | |
| 6 | Return on Assets | 13,934,532 |
| 7 | Depreciation | 8,806,008 |
| 8 | Total Capital Revenue Requirements & Adjustments | \$22,740,541 |
| 9 | Total Cost of Service | \$36,045,312 |

The revenue requirements, including the return on assets and depreciation, were allocated similarly to the costs used in the cash needs approach to determine the customer class cost of service. Upon comparison of the two methods (Table 5-3), Raftelis determined that the current surcharge differential of 30% remains equitable for Outside City and Chino Valley customers⁵.

⁵ Chino Valley customers include customers within the Chino Valley Improvement District (CVID) and customers outside of the CVID. The agreement between the City and CVID limits the surcharge that may be assessed to 30% in addition to inside-City charges for the same customer class.

6. Water Rate Design

In the development of schedules of water rates, a basic consideration is to establish equitable charges to customers commensurate with the cost of providing service. The only method of assessing entirely equitable water rates would be the determination of each customer's bill based upon their particular service requirements. Since this is impractical, schedules of rates are normally designed to meet average conditions for groups (classes) of customers having similar service requirements. Rates should be reasonably simple in application and subject to as few misinterpretations as possible. Appendix C includes tables that show the development of the proposed water rates. Raftelis developed rates for the five-year study period and July 1st effective date in 2024 followed by January 1st effective dates thereafter. When the effective dates are January 1st or 6 months respectively through the fiscal year and revenues and projected assuming approximately 50% of the bills and billed water use for a particular year are affected by the increased charges.

6.1. Current Rates

Current rates have been in effect since January 1, 2023. Monthly base charges vary by meter size and are applicable to all customers. Volume rates vary by customer class and include a four-block increasing rate structure for all customer classes. As previously discussed, Outside City and Chino Valley rates are 30 percent greater, respectively, than inside City rates.

The City implemented the current water tiered allocations in 2006 to help encourage water conservation as the City implemented capital improvements to expand the City's water resource portfolio to meet State requirements and serve current and future customers. The adopted rate structure has helped to reduce customer water use but has also created additional revenue variability as water sales revenues fluctuated due to weather, seasonal visitors and customer water use behavior changes.

6.2. Proposed Rates

Revenue requirements and cost of service allocations described in previous sections of this report provide the basis for designing water rates. Revenue requirements show the need for adjustments and the level of revenue required. Cost of service allocations lead to unit costs of service, which are used in the rate design process as a basis for determining whether proposed rates will reasonably recover cost of service from customer classes as well as provide the total level of revenue required.

Raftelis developed cost of service rates for the FY 2028-29 test year that proportionately recover class cost of service from each customer class under two alternative rate structures; alternatives which differ in the monthly service charge and the volume rates by tier by customer class and meter size. Raftelis developed proposed rates for each year of the study period that provide a smooth transition from existing rates to FY 2028-29 cost of service rates and generate the additional revenue needed to adequately meet revenue requirements.

6.2.1.1. Proposed Rates

The proposed water rates incorporate the current water allocations by tier, customer class and meter size. Monthly service charges are increased according to the annual rate revenue adjustments. Volume rates by customer class reflect the existing increasing price ratios compared to tier 1 as is also in place for all customer classes. The proposed volume rates reflect the customer class-based revenue requirements and test year FY 2028-29 cost of

service analysis adjusted for revenue from the monthly service charge. The proposed rates maintain the existing structures with increases to both the monthly service charges and volume rates.

Table 6-1 and Table 6-2 summarize existing and proposed FY 2024-25 through FY 2028-29 monthly service charges and volume rates. The proposed rates for each alternative phase to the test year FY 2028-29 total class cost of service over the five-year period.

Table 6-1: Proposed Water Monthly Service Charge (per bill)

| | | Proposed Water Base Charge (per bill) | | | | | |
|----------------|---------|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| Meter Size | Current | 7/1/2024 | 1/1/2026 | 1/1/2027 | 1/1/2028 | 1/1/2029 | |
| | | | | | | _ | |
| 5/8" | \$15.56 | \$17.58 | \$19.52 | \$19.91 | \$20.30 | \$20.71 | |
| 3/4" | 16.41 | 18.54 | 20.58 | 20.99 | 21.41 | 21.84 | |
| 1" | 18.58 | 20.99 | 23.30 | 23.77 | 24.25 | 24.73 | |
| 1 1/2" | 22.84 | 25.81 | 28.65 | 29.22 | 29.80 | 30.40 | |
| 2" | 30.16 | 34.08 | 37.83 | 38.59 | 39.36 | 40.15 | |
| 3" | 44.87 | 50.70 | 56.28 | 57.41 | 58.56 | 59.73 | |
| 4" | 65.87 | 74.43 | 82.62 | 84.28 | 85.96 | 87.68 | |
| 6" | 118.35 | 133.73 | 148.44 | 151.41 | 154.44 | 157.53 | |
| 8" | 181.46 | 205.05 | 227.61 | 232.16 | 236.80 | 241.54 | |
| Percent Change | | 13% | 11% | 2% | 2% | 2% | |

Table 6-2: Proposed Water Volume Charges (per 1,000)

| | | | | Proposed Volume Rate (per 1,000 gallons) | | | | |
|--|--------|----------|---------|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Customer Class | Tier W | idth (1) | Current | 7/1/2024 | 1/1/2026 | 1/1/2027 | 1/1/2028 | 1/1/2029 |
| | Start | to End | | | | | | |
| Inside City | Gal | lons | | | | | | |
| Single Family | | | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 | 0 | 3,000 | \$4.44 | \$5.10 | \$5.73 | \$5.86 | \$5.99 | \$6.12 |
| Tier 2 | 3,001 | 10,000 | 6.66 | 7.65 | 8.60 | 8.79 | 8.98 | 9.18 |
| Tier 3 | 10,001 | 20,000 | 9.99 | 11.47 | 12.89 | 13.18 | 13.47 | 13.77 |
| Tier 4 | Over | 20,001 | 19.98 | 22.95 | 25.79 | 26.36 | 26.94 | 27.54 |
| Multi-Family | | | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 | 0 | 1,700 | \$4.18 | \$4.80 | \$5.40 | \$5.52 | \$5.64 | \$5.77 |
| Tier 2 | 1,701 | 5,000 | 6.27 | 7.21 | 8.11 | 8.29 | 8.47 | 8.66 |
| Tier 3 | 5,001 | 10,000 | 9.41 | 10.81 | 12.15 | 12.42 | 12.70 | 12.98 |
| Tier 4 | Over | 10,001 | 18.81 | 21.62 | 24.31 | 24.85 | 25.41 | 25.97 |
| Non-Residential | | | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 | 0 | 6,000 | \$4.76 | \$5.36 | \$5.93 | \$6.04 | \$6.16 | \$6.28 |
| Tier 2 | 6,001 | 28,000 | 7.14 | 8.04 | 8.89 | 9.06 | 9.24 | 9.42 |
| Tier 3 | 28,001 | 60,000 | 10.71 | 12.05 | 13.34 | 13.60 | 13.86 | 14.13 |
| Tier 4 | Over | 60,001 | 21.42 | 24.11 | 26.67 | 27.19 | 27.72 | 28.26 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Construction/Hydrant Water Dispensing | All U | Jsage | \$5.43 | \$7.00 | \$8.33 | \$8.57 | \$8.81 | \$9.42 |
| Station | All U | Jsage | \$14.00 | \$14.69 | \$15.27 | \$15.38 | \$15.49 | \$15.76 |

⁽¹⁾ Multi-Family tier allocations are provided per dwelling unit. Non-residential customer tier allocations increase by meter size. 5/8" x 3/4" (smallest meter size) are summarized in this table.

Table 6-3 summarizes the projected water use by tier.

Table 6-3: Percentage of Annual Water Sales Revenues by Tier

| Line | | | Proposed |
|------|--------------|---------|----------|
| No. | Description | Current | 1/1/2029 |
| | | | |
| 1 | Tier 1 | 31.6% | 31.7% |
| 2 | Tier 2 | 41.1% | 41.0% |
| 3 | Tier 3 | 17.9% | 17.8% |
| 4 | Tier 4 | 9.4% | 9.5% |
| 5 | Total System | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Table 6-4 summarizes the percent of revenue generated by the base charge and Tier 1 of the total monthly service and volume charge revenues under the proposed rates in the FY 2028-29 test year.

Table 6-4: Percentage of Revenue Generated by Base Charge and Tier 1 Rates

| Line | | | |
|------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|
| No. | Total System | Existing | Proposed |
| | | | _ |
| | Total System Revenue | | |
| 1 | Base Charge & Tier 1 | 50.5% | 50.3% |
| 2 | Tiers 2-4 | 49.5% | 49.7% |
| 3 | Total System Wide | 100.0% | 100.0% |

6.3. Aquifer Protection Fee (APF)

Raftelis proposes that the current APF be maintained to water customers. The purpose of the APF is to provide a dedicated funding source for design, construction, and operation of facilities and implementation of other measures and practices necessary to achieve and maintain water quality mandated by state and federal law applicable to surface, reuse, and recharge assets.

The APF is \$0.72 per month for 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch water customers increased by meter size using AWWA capacity relationship. Table 6-5 summarizes the proposed APF for FY 2023-24 through FY 2028-29 which does not change.

Meter 7/1/2024 1/1/2027 1/1/2028 1/1/2029 1/1/2026 Size Current 5/8" \$0.72 \$0.72 \$0.72 \$0.72 \$0.72 \$0.72 3/4" 1.08 1.08 1.08 1.08 1.08 1.08 1" 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1.20 1 1/2" 2.40 2.40 2.40 2.40 2.40 2.40 2" 3.84 3.84 3.84 3.84 3.84 3.84 3" 7.20 7.20 7.20 7.20 7.20 7.20 4" 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 12.00 6" 24.00 24.00 24.00 24.00 24.00 24.00 8" 38.40 38.40 38.40 38.40 38.40 38.40 **Percent Change** 0% 0% 0% ٥% 0%

Table 6-5: Proposed Aquifer Protection Fee

6.4. Typical Customer Monthly Water Bills

In order to demonstrate the impact of proposed rate increases on City water customers, Raftelis has run a bill impact analysis. Under proposed rates on July 1, 2024 a typical residential customer (5/8-inch meter) that uses 5,000 gallons per month will see their monthly bill increase \$5.98 from \$42.92 to \$48.90 or an increase of 14%. By FY 2028-29, the same bill is proposed to increase to \$58.15 or an average increase each year of \$3.05 per month.

The same analysis was performed for non-residential customers. Under the proposed rates to be effective July 1, 2024, a non-residential customer with a 2-inch meter that uses 24,000 gallons per month, will see their monthly bill increase \$18.32 from \$148.24 to \$166.56 or an increase of 12%. By FY 2028-29, the same bill is proposed to increase to \$194.71 or an average increase each year of \$9.29 per month.

7. Wastewater Fund Financial Plan

Raftelis developed a financial plan for the ten-year study period as shown in Appendix D. FY 2023-24 through FY 2032-33 is summarized in Table 7-1. Raftelis separated the total wastewater fund into operating, special purpose and capital funds consistent with the City's budget process and procedures. The wastewater fund financial plan is detailed in Appendix D.

Table 7-1: Wastewater Key Results and Drivers

| | Current Year | Projected | | | | | |
|--|----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| Key Results and Drivers | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | |
| Operating Fund | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Revenue Increases | | | | | | | |
| Annual Increases | 0.0% | 18.0% | 17.0% | 8.0% | 7.0% | 6.0% | |
| Cumulative Increase | 0.0% | 18.0% | 38.1% | 49.1% | 59.5% | 69.1% | |
| Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | (\$20,355,170) | (\$3,763,407) | (\$1,030,497) | \$1,386,505 | \$394,078 | (\$1,537,864) | |
| Ending Subfund Balance | 6,619,056 | 2,855,649 | 1,825,152 | 3,211,657 | 3,605,735 | 2,067,871 | |
| Operations Sub-Fund Reserve (Target 16%) | 70% | 29% | 17% | 30% | 32% | 18% | |
| Consolidated Wastewater Fund | | | | | | | |
| Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Target 150%) | 145% | 171% | 156% | 159% | 150% | 154% | |
| Debt Service Coverage Ratio (w/o DIFs - Target 120%) | 133% | 160% | 142% | 137% | 131% | 136% | |
| Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | (\$910,507) | (\$3,763,407) | (\$1,030,497) | \$1,386,505 | \$394,078 | (\$1,537,864) | |
| Beginning Fund Balance | 7,529,562 | 6,619,055 | 2,855,648 | 1,825,151 | 3,211,656 | 3,605,734 | |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$6,619,055 | \$2,855,648 | \$1,825,151 | \$3,211,656 | \$3,605,734 | \$2,067,870 | |
| Total Wastewater Fund Reserve (Target 16%) | 70% | 29% | 17% | 30% | 32% | 18% | |

7.1. Cash Flow Analysis

Projected wastewater sales revenue under existing rates is insufficient to meet revenue requirements while maintaining reserve balances. The City's wastewater fund is in an okay financial position with cash-funded capital expenditures depleting cash reserves. Future capital expenses are also expected that will be debt and cash funded provided sustained rate pressure when combined with annual debt service repayments. Raftelis recommends annual revenue adjustments summarized in Table 1-5 of:

- 18% effective July 1, 2024,
- 17%January 1st 2026,
- 8% increase January 1st 2027,
- 7% January 1st 2028, and
- 6% January 1st 2029.

The drivers for the wastewater revenue increases include the need to exceed debt service coverage target of 150% annual debt service (including DIFs) from outstanding and planned debt issuances while exceeding an operating fund cash reserve target of 16% (60 days of O&M) is exceeded each year of the five-year planning period. The

cumulative effects of inflation in operating costs, interest rates, and capital costs have depleted cash reserves requiring additional debt which combine to place additional pressure on rates and user charges.

7.2. Debt Proceeds, Issuance Costs and Debt Service

Projected growth and non-growth related debt issues-borrowings by fund and the overall capital funding is summarized in Table 7-2. The resulting annual debt service is discussed in the following sections and summarized in Appendix D.

Table 7-2: Wastewater Capital Funding Summary

| | Current Year | | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Total CIP with Inflation | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 |
| Total DIF Growth-Related Projects (% Paid by Fees) | \$330,142 | \$9,866,250 | \$8,938,875 | \$9,737,500 | \$7,928,875 | \$7,220,150 |
| Renewal and Replacement | 3,153,593 | 11,173,940 | 24,576,875 | 19,990,500 | 16,306,875 | 10,720,950 |
| Authorized WIFA Loans | | | | | | |
| Debt Financed | 212,951 | 12,255,000 | 31,105,000 | 28,120,000 | 21,035,000 | 11,165,600 |
| Cash Financed (1) | 3,270,784 | 8,785,190 | 2,410,750 | 1,608,000 | 3,200,750 | 6,775,500 |
| Total CIP with Inflation | \$3,483,735 | \$21,040,190 | \$33,515,750 | \$29,728,000 | \$24,235,750 | \$17,941,100 |

⁽¹⁾ Cash financed projects include on-going WIFA loans in progress.

Debt funded projects are summarized in Table 7-3. \$104 million of debt is projected through FY 2028-29 increasing to \$148 million by FY 2032-33. Debt by fiscal year reflects the following assumptions:

- New debt funding of capital projects as summarized in Table 7-3.
- Funding through on-going WIFA proceeds of \$2.4 million funding FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25 projects listed as cash for other projects previously debt financed.
- The financial plan assumes all loans are for a term of 25 years, 4.5% interest rate, 2% issuance expense, require a debt service reserve equal to one year's payment, and assumes one semi-annual debt service payment in the year of issuance.

Table 7-3: Wastewater Debt Funded Projects

| Project | | Current Year | Projected | | | | |
|---------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| No. | Project Name | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 |
| 88WW | Section 32 and 33 Wastewater | \$50,000 | \$5,230,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 26WW | Sundog Trunk Main Phase C (WIFA) - Future Loan | 0 | 0 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 4,250,000 |
| 55WW | Centralization - Effluent Tank, Pipeline (WIFA) | 45,773 | 1,500,000 | 4,350,000 | 7,125,000 | 5,425,000 | 0 |
| 62WW | Willow Creek Gravity Sewer | 117,178 | 5,025,000 | 10,250,000 | 400,000 | 2,500,000 | 0 |
| 84WW.1 | Centralization - Airport WRF Solids Handling Facility (WIFA) | 0 | 500,000 | 6,150,000 | 6,650,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 32WW | Granite Dells Ranch DA | 0 | 0 | 355,000 | 3,195,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 56WW | Willow Creek Trunk Main Upsize | 0 | 0 | 0 | 750,000 | 3,110,000 | 4,135,600 |
| 54WW | Centralization - Sundog Equalization Basin and Plant Decommissioning | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 87WW | Yavapai Hills Lift Station Force Main | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 97WW | Deep Well Ranch Wastewater DA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 830,000 |
| 38WW | Hassayampa Sewer Trunk Main Upsizing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 400,000 |
| 86WW.2 | Ruger Airport Trunk Main Phase 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300,000 |
| 46WW | Sun Street Sewer Main Upgrade | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250,000 |
| 84WW.2 | Centralization - WRF Expansion Phase 2 (WIFA) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,000,000 |
| 101WW | Timber Ridge #1 Regional Lift Station Rehab | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52WW | Thumb Butte Road Upsizing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total Debt Funded Projects (with Inflation) | \$212,951 | \$12,255,000 | \$31,105,000 | \$28,120,000 | \$21,035,000 | \$11,165,600 |

Raftelis recommends that annual updates be completed for the wastewater utility multi-year financial plan to recognize changes in growth, wastewater sales, operating expenses, debt and debt service, capital improvement needs and capital funding requirements.

7.3. Operating Fund

The operating fund forecasts the revenues and revenue requirements of the wastewater fund over the study period. The operating fund receives and transfers revenues to other wastewater funds.

7.3.1. RESERVES AND CASH BALANCE

The operating fund includes a FY 2023-24 starting balance of \$27.0 million. The balance will be depleted funding capital requirements in FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25. Ending reserves are targeted at 16% or 60 days of O&M. Raftelis recommends that the City meet or exceed this "minimum" level of operating reserve.

7.3.2. REVENUES

Revenues for the wastewater utility operating fund are derived from wastewater sales, interest income and other miscellaneous revenues. Revenues from wastewater sales are projected to increase based on annual system wide EDU growth rate of 0.75% for FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25, 1.30% over the remainder of the study period. Wastewater sales revenues include monthly charge per bill and uniform volume rates that vary by customer class and assessed based on each customer's average winter consumption.

Miscellaneous operating revenue is projected to increase 2.5% per year and include miscellaneous customer charges (e.g., turn on / turn off fees) and treated effluent customer fees. Interest income is projected based on the starting fund balance and a conservative interest earnings rate of 1%.

7.3.3. REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

Operating fund revenue requirements include O&M, debt service, and transfers to the other special purpose operating funds and loans to wastewater capital funds.

O&M is organized into various divisions and includes personnel, supplies, services and materials to collect, treat and dispose of wastewater effluent annually based on the adopted City budget. These costs are funded by wastewater sales revenues. O&M is projected to increase from \$9.4 million in FY 2023-24 to \$11.6 million in FY 2028-29 due to annual compound inflation. The projected O&M by department and component are based on FY 2022-23 budget and adjusted annually for inflation and including additional personnel as summarized in Appendix D.

Annual debt service is based on the non-growth or rate funded portion of outstanding and projected wastewater fund debt issues ranging from \$3.9 million to \$9.7 million by the end of FY 2028-29. Table 7-3 summarizes projected debt issues, including non-growth related debt repaid through user charges.

Other cash inflows and outflows are comprised of a variety of sources and uses.

7.3.3.1. Transfer to Capital Improvement Fund

Non-growth capital improvements are funded within the capital improvement fund and annual transfers are made from the operating fund equal to the cash funded capital requirements summarized in Table 7-3.

7.3.3.2. System DIF Fund Loans and Loan Repayment

Within each service area the use of funds may not directly match projected growth and timing of new development. The timing of the facility requirements as well as current and future debt service may create cash shortfalls which are met from loans from the operating funds. These loans are repaid as DIF revenue exceeds annual expenditure requirements with loans that may be incurred for some time.

7.3.3.3. WIFA Loan Disbursements

The City has secured multiple loans through the WIFA including one active or on-going loan projected to be completed in FY 2024-25 with remaining balances of \$2.4 million at the start of FY 2023-24. Future loan disbursements tied to future capital projects are included in the capital improvement fund with the annual debt service repaid through rates within the operating fund.

7.4. Capital Improvement Program Fund

The capital improvement program fund forecasts the annual sources and uses of the wastewater fund over the study period. The capital improvement program fund receives transfers from the operating fund and funds non-growth capital improvement projects on an annual basis but does not maintain a separate fund balance. Total capital improvements are included in Appendix D. Non-growth related or those funded within this fund include capital projects designated as "O" or Operational projects as well as the non-growth related portion of eligible IIP facilities.

7.4.1. SOURCE AND USES

Sources include transfers from the operating fund equal to annual requirements adjusted for bond and/or loan proceeds supporting non-growth related portion of future debt. Projected debt reduces the amount that would be required from annual wastewater sales revenues. Uses within the fund include non-growth or rate funded portion of capital projects and bond and/or loan issuance costs and debt service reserve requirements associated with future debt issues. Total study period non-growth capital projects average \$13.9 million with a high in FY 2025-26 of \$32.9 million and a low of \$3.5 million in FY 2023-24.

The fund does not maintain a cash balance with transfers from operations being made annually equal to the portion of cash funded capital projects.

7.5. Wastewater System DIF Fund

The City adopted Wastewater System DIFs that have been assessed within a single separate service area since August 1, 2019.

The City of Prescott Land Use Assumptions and Infrastructure Improvements Plan (dated December 2023) and the City of Prescott Development Impact Fee Report to be issued in June 2024 will provide additional details regarding the separate service areas, fee calculations and improvements funded within each service area. The adopted fees for equivalent 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch wastewater system DIFs and combined fees assessed to new development by service area are summarized in Table 7-4. The City is evaluating current wastewater DIFs to be made effective January 1, 2025.

Table 7-4: Wastewater System Development Impact Fees

| Line | | Current Year | | | Projected | | |
|------|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 |
| | | | | | | | _ |
| 1 | Wastewater System DIF | \$3,020 | \$3,020 | \$3,020 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 |
| 2 | Wastewater System EDUs | 195 | 197 | 344 | 348 | 353 | 357 |
| 3 | Wastewater System DIF Revenues | \$588,900 | \$594,940 | \$1,038,880 | \$2,034,028 | \$2,063,253 | \$2,086,632 |

7.5.1. PROPOSED DIFS

The City is currently reviewing water system, water resource and wastewater DIFs which have been incorporated within this report at the draft or preliminary fees. Revenue projections maintain current DIFs for FY 2023-24 through FY 2024-25 as there is an up to 24-month delay when DIF increases may be assessed to new development for approved developments. If DIFs decrease, they are projected to be effective starting January 1, 2025. The financial plan assumes that proposed DIFs will go into effect in FY 2025-26. The proposed DIF for the single service area is \$5,845 for an equivalent 5/8-inch by 3/4-inch water connection.

7.5.2. CASH FLOW ANALYSIS

A cash flow analysis has been compiled for each service area that summarizes the sources and uses of Wastewater System DIF funds summarized in Appendix D. While the separate service areas are compiled into a consolidated fund that summarizes annual source and uses, each service area is a separate fund.

7.5.3. RESERVES AND CASH BALANCE

The wastewater system DIF fund includes a FY 2023-24 starting deficit balance of \$19.4 million and this fund does not have a minimum reserve target. Since FY 2014-15, the City has tracked fund balances separately and has accumulated an aggregate deficit of \$64.3 million due in part to the timing of growth-related capital and debt service payments exceeding cash generated through annual wastewater DIFs. This deficit is projected to continue as growth-related debt service and annual capital project requirements are more than the annual revenues from the wastewater DIFs.

7.5.4. SOURCE AND USES

The Wastewater System DIF fund cash flow analysis summarizes the source and uses over the study period included in Appendix D. Sources include wastewater system DIFs by service area or one-time fees assessed to new wastewater connections, projected interest income, WIFA loan distributions on active growth-related portion of WIFA loans or approximately \$2.4 million, new debt of approximately \$35.0 million and loans from the operating fund equal to approximately \$25.0 million from FY 2023-24 through FY 2028-29. Bonds and/or loan proceeds supporting nongrowth related portion of future debt are projected to be required reducing the amount that would be required from annual wastewater sales revenues. Uses within the fund include growth-related portion of capital projects, growth-related portion of existing and future debt service, and repayment of loans from the operating fund. Uses also include bond and/or loan issuance costs and debt service reserve requirements associated with future growth-related debt issues. Wastewater System DIF fund debt service averages \$4.2 million increasing from \$3.1 million in FY 2023-24 to \$5.3 million in FY 2028-29.

7.5.5. REVENUE PROJECTIONS

Projected Wastewater System DIF revenues are based on the adopted fee per EDU by service area applied to projected EDUs over the five-year period. Revenues are retained within the separate service areas. Current EDU distribution by service area was identified by the City during the wastewater distribution model analysis. EDUs are projected as summarized in Appendix E. Service Area A reflects the entire wastewater system with projected EDUs

by corresponding year based on the growth projections provided in the Final LUA and IIP and is anticipated to be implemented January 1, 2025. The City completed a wastewater collection system model update in 2023 that contemplated the pace of development and wastewater infrastructure prioritizing capital projects for inclusion the master plan and IIP and provided a refined distribution of growth by service area.

8. Wastewater Cost of Service

Equitable wastewater rates fairly recover cost of service from each customer class. Determination of cost of service takes into account volume of wastewater received, discharge strength characteristics, number of customers, and other relevant factors.

8.1. Test-Year

The cost of service analysis is conducted for the FY 2028-29 test year to provide a five-year period to transition to test-year rates. Appendix E includes tables that show the wastewater cost of service analysis.

Test year cost of service (revenue requirements) totals approximately \$27.5 million and includes O&M, debt service, transfers to capital funds and cash funded capital costs. These costs are met from wastewater sales revenue generated from wastewater rates and miscellaneous revenue sources summarized in Table 8-1.

Table 8-1: Wastewater - Total Cost of Service

| Line | | |
|------|--|---------------|
| No. | Description | Total |
| | Revenue Requirements | |
| 1 | Operation and Maintenance Expense | \$11,574,417 |
| 2 | Operating Debt Service | 6,253,117 |
| | Transfers/Loans | |
| 3 | WIFA Authorized Remaining | 0 |
| 4 | System Impact Fee Subfund | 6,879,392 |
| 5 | Capital Improvement Subfund | 4,150,511 |
| 6 | Total Revenue Requirements | \$28,857,437 |
| | Revenue Requirement Adjustments | |
| 7 | Miscellaneous Revenue (1) | (\$805,563) |
| 8 | Interfund Loan Receivable | \$0 |
| 9 | Interest Income | (36,057) |
| 10 | Operating Fund Reserve Increase (Decrease) | (1,794,932) |
| 11 | Revenue Adjustment for full 12 Months | 514,135 |
| 12 | Total Revenue Requirement Adjustments | (\$2,122,417) |
| 13 | Total Cost of Service | \$26,735,020 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes Effluent water fund (\$200,000) payment.

8.2. Revenue Requirements

The total FY 2028-29 revenue requirements consist of \$11.6 million of operating expenses and \$16.9 million of capital costs. These costs are reduced in total by \$1.6 million in other miscellaneous revenue sources and sources

and uses (e.g., miscellaneous system revenue and projected debt) and change in the cash balance of the operations fund.

8.3. Units of Service

Service requirements for each class are based on contributed wastewater volume and biological oxygen demand (BOD) and total suspended solids (TSS) strengths, and number of bills.

Wastewater volume consists of two elements: contributed sanitary flow and infiltration/inflow (I/I) from storm event water runoff, snow melting and/or ground water that seeps into the wastewater collection and interceptor system. Contributed wastewater flow is that portion of the annual water use that enters the sanitary wastewater system. Estimates of the contributed wastewater volume are based on annualized average winter period water use from October through March for each customer classification during periods of the year which generally excludes non-wastewater water uses such as lawn sprinkling. Raftelis estimated annualized winter water use period for residential, non-residential, and septage customers as summarized in Appendix E.

I/I represents approximately 12% of the total wastewater flow reaching the City's wastewater treatment plant. Each customer class should bear its proportionate share of the costs associated with I/I, as the wastewater system must be adequate to convey and process the total wastewater flow. For these customers, Raftelis estimates that 67% of I/I is based on the number of customers or size of the system and 33% is based on contributed volume. The results of the incorporation of annualized winter water use and volume and customer-related I/I are detailed in Appendix E.

Raftelis estimates that the City will contribute 1.7 billion gallons of sewer volume, generate 2.3 million pounds of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and 2.2 million pounds of total suspended solids (TSS) in FY 2028-29 based on average historical loadings and expected loadings by customer type adjusted for customer and discharge growth.

The City also incurs customer costs related to local wastewater collectors as meter replacement and billing costs are recovered fully within the water fund. Local wastewater collector costs are allocated based on the number of bills.

A final cost component includes the effluent delivery costs recovered through charges for non-potable or treated effluent service to contract and non-contract customers. The effluent delivery costs are recovered directly by these charges with additional amounts treated as miscellaneous revenue adjustments.

8.4. Allocation to Cost Components

An initial step in the cost of service analysis allocates revenue requirements to the functional cost components. The second step allocates the functional costs among service characteristics. For example, wastewater treatment expenses are separated into volume, BOD, and TSS. Collection system expense is allocated to volume and customer costs (based on the proportion of interceptors and local collection lines) regardless of wastewater flow providing a stable revenue source funding line replacement and maintenance costs. Interceptors, or the system component that conveys wastewater effluent to the WWTP and WRF, are allocated based on the volume of wastewater flows.

There are three basic wastewater flow based components: volume, strength, and customer. Volume costs vary with the quantity of wastewater contributed. Strength costs vary with the strength of wastewater contributed. Customer costs vary in proportion to the number of bills.

Administration and general expenses are identified with system facilities or activities to the extent possible to simplify the allocation process. Those expenses that are not specifically assigned are allocated in proportion to all other operating expenses.

Capital costs are allocated to functional cost components according to the design or function of each facility or activity. The allocation of system assets to functional cost components provides the basis for allocating annual capital costs.

The allocation of O&M is similar to the allocation of capital costs. O&M is allocated to functional cost components according to budgeted designation of operating expenses associated with operating the wastewater system and the design or function of the facility related to cost categories. For example, wastewater treatment costs are allocated among volume, BOD and TSS cost components the facilities are designed to meet. Alternatively, wastewater collection system costs are allocated among volume the customer local collection system cost components. The interceptor or portion of the system related to wastewater interceptor and collection system pipelines with 12-inch and greater diameter are allocated among the volume related cost component. The 10-inch and smaller local collection system costs are allocated per wastewater bill component of the customer cost category.

Net cost of service equals total cost of service less adjustments for miscellaneous revenue sources and other sources as previously discussed and summarized in Appendix E.

8.5. Allocation to Customer Classes

The separation of costs into functional components provides a means for distributing such costs to various classes of customers based on their respective responsibilities for each type of service. Current customer classes include residential, nonresidential, septage, and effluent accounts. These classes group together customers with similar service characteristics and provide a means for allocating costs to customers.

Service requirements are based on class wastewater volume contributed, estimated BOD and TSS concentrations, customer cost per bill and effluent delivery cost per kgal of treated effluent sales.

Class cost of service is the product of unit costs of service times the customer class service requirements. Unit costs are the quotient of functionalized cost of service divided by the applicable units of service and provide the foundation for rate design. Comparison of class cost of service with class revenue under existing rates shows the adjustment needed in class revenue to meet cost of service.

8.5.1. UNIT COST OF SERVICE

Unit cost of service forms the basis for rate design and is the quotient of net cost of service divided by the applicable units of service. The unit cost of service is based on the proportional demands of all customers.

The summary of the cost of service for each customer classification is compared to the projected FY 2028-29 revenues generated from the same customers, adjusted for growth, without a revenue adjustment. Overall, as summarized in Table 8-2, the system increases required by FY 2028-29 totals approximately 64%. The difference between the cost of service customer costs and the revenue generated from the current rates provides the necessary adjustment to user charges necessary to achieve cost of service results by FY 2028-29 discussed in the next section.

Table 8-2: FY 2028-29 Class Cost of Service and Revenue Comparison

| | | | Revenue at | Indicated |
|------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Line | | Cost of | Existing | Revenue |
| No. | Customer Class | Service | Rates | Adjustment |
| | | | | |
| 1 | Residential | \$21,309,646 | \$13,138,221 | 62.2% |
| 2 | Nonresidential (general) | 4,913,597 | 2,974,608 | 65.2% |
| 3 | Septage Hauler | 511,777 | 242,727 | 110.8% |
| 4 | Total System | \$26,735,020 | \$16,355,555 | 63.5% |

9. Wastewater Rate Design

In the development of schedules of wastewater rates, a basic consideration is to establish equitable charges to customers commensurate with the cost of providing service. The only method of assessing entirely equitable wastewater rates would be the determination of each customer's bill based upon their particular service requirements including individually measured discharges. Since this is impractical, schedules of rates are normally designed to meet average conditions for groups (classes) of customers having similar service requirements. Appendix F includes tables that show the development of the proposed wastewater rates. Raftelis developed rates for the five-year study period and July 1st effective date in 2024 followed by January 1st effective dates thereafter. The effective dates are 12 months and 6 months respectively through the fiscal year and revenues and projected assuming 25% and 50% of the bills and billed wastewater use for a particular year are affected by the increased charges.

9.1. Current Rates

Current rates have been in effect since January 1, 2023. A separate base charge is assessed monthly per bill to residential and non-residential customers. Volume rates vary by customer class estimated monthly average winter consumption based on October through March water use.

9.2. Proposed Rates

Table 9-1 summarizes proposed wastewater monthly service charge and volume rates by customer class for the single alternative.

Table 9-1: Proposed Monthly Service Charge and Volume Rates

| Proposed R | lates |
|------------|-------|
|------------|-------|

| Line No | Description | Current | 7/1/2024 | 1/1/2026 | 1/1/2027 | 1/1/2028 | 1/1/2029 |
|---------|------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1 | Annual % Increase in Revenue-Rates | | 18% | 17% | 8% | 7% | 4% |
| | Monthly Service Charge | | | | | | |
| 2 | Residential | \$21.47 | \$25.33 | \$29.64 | \$32.01 | \$34.25 | \$35.62 |
| 3 | Nonresidential (General) | 21.47 | 25.33 | 29.64 | 32.01 | 34.25 | 35.62 |
| | Volume Charge per 1,000 gallons | | | | | | |
| 4 | Residential | \$7.72 | \$8.96 | \$10.35 | \$11.11 | \$11.83 | \$12.27 |
| 5 | Nonresidential (General) | 7.38 | 8.72 | 10.20 | 11.02 | 11.80 | 12.27 |
| 6 | Septage Hauler | 101.25 | 200.00 | 206.00 | 212.18 | 218.55 | 225.11 |

9.3. Typical 2024 Monthly Wastewater Bills

In order to demonstrate the impact of proposed rate increases and the current Aquifer Protection Fee on City water customers, Raftelis has run a bill impact analysis. Under the proposed rates, after the rate increase of July 1, 2024 a typical residential customer that uses 5,000 gallons per month will see their monthly bill increase \$10.51 from \$60.07 to \$70.15. By FY 2028-29, the same bill is projected to increase to \$96.97 or an average monthly increase each year of \$7.38.

The same analysis was performed for non-residential customers. Under the proposed rates, a non-residential customer that uses 24,000 gallons per month, will see their monthly bill increase \$35.91 from \$198.59 to \$234.50.

| By FY 2028-29, the \$26.30. | e same bill is projected to | o increase to \$330.10 oi | r an average monthly i | ncrease each year of |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

APPENDIX A: WATER FINANCIAL PLAN

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Key Results and Drivers

| | Current Year | | | Projected | | | | Proj | ected | |
|--|---------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Key Results and Drivers | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating Fund | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Revenue Increases | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Increases | 0.0% | 13.0% | 11.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% |
| Cumulative Increase | 0.0% | 13.0% | 25.4% | 27.9% | 30.5% | 33.1% | 35.8% | 38.5% | 41.3% | 44.1% |
| Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | (\$11,516,397) | (\$9,604,922) | (\$530,757) | \$3,086,183 | \$5,654,567 | \$8,578,412 | \$5,306,704 | (\$2,813,491) | \$3,630,506 | \$1,065,389 |
| Ending Operating Fund Balance | 13,247,964 | 3,643,043 | 3,112,286 | 6,198,469 | 11,853,036 | 20,431,448 | 25,738,151 | 22,924,660 | 26,555,166 | 27,620,556 |
| Operations Sub-Fund Reserve (Target 25%) | 114% | 30% | 25% | 47% | 87% | 146% | 179% | 155% | 175% | 177% |
| Consolidated Water Fund | | | | | | | | | | |
| Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Target 150%) | 195% | 228% | 246% | 264% | 260% | 292% | 290% | 296% | 308% | 318% |
| Debt Service Coverage Ratio (w/o DIFs - Target 125%) | 144% | 192% | 193% | 205% | 202% | 229% | 228% | 234% | 245% | 254% |
| Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | \$433,680 | (\$10,819,882) | (\$816,749) | \$3,121,256 | \$5,767,007 | \$9,082,629 | \$5,314,909 | (\$2,413,152) | \$2,278,871 | \$931,231 |
| Beginning Fund Balance | 20,933,476 | 21,367,155 | 10,547,273 | 9,730,524 | 12,851,780 | 18,618,787 | 27,701,416 | 33,016,325 | 30,603,173 | 32,882,044 |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$21,367,155 | \$10,547,273 | \$9,730,524 | \$12,851,780 | \$18,618,787 | \$27,701,416 | \$33,016,325 | \$30,603,173 | \$32,882,044 | \$33,813,275 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Consolidated Water Fund Cash Flow

| Line | | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | Test Year |
|------|---|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| | Consolidated Water Fund Cash Flow | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Revenues and Sources of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Water Resource Development Fee | \$340,970 | \$312,650 | \$492,080 | \$498,480 | \$504,960 | \$511,520 | \$518,170 | \$524,910 | \$531,730 | \$538,650 | \$511,520 |
| 2 | System Impact Fees | 1,254,782 | 1,264,193 | 2,207,703 | 2,752,172 | 2,787,950 | 2,824,194 | 2,860,908 | 2,898,100 | 2,935,775 | 2,973,940 | 2,824,194 |
| 3 | Bond & Loan Proceeds | 0 | 10,100,000 | 3,000,000 | 5,200,000 | 3,800,000 | 700,000 | 7,200,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 700,000 |
| 4 | Grants / Developer Funded | 1,000,000 | 1,771,000 | 229,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Water Sales Revenue | 19,677,960 | 22,360,343 | 23,880,762 | 25,688,614 | 26,522,810 | 27,383,353 | 28,270,423 | 29,185,252 | 30,128,136 | 31,100,234 | 27,383,353 |
| 6 | Aquifer Protection Fee | 284,666 | 287,341 | 292,009 | 296,739 | 301,528 | 306,383 | 311,299 | 316,280 | 321,324 | 326,432 | 306,383 |
| 7 | Miscellaneous Revenue | 641,600 | 657,640 | 674,081 | 690,933 | 708,206 | 725,912 | 744,059 | 762,661 | 781,727 | 801,270 | 725,912 |
| 8 | Intergovernmental Contributions | 799,022 | 203,723 | 211,872 | 218,228 | 224,775 | 231,518 | 238,463 | 245,617 | 252,986 | 260,575 | 231,518 |
| 9 | Remaining WIFA Loan Balance | 23,154,191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Interest Income | 362,185 | 225,852 | 118,554 | 112,796 | 143,473 | 202,321 | 291,840 | 348,716 | 324,454 | 364,689 | 202,321 |
| 11 | Total Revenues | 47,515,376 | 37,182,742 | 31,106,062 | 35,457,962 | 34,993,702 | 32,885,200 | 40,435,162 | 34,281,537 | 35,276,132 | 36,365,791 | 40,435,162 |
| | Expenditures and Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Water Fund O&M (1) | 11,641,558 | 12,132,064 | 12,643,231 | 13,122,915 | 13,558,625 | 13,951,217 | 14,355,233 | 14,771,006 | 15,198,881 | 15,639,210 | 13,951,217 |
| 13 | Water Resource Projects | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , , |
| 14 | System Infrastructure Growth-Related Projects | 8,349,992 | 4,461,250 | 1,376,375 | 986,250 | 1,051,375 | 781,250 | 7,215,000 | 117,500 | 1,917,500 | 2,893,250 | 781,250 |
| 15 | Alternative Water Source Projects | 1,279,568 | 300,000 | 230,000 | 230,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (|
| 16 | Aguifer Protection Fee Projects (2) | 317,249 | 682,751 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (|
| 17 | CA No. 1 Monitoring Costs (2) | 1,230,475 | 136,999 | 142,479 | 146,753 | 151,156 | 155,690 | 160,361 | 165,172 | 170,127 | 175,231 | 155,690 |
| 18 | CA No. 1 Modeling Costs (2) | 173,000 | 181,650 | 188,916 | 194,583 | 200,421 | 206,434 | 212,627 | 219,005 | 225,576 | 232,343 | 206,434 |
| 19 | System Infrastructure Non-Growth Related Projects | 18,796,604 | 23,592,011 | 11,017,375 | 10,850,750 | 7,283,375 | 2,523,250 | 6,167,000 | 14,964,500 | 9,094,500 | 10,100,250 | 2,523,250 |
| 20 | Bond Issuance Costs and Reserve Deposits | 0 | 883,134 | 262,317 | 454,683 | 332,268 | 61,207 | 629,561 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61,207 |
| 21 | Debt Service - Existing | 5,293,251 | 5,292,198 | 5,279,825 | 5,291,979 | 5,287,207 | 4,609,516 | 4,600,082 | 4,434,334 | 4,367,507 | 4,371,105 | 4,609,516 |
| 22 | Debt Service - New | 0 | 340,567 | 782,293 | 1,058,793 | 1,362,268 | 1,514,006 | 1,780,390 | 2,023,171 | 2,023,171 | 2,023,171 | 1,514,006 |
| 23 | Total Uses of Funds | 47,081,697 | 48,002,624 | 31,922,811 | 32,336,706 | 29,226,695 | 23,802,571 | 35,120,254 | 36,694,689 | 32,997,261 | 35,434,560 | 35,120,254 |
| 24 | Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | 433,680 | (10,819,882) | (816,749) | 3,121,256 | 5,767,007 | 9,082,629 | 5,314,909 | (2,413,152) | 2,278,871 | 931,231 | 5,314,909 |
| 25 | Water Utility Beginning Balance | 20,933,476 | 21,367,155 | 10,547,273 | 9,730,524 | 12,851,780 | 18,618,787 | 27,701,416 | 33,016,325 | 30,603,173 | 32,882,044 | 27,701,416 |
| 26 | Consolidated Water Fund Ending Balance | \$21,367,155 | \$10,547,273 | \$9,730,524 | \$12,851,780 | \$18,618,787 | \$27,701,416 | \$33,016,325 | \$30,603,173 | \$32,882,044 | \$33,813,275 | \$33,016,325 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Debt Service Coverage

| Line | - | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | |
|------|--|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 |
| | Revenues | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Water Resource Development Fee | \$340,970 | \$312,650 | \$492,080 | \$498,480 | \$504,960 | \$511,520 | \$518,170 | \$524,910 | \$531,730 | \$538,650 |
| 2 | System Impact Fees | 1,254,782 | 1,264,193 | 2,207,703 | 2,752,172 | 2,787,950 | 2,824,194 | 2,860,908 | 2,898,100 | 2,935,775 | 2,973,940 |
| 3 | Water Sales Revenue | 19,677,960 | 22,360,343 | 23,880,762 | 25,688,614 | 26,522,810 | 27,383,353 | 28,270,423 | 29,185,252 | 30,128,136 | 31,100,234 |
| 4 | Aquifer Protection Fees | 284,666 | 287,341 | 292,009 | 296,739 | 301,528 | 306,383 | 311,299 | 316,280 | 321,324 | 326,432 |
| 5 | Miscellaneous Revenue | 641,600 | 657,640 | 674,081 | 690,933 | 708,206 | 725,912 | 744,059 | 762,661 | 781,727 | 801,270 |
| 6 | Intergovernmental Contributions | 799,022 | 203,723 | 211,872 | 218,228 | 224,775 | 231,518 | 238,463 | 245,617 | 252,986 | 260,575 |
| 7 | Interest Income | 362,185 | 225,852 | 118,554 | 112,796 | 143,473 | 202,321 | 291,840 | 348,716 | 324,454 | 364,689 |
| 8 | Subtotal | \$23,361,185 | \$25,311,742 | \$27,877,062 | \$30,257,962 | \$31,193,702 | \$32,185,200 | \$33,235,162 | \$34,281,537 | \$35,276,132 | \$36,365,791 |
| 9 | Operation and Maintenance Expense | \$13,045,033 | \$12,450,712 | \$12,974,626 | \$13,464,251 | \$13,910,201 | \$14,313,341 | \$14,728,221 | \$15,155,184 | \$15,594,584 | \$16,046,784 |
| 10 | Net Revenue Available for Debt Service | \$10,316,152 | \$12,861,030 | \$14,902,435 | \$16,793,711 | \$17,283,501 | \$17,871,859 | \$18,506,942 | \$19,126,353 | \$19,681,549 | \$20,319,007 |
| | Total Debt Service | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Existing Debt Service | \$5,293,251 | \$5,292,198 | \$5,279,825 | \$5,291,979 | \$5,287,207 | \$4,609,516 | \$4,600,082 | \$4,434,334 | \$4,367,507 | \$4,371,105 |
| 12 | Future Debt Service | 0 | 340,567 | 782,293 | 1,058,793 | 1,362,268 | 1,514,006 | 1,780,390 | 2,023,171 | 2,023,171 | 2,023,171 |
| 13 | Subtotal | \$5,293,251 | \$5,632,765 | \$6,062,118 | \$6,350,772 | \$6,649,476 | \$6,123,523 | \$6,380,472 | \$6,457,505 | \$6,390,678 | \$6,394,276 |
| 14 | Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Target 150%) | 195% | 228% | 246% | 264% | 260% | 292% | 290% | 296% | 308% | 318% |
| 15 | Debt Service Coverage Ratio (w/o DIFs - Target 125%) | 144% | 192% | 193% | 205% | 202% | 229% | 228% | 234% | 245% | 254% |

| | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Line | | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | Test Year |
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| | Operations Fund | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Operating Revenues | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Revenue Under Existing Rates | \$19,677,960 | \$19,787,914 | \$20,031,675 | \$20,277,662 | \$20,525,634 | \$20,776,074 | \$21,028,534 | \$21,283,350 | \$21,540,145 | \$21,799,163 | \$20,776,074 |
| 2 | Additional Revenue Required | 0 | 2,572,429 | 3,849,087 | 5,410,952 | 5,997,176 | 6,607,279 | 7,241,889 | 7,901,902 | 8,587,991 | 9,301,071 | 6,607,279 |
| 3 | Total Water Sales Revenue | 19,677,960 | 22,360,343 | 23,880,762 | 25,688,614 | 26,522,810 | 27,383,353 | 28,270,423 | 29,185,252 | 30,128,136 | 31,100,234 | 27,383,353 |
| 4 | Interest Income | 247,644 | 132,480 | 36,430 | 31,123 | 61,985 | 118,530 | 204,314 | 257,382 | 229,247 | 265,552 | 118,530 |
| 5 | Miscellaneous Revenue | 466,600 | 478,265 | 490,222 | 502,477 | 515,039 | 527,915 | 541,113 | 554,641 | 568,507 | 582,719 | 527,915 |
| 6 | Total Operating Revenues | 20,392,204 | 22,971,088 | 24,407,414 | 26,222,214 | 27,099,834 | 28,029,798 | 29,015,850 | 29,997,274 | 30,925,889 | 31,948,506 | 28,029,798 |
| 7 | Total Operating Expenditures | 11,591,558 | 12,132,064 | 12,643,231 | 13,122,915 | 13,558,625 | 13,951,217 | 14,355,233 | 14,771,006 | 15,198,881 | 15,639,210 | 13,951,217 |
| 8 | Net Operating Income Available For Debt Service | 8,800,646 | 10,839,024 | 11,764,183 | 13,099,299 | 13,541,209 | 14,078,581 | 14,660,617 | 15,226,268 | 15,727,008 | 16,309,295 | 14,078,581 |
| | Debt Service | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Debt Service - Existing | 2,338,019 | 2,336,808 | 2,335,560 | 2,334,273 | 2,332,947 | 2,006,573 | 2,000,616 | 1,894,035 | 1,825,978 | 1,825,119 | 2,006,573 |
| 10 | Debt Service - New | 0 | 340,567 | 782,293 | 1,031,817 | 1,284,713 | 1,389,244 | 1,389,244 | 1,389,244 | 1,389,244 | 1,389,244 | 1,389,244 |
| 11 | Total Debt Service | 2,338,019 | 2,677,375 | 3,117,852 | 3,366,090 | 3,617,661 | 3,395,817 | 3,389,860 | 3,283,279 | 3,215,222 | 3,214,363 | 3,395,817 |
| 12 | Income Available After Debt Service | 6,462,627 | 8,161,649 | 8,646,330 | 9,733,209 | 9,923,549 | 10,682,764 | 11,270,757 | 11,942,989 | 12,511,786 | 13,094,933 | 10,682,764 |
| | Other Cash Inflows/(Outflows) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Interfund Loan Receivable Payment Capital Fund Loans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 351,486 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 351,486 |
| 14 | Water Resource Development Fee Fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (7,713) | (130,585) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (130,585) |
| 15 | System Impact Fee Fund by Service Area | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| 16 | Service Area A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Service Area B | (11,934,515) | (5,341,801) | (1,310,255) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (2,147,844) | 0 |
| 18 | Capital Improvement Fund | (17,621,604) | (12,424,770) | (7,866,833) | (6,647,026) | (4,261,269) | (2,325,254) | (5,964,054) | (14,756,480) | (8,881,279) | (9,881,699) | (2,325,254) |
| 19 | Remaining WIFA Loan Balance - Non-Growth Portion | 11,577,096 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | Other Cash Inflows/(Outflows) | (17,979,024) | (17,766,571) | (9,177,088) | (6,647,026) | (4,268,982) | (2,104,352) | (5,964,054) | (14,756,480) | (8,881,279) | (12,029,543) | (2,104,352) |
| 21 | Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | (11,516,397) | (9,604,922) | (530,757) | 3,086,183 | 5,654,567 | 8,578,412 | 5,306,704 | (2,813,491) | 3,630,506 | 1,065,389 | 8,578,412 |
| 22 | Operation Fund Beginning Balance | 24,764,362 | 13,247,964 | 3,643,043 | 3,112,286 | 6,198,469 | 11,853,036 | 20,431,448 | 25,738,151 | 22,924,660 | 26,555,166 | 11,853,036 |
| 23 | Operation Fund Ending Balance | \$13,247,964 | \$3,643,043 | \$3,112,286 | \$6,198,469 | \$11,853,036 | \$20,431,448 | 25,738,151 | 22,924,660 | 26,555,166 | 27,620,556 | 20,431,448 |
| 24 | Target Reserve (25% of O&M) | 114% | 30% | 25% | 47% | 87% | 146% | 179% | 155% | 175% | 177% | |
| | Revenue Adjustments | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Annual Increase | 0.0% | 13.0% | 11.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | |
| 26 | Cumulative Increase | 0.0% | 13.0% | 25.4% | 27.9% | 30.5% | 33.1% | 35.8% | 38.5% | 41.3% | 44.1% | 33.1% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Comprehensive Agreement No. 1 Monitoring Fund

| Line | | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | Test Year |
|------|---|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| | Comprehensive Agreement No. 1 Monitoring Fund | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sources of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Interest Income | \$7,328 | \$2,452 | \$2,477 | \$2,502 | \$2,527 | \$2,553 | \$2,579 | \$2,606 | \$2,632 | \$2,659 | \$2,553 |
| 2 | Intergovernmental Contributions (1) | 688,417 | 87,588 | 91,091 | 93,824 | 96,639 | 99,538 | 102,524 | 105,600 | 108,768 | 112,031 | 99,538 |
| 3 | Transfer from Alt Water Fund | 47,101 | 49,457 | 51,435 | 52,978 | 54,567 | 56,204 | 57,890 | 59,627 | 61,416 | 63,258 | 56,204 |
| 4 | Total Sources of Funds | 742,847 | 139,496 | 145,003 | 149,304 | 153,733 | 158,295 | 162,994 | 167,833 | 172,816 | 177,948 | 158,295 |
| | Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Comprehensive Agreement No. 1 Monitoring (2) | 1,230,475 | 136,999 | 142,479 | 146,753 | 151,156 | 155,690 | 160,361 | 165,172 | 170,127 | 175,231 | 155,690 |
| 6 | Debt Service - Existing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Debt Service - New | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Total Uses of Funds | 1,230,475 | 136,999 | 142,479 | 146,753 | 151,156 | 155,690 | 160,361 | 165,172 | 170,127 | 175,231 | 155,690 |
| 9 | Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | (487,628) | 2,497 | 2,524 | 2,551 | 2,578 | 2,605 | 2,633 | 2,661 | 2,689 | 2,718 | 2,605 |
| 10 | Beginning Year Fund Balance | 732,802 | 245,173 | 247,671 | 250,195 | 252,746 | 255,324 | 257,929 | 260,562 | 263,222 | 265,911 | 255,324 |
| 11 | End of Year Fund Balance | 245,173 | 247,671 | 250,195 | 252,746 | 255,324 | 257,929 | 260,562 | 263,222 | 265,911 | 268,629 | 257,929 |

⁽¹⁾ Intergovernmental contributions provided by City based on CA Agreement No. 1 between the City, Town of Prescott Valley, and Salt River Project members.

⁽²⁾ CA Agreement No. 1 Modeling expenses provided by City Staff per IGA between the City, Town of Prescott Valley, and Salt River Project members.

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Comprehensive Agreement No. 1 Modeling Fund

| Line | | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | Test Year |
|------|---|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| | Comprehensive Agreement No. 1 Modeling Fund | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sources of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Interest Income | \$1,730 | \$1,748 | \$1,766 | \$1,784 | \$1,803 | \$1,821 | \$1,840 | \$1,859 | \$1,879 | \$1,898 | \$1,821 |
| 2 | Intergovernmental Contributions (1) | 110,605 | 116,135 | 120,780 | 124,404 | 128,136 | 131,980 | 135,939 | 140,017 | 144,218 | 148,545 | 131,980 |
| 3 | Transfer from Alt Water Fund | 62,453 | 65,576 | 68,199 | 70,245 | 72,352 | 74,523 | 76,758 | 79,061 | 81,433 | 83,876 | 74,523 |
| 4 | Total Sources of Funds | 174,788 | 183,458 | 190,745 | 196,433 | 202,290 | 208,324 | 214,538 | 220,938 | 227,529 | 234,319 | 208,324 |
| | Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Comprehensive Agreement No. 1 Modeling (2) | 173,000 | 181,650 | 188,916 | 194,583 | 200,421 | 206,434 | 212,627 | 219,005 | 225,576 | 232,343 | 206,434 |
| 6 | Debt Service - Existing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Debt Service - New | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Total Uses of Funds | 173,000 | 181,650 | 188,916 | 194,583 | 200,421 | 206,434 | 212,627 | 219,005 | 225,576 | 232,343 | 206,434 |
| 9 | Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | 1,788 | 1,808 | 1,829 | 1,849 | 1,869 | 1,890 | 1,911 | 1,932 | 1,954 | 1,976 | 1,890 |
| 10 | Beginning Year Fund Balance | 172,991 | 174,779 | 176,587 | 178,416 | 180,265 | 182,134 | 184,024 | 185,936 | 187,868 | 189,822 | 182,134 |
| 11 | End of Year Fund Balance | 174,779 | 176,587 | 178,416 | 180,265 | 182,134 | 184,024 | 185,936 | 187,868 | 189,822 | 191,797 | 184,024 |

⁽¹⁾ Intergovernmental contributions provided by City based on CA Agreement No. 1 between the City, Town of Prescott Valley, and Salt River Project members.

⁽²⁾ CA Agreement No. 1 Modeling expenses provided by City Staff per IGA between the City, Town of Prescott Valley, and Salt River Project members.

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Aquifer Protection Fee Fund

| Line | | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | Test Year |
|------|---|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| | Aquifer Protection Fee Fund | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sources of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Aquifer Protection Fee Revenue | \$284,666 | \$287,341 | \$292,009 | \$296,739 | \$301,528 | \$306,383 | \$311,299 | \$316,280 | \$321,324 | \$326,432 | \$306,383 |
| 2 | Interest Income | 22,279 | 21,676 | 16,788 | 18,680 | 20,603 | 22,555 | 24,538 | 26,550 | 28,592 | 30,663 | 22,555 |
| 3 | Total Sources of Funds | 306,945 | 309,017 | 308,798 | 315,419 | 322,131 | 328,938 | 335,837 | 342,830 | 349,916 | 357,095 | 328,938 |
| | Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Operating Expenditures | 50,000 | 115,000 | 119,600 | 123,188 | 126,884 | 130,690 | 134,611 | 138,649 | 142,809 | 147,093 | 130,690 |
| 5 | Aquifer Protection Fee Capital Projects | 317,249 | 682,751 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Total Uses of Funds | 367,249 | 797,751 | 119,600 | 123,188 | 126,884 | 130,690 | 134,611 | 138,649 | 142,809 | 147,093 | 130,690 |
| 7 | Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | (60,304) | (488,734) | 189,198 | 192,231 | 195,247 | 198,248 | 201,226 | 204,181 | 207,107 | 210,002 | 198,248 |
| 8 | Beginning Year Fund Balance | 2,227,882 | 2,167,578 | 1,678,844 | 1,868,042 | 2,060,274 | 2,255,521 | 2,453,769 | 2,654,995 | 2,859,176 | 3,066,283 | 2,255,521 |
| 9 | End of Year Fund Balance | \$2,167,578 | \$1,678,844 | \$1,868,042 | \$2,060,274 | \$2,255,521 | \$2,453,769 | \$2,654,995 | \$2,859,176 | \$3,066,283 | \$3,276,285 | \$2,453,769 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Alternative Water Capital Fund

| Line | _ | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | Test Year |
|------|---|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| | Alternative Water Capital Fund Cash Flow | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sources of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Alternative Water Fee | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2 | Bond & Loan Proceeds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Grants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Transfer from Operations Fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Interest Income | 62,787 | 47,926 | 42,654 | 38,003 | 33,241 | 30,699 | 28,090 | 25,416 | 22,678 | 19,866 | 30,699 |
| 6 | Miscellaneous Revenue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Total Sources of Funds | 62,787 | 47,926 | 42,654 | 38,003 | 33,241 | 30,699 | 28,090 | 25,416 | 22,678 | 19,866 | 28,090 |
| | Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Alternative Water Funded Projects | 1,279,568 | 300,000 | 230,000 | 230,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Bond Issuance & Reserve Deposits | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Transfer to CA No. 1 Monitoring Fund | 47,101 | 49.457 | 51,435 | 52,978 | 54,567 | 56,204 | 57,890 | 59,627 | 61.416 | 63,258 | 56,204 |
| 11 | Transfer to CA No. 1 Modeling Fund | 62,453 | 65,576 | 68,199 | 70,245 | 72,352 | 74,523 | 76,758 | 79,061 | 81,433 | 83,876 | 74,523 |
| 12 | Transfer to the WRDF for 20% of MPC 2014 Debt Issue | 159,830 | 160,080 | 158,080 | 161,000 | 160,550 | 160,850 | 160,850 | 160,550 | 160,950 | 162,000 | 160,850 |
| 13 | Total Uses of Funds | 1,548,952 | 575,112 | 507,713 | 514,222 | 287,469 | 291,577 | 295,499 | 299,238 | 303,799 | 309,134 | 291,577 |
| 14 | Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | (1,486,165) | (527,187) | (465,060) | (476,219) | (254,228) | (260,878) | (267,409) | (273,822) | (281,121) | (289,268) | (260,878) |
| 15 | Beginning Fund Balance | 6,278,719 | 4,792,553 | 4,265,367 | 3,800,307 | 3,324,088 | 3,069,859 | 2,808,981 | 2,541,572 | 2,267,750 | 1,986,629 | 3,069,859 |
| 16 | Ending Fund Balance | \$4,792,553 | \$4,265,367 | \$3,800,307 | \$3,324,088 | \$3,069,859 | \$2,808,981 | \$2,541,572 | 2,267,750 | 1,986,629 | 1,697,361 | 2,808,981 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Water Non-Growth Capital Improvement Fund

| Line | | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | Test Year |
|------|--|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| | Capital Improvement Program Fund Cash Flow | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sources of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Bond and Loan Proceeds | \$0 | \$10,100,000 | \$3,000,000 | \$4,400,000 | \$3,100,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2 | Grants | 1,000,000 | 1,771,000 | 229,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Transfer From (To) Operations Fund | 17,621,604 | 12,424,770 | 7,866,833 | 6,647,026 | 4,261,269 | 2,325,254 | 5,964,054 | 14,756,480 | 8,881,279 | 9,881,699 | 2,325,254 |
| 4 | Interest Income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Miscellaneous Revenue | 175,000 | 179,375 | 183,859 | 188,456 | 193,167 | 197,996 | 202,946 | 208,020 | 213,221 | 218,551 | 197,996 |
| 6 | Total Sources of Funds | 18,796,604 | 24,475,145 | 11,279,692 | 11,235,482 | 7,554,436 | 2,523,250 | 6,167,000 | 14,964,500 | 9,094,500 | 10,100,250 | 2,523,250 |
| | Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Non-Growth Capital Projects | 18,796,604 | 23,592,011 | 11,017,375 | 10,850,750 | 7,283,375 | 2,523,250 | 6,167,000 | 14,964,500 | 9,094,500 | 10,100,250 | 2,523,250 |
| 8 | Bond Issuance Costs and Reserve Deposits | 0 | 883,134 | 262,317 | 384,732 | 271,061 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Total Uses of Funds | 18,796,604 | 24,475,145 | 11,279,692 | 11,235,482 | 7,554,436 | 2,523,250 | 6,167,000 | 14,964,500 | 9,094,500 | 10,100,250 | 2,523,250 |
| 10 | Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Beginning Fund Balance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Ending Fund Balance | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Water Resource Development Fund Cash Flow

| Line | - | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | Test Year |
|------|---|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| | Water Resource Development Fund | | | | | | | | | | _ | |
| 1 | Water Resource Development Fee | \$1,441 | \$1,182 | \$1,182 | \$1,182 | \$1,182 | \$1,182 | \$1,182 | \$1,182 | \$1,182 | \$1,182 | |
| 2 | Fee Escalation Factor | | -18.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | |
| 3 | Annual Added EDUs (1) | 237 | 238 | 416 | 422 | 427 | 433 | 438 | 444 | 450 | 456 | |
| | Sources of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Water Resource Development Fee (2) | \$340,970 | \$312,650 | \$492,080 | \$498,480 | \$504,960 | \$511,520 | \$518,170 | \$524,910 | \$531,730 | \$538,650 | \$511,520 |
| 5 | Interest Income | 10,170 | 7,289 | 4,085 | 2,723 | 1,295 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 6 | Bond & Loan Proceeds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Intergovernmental Contributions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Loans from Operations Fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,713 | 130,585 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130,585 |
| 9 | Alt. Water Fund Debt Service Transfer From Op. Fund | 159,830 | 160,080 | 158,080 | 161,000 | 160,550 | 160,850 | 160,850 | 160,550 | 160,950 | 162,000 | 160,850 |
| 10 | Total Sources of Funds | 510,970 | 480,019 | 654,245 | 662,203 | 674,518 | 802,968 | 679,020 | 685,460 | 692,680 | 700,650 | 802,968 |
| | Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Water Resource Projects | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Bond Issuance Costs and Reserve Deposits | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Debt Service - Existing | 799,150 | 800,400 | 790,400 | 805,000 | 802,750 | 804,250 | 804,250 | 802,750 | 804,750 | 810,000 | 804,250 |
| 14 | Debt Service - New | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Loan Repayment to Operations Fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Other Services and Charges | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Total Uses of Funds | 799,150 | 800,400 | 790,400 | 805,000 | 802,750 | 804,250 | 804,250 | 802,750 | 804,750 | 810,000 | 804,250 |
| 18 | Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | (288,180) | (320,381) | (136,155) | (142,797) | (128,232) | (1,282) | (125,230) | (117,290) | (112,070) | (109,350) | (1,282) |
| 19 | Beginning Year Fund Balance | 1,017,040 | 728,861 | 408,479 | 272,324 | 129,527 | 1,295 | 13 | (125,217) | (242,507) | (354,577) | 1,295 |
| 20 | End of Year Fund Balance | \$728,861 | \$408,479 | \$272,324 | \$129,527 | \$1,295 | \$13 | (125,217) | (242,507) | (354,577) | (463,927) | 13 |

⁽¹⁾ Based on 3/4-inch meter capacity ratios.

⁽²⁾ Fee multiple by the Incremental EDUs. Fee decrease to be effective January 1, 2025.

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Total System Impact Fee Fund (Service Area A through B) (1)

| Line | | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | Test Year |
|------|---|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| 1 | System Impact Fee | \$5,303 | \$5,303 | \$5,303 | \$6,526 | \$6,526 | \$6,526 | \$6,526 | \$6,526 | \$6,526 | \$6,526 | |
| 2 | Fee Escalation Factor | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | |
| 3 | Annual Added EDUs | 237 | 238 | 416 | 422 | 427 | 433 | 438 | 444 | 450 | 456 | |
| | Sources of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | System Impact Fees | \$1,254,782 | \$1,264,193 | \$2,207,703 | \$2,752,172 | \$2,787,950 | \$2,824,194 | \$2,860,908 | \$2,898,100 | \$2,935,775 | \$2,973,940 | \$2,824,194 |
| 5 | Interest Income | 10,247 | 12,283 | 14,354 | 17,981 | 22,019 | 26,149 | 30,479 | 34,904 | 39,428 | 44,051 | 26,149 |
| 6 | Bond & Loan Proceeds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 800,000 | 700,000 | 700,000 | 7,200,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 700,000 |
| 7 | Grants / Developer Funded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Loans from Operations Fund | 11,934,515 | 5,341,801 | 1,310,255 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,147,844 | 0 |
| 9 | Authorized WIFA Loan Disbursements | 11,577,096 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Total Sources of Funds | 24,776,640 | 6,618,277 | 3,532,312 | 3,570,153 | 3,509,969 | 3,550,343 | 10,091,387 | 2,933,004 | 2,975,203 | 5,165,835 | 3,550,343 |
| | Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | System Infrastructure Growth-Related Projects | 8,349,992 | 4,461,250 | 1,376,375 | 986,250 | 1,051,375 | 781,250 | 7,215,000 | 117,500 | 1,917,500 | 2,893,250 | 781,250 |
| 12 | Bond Issuance Costs and Reserve Deposits | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69,951 | 61,207 | 61,207 | 629,561 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61,207 |
| 13 | Debt Service - Existing | 2,156,082 | 2,154,991 | 2,153,865 | 2,152,706 | 2,151,510 | 1,798,693 | 1,795,216 | 1,737,549 | 1,736,779 | 1,735,987 | 1,798,693 |
| 14 | Debt Service - New | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,976 | 77,555 | 124,762 | 391,146 | 633,927 | 633,927 | 633,927 | 124,762 |
| 15 | Loan Repayment to Operations Fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 351,486 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 351,486 |
| 16 | Total Uses of Funds | 10,506,074 | 6,616,241 | 3,530,240 | 3,235,883 | 3,341,647 | 3,117,399 | 10,030,923 | 2,488,976 | 4,288,206 | 5,263,164 | 3,117,399 |
| 17 | Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | 14,270,566 | 2,036 | 2,072 | 334,270 | 168,322 | 432,944 | 60,464 | 444,028 | (1,313,002) | (97,329) | 432,944 |
| 18 | Beginning Fund Balance | (14,260,320) | 10,247 | 12,283 | 14,354 | 348,625 | 516,946 | 949,890 | 1,010,354 | 1,454,382 | 141,379 | 516,946 |
| 19 | Ending Fund Balance | \$10,247 | \$12,283 | \$14,354 | \$348,625 | \$516,946 | \$949,890 | \$1,010,354 | \$1,454,382 | \$141,379 | \$44,051 | \$949,890 |

⁽¹⁾ Summary of total projected service area impact fee fund sources and uses annually.

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study System Impact Fee Fund: Service Area A

| Line | | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | <u> </u> | Test Year |
|------|---|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| 1 | Water Impact Fee (Service Area A) | \$862 | \$862 | \$862 | \$940 | \$940 | \$940 | \$940 | \$940 | \$940 | \$940 | |
| | Sources of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | System Impact Fees (1) | \$203,964 | \$205,494 | \$358,861 | \$396,421 | \$401,574 | \$406,795 | \$412,083 | \$417,440 | \$422,867 | \$428,364 | \$406,795 |
| 3 | Interest Income | 10,247 | 12,283 | 14,354 | 17,981 | 22,019 | 26,149 | 30,479 | 34,904 | 39,428 | 44,051 | 26,149 |
| 4 | Bond & Loan Proceeds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Grant / Developer Funded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Loans from Operations Fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Authorized WIFA Loan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Total Sources of Funds | 214,211 | 217,777 | 373,215 | 414,402 | 423,593 | 432,944 | 442,562 | 452,344 | 462,295 | 472,415 | 432,944 |
| | Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | System Infrastructure Growth-Related Projects | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Bond Issuance Costs and Reserve Deposits | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Debt Service - Existing | 10,613 | 10,601 | 10,588 | 10,575 | 10,562 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Debt Service - New | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Loan Repayment to Operations Fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Total Uses of Funds | 10,613 | 10,601 | 10,588 | 10,575 | 10,562 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | 203,598 | 207,176 | 362,627 | 403,827 | 413,031 | 432,944 | 442,562 | 452,344 | 462,295 | 472,415 | 432,944 |
| 16 | Beginning Fund Balance | 1,024,655 | 1,228,253 | 1,435,429 | 1,798,056 | 2,201,883 | 2,614,914 | 3,047,858 | 3,490,420 | 3,942,764 | 4,405,059 | 2,614,914 |
| 17 | Ending Fund Balance (A) | \$1,228,253 | \$1,435,429 | \$1,798,056 | \$2,201,883 | \$2,614,914 | \$3,047,858 | \$3,490,420 | \$3,942,764 | \$4,405,059 | \$4,877,473 | \$3,047,858 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study System Impact Fee Fund: Service Area B

| Line | | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | Test Year |
|------|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| 1 | Water Impact Fee (Service Area B) | \$4,441 | \$4,441 | \$4,441 | \$5,586 | \$5,586 | \$5,586 | \$5,586 | \$5,586 | \$5,586 | \$5,586 | |
| | Sources of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | System Impact Fees (1) | \$1,050,818 | \$1,058,699 | \$1,848,842 | \$2,355,751 | \$2,386,376 | \$2,417,399 | \$2,448,825 | \$2,480,660 | \$2,512,908 | \$2,545,576 | \$2,417,399 |
| 3 | Interest Income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Bond & Loan Proceeds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 800,000 | 700,000 | 700,000 | 7,200,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 700,000 |
| 5 | Grant / Developer Funded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Loans from Operations Fund | 11,934,515 | 5,341,801 | 1,310,255 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,147,844 | 0 |
| 7 | Authorized WIFA Loan | 11,577,096 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Total Sources of Funds | 24,562,430 | 6,400,500 | 3,159,097 | 3,155,751 | 3,086,376 | 3,117,399 | 9,648,825 | 2,480,660 | 2,512,908 | 4,693,420 | 3,117,399 |
| | Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | System Infrastructure Growth-Related Projects | 8,349,992 | 4,461,250 | 1,376,375 | 986,250 | 1,051,375 | 781,250 | 7,215,000 | 117,500 | 1,917,500 | 2,893,250 | 781,250 |
| 10 | Bond Issuance Costs and Reserve Deposits | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69,951 | 61,207 | 61,207 | 629,561 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61,207 |
| 11 | Debt Service - Existing | 2,145,469 | 2,144,390 | 2,143,277 | 2,142,131 | 2,140,949 | 1,798,693 | 1,795,216 | 1,737,549 | 1,736,779 | 1,735,987 | 1,798,693 |
| 12 | Debt Service - New | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,976 | 77,555 | 124,762 | 391,146 | 633,927 | 633,927 | 633,927 | 124,762 |
| 13 | Loan Repayment to Operations Fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 351,486 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 351,486 |
| 14 | Total Uses of Funds | 10,495,461 | 6,605,640 | 3,519,652 | 3,225,307 | 3,331,086 | 3,117,399 | 10,030,923 | 2,488,976 | 4,288,206 | 5,263,164 | 10,030,923 |
| 15 | Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | 14,066,968 | (205,140) | (360,555) | (69,556) | (244,710) | 0 | (382,098) | (8,316) | (1,775,297) | (569,743) | 0 |
| 16 | Beginning Fund Balance | (15,284,975) | (1,218,007) | (1,423,147) | (1,783,702) | (1,853,258) | (2,097,968) | (2,097,968) | (2,480,066) | (2,488,382) | (4,263,680) | (2,097,968) |
| 17 | Ending Fund Balance (B) | (\$1,218,007) | (\$1,423,147) | (\$1,783,702) | (\$1,853,258) | (\$2,097,968) | (\$2,097,968) | (\$2,480,066) | (\$2,488,382) | (\$4,263,680) | (\$4,833,423) | (\$2,097,968) |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Existing Debt Service

| | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | Ор |
|---|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| DESCRIPTION (1) | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 |
| | | | | | | | 4.5 | | 4- | 4- |
| Drinking Water Alt Water | \$36,762 | \$36,720 | \$36,676 | \$36,631 | \$36,584 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Drinking Water Impact Fee Proj | 217,167 | 216,919 | 216,662 | 216,395 | 216,119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Drinking Water Projects | 426,846 | 426,359 | 425,853 | 425,329 | 424,786 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| JWK Ranch Purchase 20% / 80% | 799,150 | 800,400 | 790,400 | 805,000 | 802,750 | 804,250 | 804,250 | 802,750 | 804,750 | 810,000 |
| Small Water Mains | 67,633 | 67,579 | 67,524 | 67,467 | 67,408 | 67,347 | 67,284 | 67,219 | 0 | 0 |
| Water Res 12, 19 & 27 - 39% / 61% | 896,810 | 896,265 | 895,705 | 895,129 | 894,537 | 893,928 | 893,303 | 892,659 | 891,998 | 891,318 |
| Zone 39 Improvements 56% / 44% | 171,212 | 171,072 | 170,928 | 170,779 | 170,625 | 170,467 | 162,618 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Intermediate Pump (2020 Series) 50% / 50% | 1,043,360 | 1,043,186 | 1,043,009 | 1,042,829 | 1,042,647 | 1,042,461 | 1,042,273 | 1,042,081 | 1,041,887 | 1,041,689 |
| Intermediate Pump (2023 Series) 50% / 50% | 1,634,310 | 1,633,698 | 1,633,068 | 1,632,420 | 1,631,751 | 1,631,063 | 1,630,354 | 1,629,624 | 1,628,872 | 1,628,098 |
| UNUSED | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Existing Debt Service | 5,293,251 | \$5,292,198 | \$5,279,825 | \$5,291,979 | \$5,287,207 | \$4,609,516 | 4,600,082 | 4,434,334 | 4,367,507 | 4,371,105 |

⁽¹⁾ Debt service is allocated between growth and non-growth funds for repayment included within Cashflow analysis results.

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Future Debt Service by Issue (All Funds)

| Line | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION (1) | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 |
| 1 | FY 2023-24 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2 | FY 2024-25 | | 340,567 | 681,134 | 681,134 | 681,134 | 681,134 | 681,134 | 681,134 | 681,134 | 681,134 |
| 3 | FY 2025-26 | | | 101,159 | 202,317 | 202,317 | 202,317 | 202,317 | 202,317 | 202,317 | 202,317 |
| 4 | FY 2026-27 | | | | 175,341 | 350,683 | 350,683 | 350,683 | 350,683 | 350,683 | 350,683 |
| 5 | FY 2027-28 | | | | | 128,134 | 256,268 | 256,268 | 256,268 | 256,268 | 256,268 |
| 6 | FY 2028-29 | | | | | | 23,604 | 47,207 | 47,207 | 47,207 | 47,207 |
| 7 | FY 2029-30 | | | | | | | 242,781 | 485,561 | 485,561 | 485,561 |
| 8 | FY 2030-31 | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | FY 2031-32 | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | FY 2032-33 | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Water Fund Total | \$0 | \$340,567 | \$782,293 | \$1,058,793 | \$1,362,268 | \$1,514,006 | \$1,780,390 | \$2,023,171 | \$2,023,171 | \$2,023,171 |

⁽¹⁾ Debt service is allocated between growth and non-growth funds for repayment included within Cashflow analysis results.

| Line | | Budget | | | | | Pi | rojected | | | |
|------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Water Resources Management Personnel Services | \$272,772 | \$286,411 | \$297,867 | \$306,803 | \$316,007 | \$325,487 | \$335,252 | \$345,310 | \$355,669 | \$366,339 |
| 2 | Supplies | 11,250 | 11,813 | 12,285 | 12,654 | 13,033 | 13,424 | 13,827 | 14,242 | 14,669 | 15,109 |
| 3 | Internal Charges | 72,626 | 76,257 | 79,308 | 81,687 | 84,137 | 86,662 | 89,261 | 91,939 | 94,697 | 97,538 |
| 4 | CA No. 1 One-Time (City / PV Funded) | 1,100,000 | 70,237 | 75,508 | 01,087 | 04,137 | 0 | 03,201 | 01,555 | 0 | 0,558 |
| 5 | CA No. 1 Monitoring (City / PV/SRP Funded) | 130,475 | 136,999 | 142,479 | 146,753 | 151,156 | 155,690 | 160,361 | 165,172 | 170,127 | 175,231 |
| 6 | CA No. 1 Modeling (City / PV/SRP Funded) | 173,000 | 181,650 | 188,916 | 194,583 | 200,421 | 206,434 | 212,627 | 219,005 | 225,576 | 232,343 |
| 7 | Legal Services | 210,000 | 220,500 | 229,320 | 236,200 | 243,286 | 250,584 | 258,102 | 265,845 | 273,820 | 282,035 |
| 8 | Effluent Charge | 200,000 | 210,000 | 218,400 | 224,952 | 231,701 | 238,652 | 245,811 | 253,185 | 260,781 | 268,604 |
| 9 | All Other Services | 212,740 | 223,377 | 232,312 | 239,281 | 246,460 | 253,854 | 261,469 | 269,313 | 277,393 | 285,715 |
| 10 | Total Water Resources Management | 2,382,863 | 1,347,006 | 1,400,886 | 1,442,913 | 1,486,200 | 1,530,786 | 1,576,710 | 1,624,011 | 1,672,732 | 1,722,914 |
| 11 | Aguifer Protection Fee Fund | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Personnel Services | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Additional Personel | 50,000 | 115,000 | 119,600 | 123,188 | 126,884 | 130,690 | 134,611 | 138,649 | 142,809 | 147,093 |
| 14 | Supplies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147,033 |
| 15 | Internal Charges | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Other Services & Consultants | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Total Aquifer Protection Fee Fund | 50,000 | 115,000 | 119,600 | 123,188 | 126,884 | 130,690 | 134,611 | 138,649 | 142,809 | 147,093 |
| | Revenue Services | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Personnel Services | \$664,994 | \$698,244 | \$726,173 | \$747,959 | \$770,397 | \$793,509 | \$817,315 | 841,834 | 867,089 | 893,102 |
| 19 | Additional Personnel | 0 | 76,130 | 79,175 | 81,550 | 83,997 | 86,517 | 89,112 | 91,786 | 94,539 | 97,376 |
| 20 | Supplies | 7,000 | 7,350 | 7,644 | 7,873 | 8,110 | 8,353 | 8,603 | 8,861 | 9,127 | 9,401 |
| 21 | Internal Charges | 40,215 | 42,226 | 43,915 | 45,232 | 46,589 | 47,987 | 49,426 | 50,909 | 52,437 | 54,010 |
| 22 | Other Services & Consultants | 345,800 | 363,090 | 377,614 | 388,942 | 400,610 | 412,629 | 425,007 | 437,758 | 450,890 | 464,417 |
| 23 | Cost Recovery (Enterprise Fund Utility Billing) | (705,074) | (791,360) | (823,014) | (847,704) | (873,136) | (899,330) | (926,310) | (954,099) | (982,722) | (1,012,203 |
| 24 | Total Finance | 352,935 | 395,680 | 411,507 | 423,852 | 436,568 | 449,665 | 463,155 | 477,049 | 491,361 | 506,102 |
| | Public Works Projects | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Personnel Services | \$178,223 | \$187,134 | \$194,620 | \$200,458 | \$206,472 | \$212,666 | \$219,046 | 225,617 | 232,386 | 239,357 |
| 26 | All Other Services | 200,000 | 210,000 | 218,400 | 224,952 | 231,701 | 238,652 | 245,811 | 253,185 | 260,781 | 268,604 |
| 27 | Total Public Works Water | 378,223 | 397,134 | 413,020 | 425,410 | 438,172 | 451,318 | 464,857 | 478,803 | 493,167 | 507,962 |
| | Water Administration | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | Personal Services | \$575,352 | \$604,120 | \$628,284 | \$647,133 | \$666,547 | \$686,543 | \$707,140 | 728,354 | 750,204 | 772,711 |
| 29 | Additional Personel | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 55,844 | 57,519 | 59,244 | 61,022 | 62,852 | 64,738 |
| 30 | Supplies | 6,775 | 7,114 | 7,398 | 7,620 | 7,849 | 8,084 | 8,327 | 8,577 | 8,834 | 9,099 |
| 31 | Internal Charges | 2,872,866 | 3,016,509 | 3,137,170 | 3,231,285 | 3,328,223 | 3,428,070 | 3,530,912 | 3,636,839 | 3,745,945 | 3,858,323 |
| 32 | All Other Services | 214,130 | 219,483 | 224,970 | 230,595 | 236,359 | 242,268 | 248,325 | 254,533 | 260,897 | 267,419 |
| 33 | Total Water Administration | 3,669,123 | 3,847,226 | 3,997,823 | 4,116,633 | 4,294,822 | 4,422,485 | 4,553,948 | 4,689,325 | 4,828,732 | 4,972,289 |
| | Water Production | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | Personnel Services | \$1,174,792 | \$1,233,532 | \$1,348,223 | \$1,388,670 | \$1,430,330 | \$1,473,240 | \$1,517,437 | 1,562,960 | 1,609,849 | 1,658,144 |
| 35 | Additional Personnel | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 36 | Supplies | 644,475 | 676,699 | 703,767 | 724,880 | 746,626 | 769,025 | 792,096 | 815,858 | 840,334 | 865,544 |
| 37 | Internal Charges | 57,000 | 59,850 | 62,244 | 64,111 | 66,035 | 68,016 | 70,056 | 72,158 | 74,323 | 76,552 |
| 38 | All Other Services | 2,084,912 | 2,137,035 | 2,190,461 | 2,245,222 | 2,301,353 | 2,358,887 | 2,417,859 | 2,478,305 | 2,540,263 | 2,603,769 |
| 39 | Total Water Production | 3,961,179 | 4,107,115 | 4,304,694 | 4,422,883 | 4,544,343 | 4,669,167 | 4,797,447 | 4,929,281 | 5,064,768 | 5,204,010 |
| | Water Distribution | | | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | Personal Services | \$1,385,890 | \$1,455,185 | \$1,513,392 | \$1,615,729 | \$1,664,201 | \$1,714,127 | \$1,765,550 | 1,818,517 | 1,873,072 | 1,929,264 |
| 41 | Additional Personel | | 0 | 0 | 56,935 | 58,643 | 60,402 | 62,214 | 64,081 | 66,003 | 67,983 |
| 42 | Supplies | 520,050 | 546,053 | 567,895 | 584,931 | 602,479 | 620,554 | 639,170 | 658,345 | 678,096 | 698,439 |
| 43 | Internal Charges | 77,000 | 80,850 | 84,084 | 86,607 | 89,205 | 91,881 | 94,637 | 97,476 | 100,401 | 103,413 |
| 44 | All Other Services | 267,770 | 274,464 | 281,326 | 288,359 | 295,568 | 302,957 | 310,531 | 318,294 | 326,252 | 334,408 |
| 45 | Total Water Distribution | 2,250,710 | 2,356,551 | 2,446,696 | 2,632,561 | 2,710,096 | 2,789,921 | 2,872,103 | 2,956,714 | 3,043,824 | 3,133,507 |
| 46 | Total Operation and Maintenance Expense | \$13,045,033 | \$12,565,712 | \$13,094,226 | \$13,587,439 | \$14,037,085 | \$14,444,031 | \$14,862,831 | \$15,293,833 | \$15,737,392 | \$16,193,877 |

| | Current Year | | | Projected | | | | Proje | cted | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Description | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | Total |
| CIP With Inflation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total DIF Growth-Related Projects (% Paid by Fees) | \$8,349,992 | \$4,461,250 | \$1,376,375 | \$986,250 | \$1,051,375 | \$781,250 | \$7,215,000 | \$117,500 | \$1,917,500 | \$2,893,250 | \$29,149,742 |
| SIF Projects (Service Area A) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SIF Projects (Service Area B) | 8,349,992 | 4,461,250 | 1,376,375 | 986,250 | 1,051,375 | 781,250 | 7,215,000 | 117,500 | 1,917,500 | 2,893,250 | 29,149,742 |
| WRDF Projects | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alternative Water Fund Projects | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Debt Financed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grant / Developer / County Funded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cash Financed | 179,568 | 300,000 | 230,000 | 230,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 939,568 |
| Renewal and Replacement | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Debt Financed | 40,517 | 10,125,000 | 2,975,000 | 4,381,250 | 3,093,750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20,615,517 |
| Grant / Developer Funded | 1,000,000 | 1,771,000 | 229,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,000,000 |
| Cash Financed | 17,756,087 | 11,696,011 | 7,813,375 | 6,469,500 | 4,189,625 | 2,523,250 | 6,167,000 | 14,964,500 | 9,094,500 | 10,100,250 | 90,774,098 |
| Aquifer Protection Capital Projects | 317,249 | 682,751 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,000,000 |
| Total CIP with Inflation | \$27,643,413 | \$29,036,012 | \$12,623,750 | \$12,067,000 | \$8,334,750 | \$3,304,500 | \$13,382,000 | \$15,082,000 | \$11,012,000 | \$12,993,500 | \$145,478,925 |

| ine | CIP | Percent | Percent | Functional | Service | Available | Project | | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | 10 |
|-----|--------|---------|------------|-------------|----------|-----------|----------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----|
| Ту | pe (1) | Growth | Non-growth | Designation | Area (2) | for Debt | Number | PROJECT NAME | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 1 |
| | С | 0% | 100% | 4 | В | Cash | 163&164W | Citywide Water Main Replacement Program | \$2,910,051 | \$3,000,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$2,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$1 |
| | С | 0% | 100% | 5 | В | Cash | Program | Misc. Water Projects | 46,831 | 352,000 | 352,000 | 352,000 | 352,000 | 352,000 | 352,000 | 352,000 | 352,000 | 352,000 | |
| | С | 0% | 100% | 54 | В | Cash | | Vehicle Replacements - Water | 26,460 | 604,661 | 515,000 | 430,000 | 670,000 | 210,000 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| - | С | 50% | 50% | 3 | В | Cash | | Water Production and Intermediate Pump Station, Tanks and Pipeline (WIFA) | 14,385,155 | 3,625,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 : |
| 5 | С | 25% | 75% | 1 | В | Cash | 44W | Zone 56 Tank and Pipeline and Zone 7 Pump Station | 4,183,293 | 75,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | İ |
| 6 | С | 30% | 70% | 4 | В | Cash | 68W | Zone 24/27 Water Pipeline Upsizing - Thumb Butte Road to Upper Thumb Butte Tank | 65,082 | 1,400,000 | 2,600,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| _ | С | 0% | 100% | 1 | В | Υ | 74W | Zone 41 Mingus Pump Station, Tank and Pipeline | 40,517 | 10,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 : |
| 3 | С | 0% | 100% | 4 | В | Cash | 76W | Quaka Crossing- YPIT Water Main Upgrade | 1,004,742 | 200,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 9 | С | 35% | 65% | 4 | В | Cash | 114W | Zone 52 Water Main Connect to Northwest Regional Tank | 73,980 | 1,900,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | İ |
| 10 | Α | 0% | 100% | 6 | Α | Cash | | Big Chino Water Ranch Water Delivery Pipeline and Well Field | 179,568 | 300,000 | 230,000 | 230,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1 | С | 0% | 100% | 4 | В | Cash | | Copper and Lead Pipe Inventory | 240,400 | 159,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | İ |
| 2 | С | 100% | 0% | 4 | В | Cash | | Deep Well Ranch Water Infrastructure DA | 0 | 250,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 3 | C | 100% | 0% | 4 | В | Cash | 161W | Section 32 and 33 Water | 50,000 | 1,270,000 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | İ |
| 14 | С | 0% | 100% | 51 | В | Cash | | Water Meter Replacement Program (Cash Funded) | 500,000 | 1,500,000 | 3,341,000 | 2,970,000 | 1,470,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | l |
| .5 | C | 0% | 100% | 51 | В | GDF | | Water Meter Replacement Program (Grant Funded) | 1,000,000 | 1,771,000 | 229,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | İ |
| 16 | C | 0% | 100% | 3 | В | Y | | PFAS Remediation | 0 | 125,000 | 2,975,000 | 4,100,000 | 2.850.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 7 | C | 100% | 0% | 4 | В | Y | 162W | Deep Well Ranch DA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300,000 | 2,700,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | l |
| 8 | C | 100% | 0% | 4 | В | Cash | 166W | Storm Ranch DA | 0 | 0 | 595,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | f |
| 9 | C | 75% | 25% | 4 | В | γ | 108W | North Airport Distribution System Loop | 0 | 0 | 333,333 | 1.125.000 | 975,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | f |
| 0 | C | 50% | 50% | 4 | B | Cash | 92W | Zone 110 New Transmission Main | 0 | 0 | 0 | 285.000 | 0.000 | 0 | · | 0 | 3.485.000 | 4.460.000 | 1 |
| 1 | C | 75% | 25% | 4 | В | Cash | 112W | Wilkinson/Larry Caldwell Drive Water Main Upsizing | 0 | 0 | • | 203,000 | 305.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0,403,000 | 4,400,000 | 1 |
| 2 | C | 100% | 0% | 2 | B | V | 106W | Production Well No. 6 AP - New | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 400.000 | 4.515.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| _ | C | 0% | 100% | 4 | В | Cash | 118W | Arrowhead Distribution System Loop | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80.000 | 865,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | C | 0% | 100% | 4 | B | Cash | 88W | Zone 42 Pipeline Upgrade | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ü | 0 | 0.000 | 150,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | f |
| 5 | C | 0% | 100% | 4 | В | Cash | 120W | Zone 48 Distribution System Loop | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 535.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ł |
| _ | C | 0% | 100% | 4 | B | Cash | 120W | Stoney Creek and Northridge Upsize | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 915,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ł |
| | C | 0% | 100% | 4 | В | Cash | 12200 | Downtown Water Main Replacement Program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 800,000 | 2,800,000 | 0 | 0 | ł |
| 8 | C | 0% | 100% | 2 | B | Cash | | Production Well No. 2 CV - Replacement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 400,000 | 4.500.000 | 0 | 0 | ł |
| 9 | C | 0% | 100% | 4 | B | Cash | 158W | Upper Yavapai Hills Booster Pump Station | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250,000 | 2.120.000 | 0 | 0 | ł |
| 10 | C | | 100% | 1 | В | Cash | 98W | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350,000 | 2,120,000 | 0 | 0 | ł |
| 1 | C | 0% | 100% | | В. | Cash | 9600 | Zone 40 Cedarwood Tank Upsizing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350,000 | 400,000 | 4.500.000 | 0 | 4 |
| 2 | C | 0% | 75% | 4 | В | | 64W | Production Well No. 1 CV - Rehabilitation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 470,000 | ,, | 2 652 000 | 1 |
| | C | 25% | | | В | Cash | | SR69 Corridor Water Main | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 470,000 | 700,000 | 2,653,000 | ł |
| 3 | C | 0% | 100% | 4 | В | Cash | 154W | Evergreen Main Upsizing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 475,000 | 0 | 1 |
| 4 | C | 0% | 100% | 4 | В | Cash | 126W | Gail Gardner Upsizing from Fair to Linwood | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,728,000 | 1 |
| 5 | С | 0% | 100% | 4 | В | Cash | 80W | Zone 61 Water Main Upgrade | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,300,500 | 1 |
| _ | C | 0% | 100% | 1 | В | Cash | <u> </u> | Frontier Village Demo of Water Tank, PRV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| _ | С | 0% | 100% | 4 | В | Cash | | Mountain Club Water System Study | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| _ | С | 0% | 100% | 2 | В | Cash | | Production Well No. 3 CV - Rehabilitation | 1,587,738 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | Q | 0% | 100% | 2 | В | Cash | | Watson Lake Improvements | 317,249 | 682,751 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
|) | С | 0% | 100% | 54 | В | Cash | | Vehicle - New FTEs | 0 | 0 | 55,000 | 75,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| l L | C | 50% | 50% | 5 | В | Cash | | Impact Fee Ordinance Project | 29,646 | 50,000 | 2,750 | | 2,750 | 162,500 | | | | | 1 |
| 2 | С | 50% | 50% | 5 | В | Cash | | Water and Wastewater Models | 2,701 | | | | 180,000 | | | | | | 1 |
| 3 | С | 0% | 100% | 4 | В | Cash | | McCormick/Sheldon Street Recon | | | | | 30,000 | 300,000 | | | | | 1 |
| 1 | | | | | | | | Total Capital Improvement Program with Inflation | \$26.643.413 | \$27,265,012 | \$12.394.750 | \$12.067.000 | \$8.334.750 | \$3,304,500 | \$13,382,000 | \$15.082.000 | \$11,012,000 | \$12,993,500 | Ś1 |

A - 17

City of Prescott, Arizona Development Impact Fee and Rate Study Fund Balances (1)

(1) Water and Wastewater Cash Balances Year End FY23 -

Updated w Fund Names Jan 2024.xlsx

| runa barances (1) | |
|--|--------------|
| | 6/30/2023 |
| Water Resource Development Fund | 1,017,040 |
| Comprehensive Agreement No. 1 Monitoring | 732,802 |
| Water Impact Fee Fund A | 1,024,655 |
| Water Impact Fee Fund B | (15,284,975) |
| Capital Improvement Fund | 0 |
| Aquifer Protection Fee Fund | 2,227,882 |
| Operating Fund | 24,764,362 |
| Alt Water Fund | 6,278,719 |
| Comprehensive Agreement No. 1 Modeling | 172,991 |
| Total Funds on Hand | 20,933,476 |
| | |

1.0%

A - 18 4/25/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Water Cash Flow Assumptions

| water cash riow rissamptions | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|--|
| | Current Year Projected | | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Resource Development Fee Fund | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | |
| Bond & Loan Proceeds | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Debt Service Split - Resource Development Fund/Operations | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | |
| Loan from Operations Fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,713 | 130,585 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Loan Repayment to Operations Fund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Intergovernmental Contributions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Other Services and Charges | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Ending Fund Balance | 728,861 | 408,479 | 272,324 | 129,527 | 1,295 | 13 | (125,217) | (242,507) | (354,577) | (463,927) | |
| | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | |
| Comprehensive Agreement No. 1 Monitoring Fund | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Intergovernmental Contributions - Prescott Valley | \$644,925 | \$41,922 | \$43,598 | \$44,906 | \$46,254 | \$47,641 | \$49,070 | \$50,543 | \$52,059 | \$53,621 | |
| Intergovernmental Contributions - Prescott Alt Water | 47,101 | 49,457 | 51,435 | 52,978 | 54,567 | 56,204 | 57,890 | 59,627 | 61,416 | 63,258 | |
| Intergovernmental Contributions - Salt River Project | 43,492 | 45,666 | 47,493 | 48,918 | 50,385 | 51,897 | 53,454 | 55,057 | 56,709 | 58,410 | |
| CA 1 Monitoring (OPS) | 130,475 | 136,999 | 142,479 | 146,753 | 151,156 | 155,690 | 160,361 | 165,172 | 170,127 | 175,231 | |
| CA 1 Monitoring (CAP) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| City / Prescott Valley Shared Costs (OPS) | 1,100,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| City / Prescott Valley Shared Costs (CAP) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Operations Fund Transfer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Alt Water Fund Transfer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Ending Fund Balance | 245,173 | 247,671 | 250,195 | 252,746 | 255,324 | 257,929 | 260,562 | 263,222 | 265,911 | 268,629 | |
| Water System Impact Fee Fund | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | |
| Bond and Loan Proceeds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$800,000 | \$700,000 | \$700,000 | \$7,200,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Loan from Operations Fund | 11,934,515 | 5,341,801 | 1,310,255 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,147,844 | |
| Authorized Remaining WIFA Loan Outstanding | 11,577,096 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$10,247 | \$12,283 | \$14,354 | \$348,625 | \$516,946 | \$949,890 | \$1,010,354 | \$1,454,382 | \$141,379 | \$44,051 | |
| Non-Growth Capital Improvement Fund | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | |
| Bond & Loan Proceeds | 0 | 10,100,000 | 3,000,000 | 4,400,000 | 3,100,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Max Allowable Growth-Related Debt Financed Projects | 40,517 | 10,125,000 | 2,975,000 | 4,381,250 | 3,093,750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Transfer from Operations Fund | 17,621,604 | 12,424,770 | 7,866,833 | 6,647,026 | 4,261,269 | 2,325,254 | 5,964,054 | 14,756,480 | 8,881,279 | 9,881,699 | |
| Ending Fund Balance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Water Cash Flow Assumptions

| | Current Year | Current Year Projected | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Debt Assumptions | | | | | | | | | | |
| Payment Period, years | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Interest Rate, % | 4.5% | 4.5% | 4.5% | 4.5% | 4.5% | 4.5% | 4.5% | 4.5% | 4.5% | 4.5% |
| Month of Issue (1-12) | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Repayment Delay, years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Debt Service Reserve, % | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Debt Issuance Expense | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% |
| Include Interest During Construction/Repayment Delay? | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N | N |
| Cashflow Assumptions | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest on Fund Balances | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Projected Revenue - Water Development Impact Fees

| | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 |
| Service Area A | | | | | | | | | | |
| EDUs Added | 237 | 238 | 416 | 422 | 427 | 433 | 438 | 444 | 450 | 456 |
| Water System Impact Fee | \$862 | \$862 | \$862 | \$940 | \$940 | \$940 | \$940 | \$940 | \$940 | \$940 |
| Revenue | \$203,964 | \$205,494 | \$358,861 | \$396,421 | \$401,574 | \$406,795 | \$412,083 | \$417,440 | \$422,867 | \$428,364 |
| Service Area B | | | | | | | | | | |
| EDUs Added | 237 | 238 | 416 | 422 | 427 | 433 | 438 | 444 | 450 | 456 |
| Water System Impact Fee | \$4,441 | \$4,441 | \$4,441 | \$5,586 | \$5,586 | \$5,586 | \$5,586 | \$5,586 | \$5,586 | \$5,586 |
| Revenue | \$1,050,818 | \$1,058,699 | \$1,848,842 | \$2,355,751 | \$2,386,376 | \$2,417,399 | \$2,448,825 | \$2,480,660 | \$2,512,908 | \$2,545,576 |
| All Service Areas | | | | | | | | | | |
| EDUs Added | 237 | 238 | 416 | 422 | 427 | 433 | 438 | 444 | 450 | 456 |
| Water System Impact Fee | \$5,303 | \$5,303 | \$5,303 | \$6,526 | \$6,526 | \$6,526 | \$6,526 | \$6,526 | \$6,526 | \$6,526 |
| Revenue | \$1,254,783 | \$1,264,193 | \$2,207,703 | \$2,752,172 | \$2,787,950 | \$2,824,194 | \$2,860,908 | \$2,898,100 | \$2,935,775 | \$2,973,940 |
| Total Impact Fee Revenue | \$2,509,565 | \$2,528,387 | \$4,415,406 | \$5,504,344 | \$5,575,901 | \$5,648,387 | \$5,721,816 | \$5,796,200 | \$5,871,550 | \$5,947,881 |

City of Prescott, Arizona
Water Impact Fee and Rate Study
Revenue at Existing Rates
Historical Number of Bills

| Customer Class | FY 2018-19 | FY 2019-20 | FY 2020-21 | FY 2021-22 | FY 2022-23 |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Inside City | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 212,822 | 217,686 | 222,535 | 226,916 | 229,793 |
| Multi-Family | 8,569 | 8,857 | 9,048 | 9,105 | 9,234 |
| Nonresidential | 22,670 | 22,782 | 23,055 | 23,290 | 23,737 |
| Water Dispensing Station | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Reservation | 732 | 731 | 732 | 731 | 731 |
| Reservation - MF | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| Hydrant/Construction | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Inside City | 244,841 | 250,104 | 255,418 | 260,090 | 263,543 |
| Outside City | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 27,813 | 28,022 | 28,118 | 28,244 | 28,317 |
| Multi-Family | 192 | 192 | 192 | 192 | 192 |
| Nonresidential | 533 | 483 | 470 | 474 | 459 |
| Total Outside City | 28,538 | 28,697 | 28,780 | 28,910 | 28,968 |
| Chino Valley | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 8,082 | 8,244 | 8,378 | 8,477 | 8,571 |
| Multi-Family | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Nonresidential | 418 | 428 | 432 | 429 | 444 |
| Total Chino Valley | 8,512 | 8,684 | 8,822 | 8,918 | 9,027 |
| Total Bills | 281,891 | 287,485 | 293,020 | 297,918 | 301,538 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Revenue at Existing Rates Historical Volume

| Customer Class | FY 2018-19 | FY 2019-20 | FY 2020-21 | FY 2021-22 | FY 2022-23 |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| lucido Cito. | | | | | |
| Inside City | 040.070 | 000.000 | 4 4 4 9 9 9 7 | 1 0 1 1 1 1 5 | 055 750 |
| Single-Family | 919,070 | 996,838 | 1,140,997 | 1,041,146 | 955,759 |
| Multi-Family | 188,953 | 185,960 | 208,537 | 200,395 | 190,209 |
| Nonresidential | 603,325 | 625,134 | 709,611 | 663,488 | 610,861 |
| Water Dispensing Station | 4,177 | 4,570 | 6,244 | 4,891 | 3,642 |
| Reservation | 28,543 | 26,433 | 25,219 | 26,972 | 25,113 |
| Reservation - MF | 13,687 | 12,669 | 13,759 | 11,807 | 12,584 |
| Hydrant/Construction | 24,299 | 25,260 | 72,332 | 34,283 | 21,917 |
| Total Inside City (1,000 gallons) | 1,782,055 | 1,876,864 | 2,176,699 | 1,982,981 | 1,820,085 |
| Outside City | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 104,592 | 115,300 | 133,140 | 116,563 | 103,670 |
| Multi-Family | 13,761 | 13,627 | 15,577 | 13,857 | 13,679 |
| Nonresidential | 22,271 | 21,421 | 21,200 | 22,347 | 23,431 |
| Total Outside City (1,000 gallons) | 140,624 | 150,348 | 169,917 | 152,767 | 140,780 |
| Chino Valley | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 39,649 | 44,138 | 49,010 | 46,855 | 42,673 |
| Multi-Family | 1,706 | 1,894 | 1,324 | 1,436 | 2,599 |
| Nonresidential | 7,261 | 7,102 | 8,640 | 8,542 | 7,176 |
| Total Chino Valley (1,000 gallons) | 48,616 | 53,134 | 58,974 | 56,833 | 52,448 |
| Total Billable Volume (1,000 gallons) | 1,971,294 | 2,080,345 | 2,405,590 | 2,192,581 | 2,013,313 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Revenue at Existing Rates Historical Use Per Bill (gal)

| , | | | | | | | 2024 |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| Customer Class | FY 2018-19 | FY 2019-20 | FY 2020-21 | FY 2021-22 | FY 2022-23 | <u>Average</u> | <u>Use</u> |
| | | | | | | | |
| Inside City | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 4,318.5 | 4,579.2 | 5,127.3 | 4,588.2 | 4,159.2 | 4,373.7 | 4,411.3 |
| Multi-Family | 22,050.8 | 20,995.9 | 23,047.9 | 22,009.3 | 20,598.8 | 21,304.0 | 21,413.7 |
| Nonresidential | 26,613.4 | 27,439.8 | 30,779.1 | 28,488.1 | 25,734.5 | 27,111.3 | 27,069.0 |
| Water Dispensing Station | 348,069.3 | 380,794.3 | 520,296.3 | 407,597.7 | 303,536.9 | 355,567.3 | 359,999.6 |
| Reservation | 38,993.5 | 36,159.5 | 34,451.9 | 36,897.4 | 34,354.2 | 35,625.8 | 36,601.2 |
| Reservation - MF | 570,302.7 | 527,861.8 | 573,295.8 | 491,971.4 | 524,350.5 | 508,161.0 | 528,621.6 |
| Hydrant/Construction | 2,024,937.2 | 2,104,971.1 | 6,027,676.8 | 2,856,884.7 | 1,826,426.7 | 2,341,655.7 | 1,985,445.0 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Outside City | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 3,760.5 | 4,114.6 | 4,735.0 | 4,127.0 | 3,661.1 | 3,894.0 | 3,915.8 |
| Multi-Family | 71,671.0 | 70,975.1 | 81,128.9 | 72,171.3 | 71,245.7 | 71,708.5 | 71,515.8 |
| Nonresidential | 41,784.6 | 44,350.0 | 45,106.8 | 47,144.6 | 51,047.0 | 49,095.8 | 46,081.6 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Chino Valley | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 4,905.8 | 5,353.9 | 5,849.8 | 5,527.4 | 4,978.8 | 5,253.1 | 5,191.5 |
| Multi-Family | 142,166.7 | 157,800.0 | 110,325.0 | 119,625.0 | 216,600.0 | 168,112.5 | 159,047.9 |
| Nonresidential | 17,370.9 | 16,594.3 | 19,999.8 | 19,910.9 | 16,161.5 | 18,036.2 | 17,509.4 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Revenue at Existing Rates Projected Number of Bills

| Projected Number of Bills | | | | | | | | | | | | Test Year |
|---|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| <u>Customer Class</u> | | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| Inside City Added Bills/Year | | 2,482 | 2,500 | 4,366 | 4,423 | 4,481 | 4,539 | 4,598 | 4,658 | 4,719 | 4,780 | |
| Inside City | Bills by Area | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 87.45% | 231,963 | 234,149 | 237,967 | 241,835 | 245,753 | 249,722 | 253,743 | 257,816 | 261,942 | 266,122 | 249,722 |
| Multi-Family | 3.51% | 9,321 | 9,409 | 9,562 | 9,717 | 9,874 | 10,034 | 10,196 | 10,360 | 10,526 | 10,694 | 10,034 |
| Nonresidential | 9.03% | 23,961 | 24,187 | 24,581 | 24,981 | 25,386 | 25,796 | 26,211 | 26,632 | 27,058 | 27,490 | 25,796 |
| Water Dispensing Station | 0.00% | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Reservation | 0.28% | 738 | 745 | 757 | 769 | 781 | 794 | 807 | 820 | 833 | 846 | 794 |
| Reservation - MF | 0.01% | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 |
| Hydrant/Construction | 0.00% | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Inside City | | 266,031 | 268,538 | 272,915 | 277,350 | 281,842 | 286,394 | 291,005 | 295,676 | 300,407 | 305,200 | 286,394 |
| | | 1% | 1% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | |
| Outside City Added Bills/Yea | ar | 273 | 275 | 480 | 486 | 492 | 499 | 505 | 512 | 518 | 525 | |
| Outside City | Dille by Area | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Bills by Area 97.75% | 20 504 | 20.052 | 20.222 | 29,797 | 20.270 | 30,766 | 24 200 | 21 700 | 22.267 | 32,780 | 30,766 |
| Single-Family Multi-Family | 0.66% | 28,584 194 | 28,853 196 | 29,322 199 | 29,797 | 30,278 205 | 208 | 31,260 211 | 31,760 214 | 32,267 217 | 32,780 220 | 208 |
| Nonresidential | 1.58% | 463 | 467 | 475 | 483 | 491 | 499 | 507 | 515 | 523 | 531 | 499 |
| Nonresidential | 1.58% | 403 | 407 | 4/5 | 403 | 491 | 499 | 507 | 212 | 525 | 551 | 499 |
| Total Outside City | | 29,241 | 29,516 | 29,996 | 30,482 | 30,974 | 31,473 | 31,978 | 32,489 | 33,007 | 33,531 | 31,473 |
| . Ottal Cataliae City | | 0.94% | 0.94% | 1.63% | 1.62% | 1.61% | 1.61% | 1.60% | 1.60% | 1.59% | 1.59% | 02, |
| Chino Valley Added Bills/Ye | ar | 85 | 86 | 150 | 151 | 153 | 155 | 157 | 159 | 161 | 163 | |
| , | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chino Valley | Bills by Area | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 93.91% | 8,651 | 8,731 | 8,871 | 9,013 | 9,157 | 9,303 | 9,451 | 9,601 | 9,752 | 9,905 | 9,303 |
| Multi-Family | 0.13% | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Nonresidential | 4.75% | 448 | 452 | 459 | 466 | 473 | 480 | 487 | 495 | 503 | 511 | 480 |
| Total Chino Valley | | 9,111 | 9,195 | 9,342 | 9,491 | 9,642 | 9,795 | 9,950 | 10,108 | 10,267 | 10,428 | 9,795 |
| | | 1% | 1% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | |
| Total Projected Bills | - - | 304,383 | 307,249 | 312,253 | 317,323 | 322,458 | 327,662 | 332,933 | 338,273 | 343,681 | 349,159 | 327,662 |
| | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Growth | | 0.94% | 0.94% | 1.63% | 1.62% | 1.62% | 1.61% | 1.61% | 1.60% | 1.60% | 1.59% | |
| Annual Residential Growth | | 0.75% | 0.75% | 1.29% | 1.29% | 1.28% | 1.28% | 1.28% | 1.27% | 1.27% | 1.27% | |
| Annual Non-Residential Gro | wth | 0.07% | 0.07% | 0.13% | 0.13% | 0.13% | 0.13% | 0.13% | 0.13% | 0.13% | 0.13% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Revenue at Existing Rates Projected Volume per Bill

| Percent Reduction for Conservation | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Single-Family | 0.0% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% |
| Multi-Family | 0.0% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% |
| Nonresidential | 0.0% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% |
| Water Dispensing Station | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Reservation | 0.0% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% |
| Reservation - MF | 0.0% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.5% |
| Hydrant/Construction | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |

| Customan Class | EV 2022 24 | EV 2024 2E | EV 202E 26 | FY 2026-27 | EV 2027 20 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | EV 2020 21 | EV 2021 22 | Cu | Test Year |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------|
| <u>Customer Class</u> | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | <u>FT 2026-27</u> | FY 2027-28 | FT 2028-29 | F1 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 Re | <u>2029</u> |
| Inside City | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 4,411 | 4,389 | 4,367 | 4,345 | 4,324 | 4,302 | 4,281 | 4,259 | 4,238 | 4,217 # | 4,302 |
| Multi-Family | 21,414 | 21,307 | 21,200 | 21,094 | 20,989 | 20,884 | 20,779 | 20,675 | 20,572 | 20,469 # | 20,884 |
| Nonresidential | 27,069 | 26,934 | 26,799 | 26,665 | 26,532 | 26,399 | 26,267 | 26,136 | 26,005 | 25,875 # | 26,399 |
| Water Dispensing Station | 360,000 | 360,000 | 360,000 | 360,000 | 360,000 | 360,000 | 360,000 | 360,000 | 360,000 | 360,000 # | 360,000 |
| Reservation | 36,601 | 36,418 | 36,236 | 36,055 | 35,875 | 35,695 | 35,517 | 35,339 | 35,162 | 34,987 # | 35,695 |
| Reservation - MF | 528,622 | 525,978 | 523,349 | 520,732 | 518,128 | 515,538 | 512,960 | 510,395 | 507,843 | 505,304 # | 515,538 |
| Hydrant/Construction | 1,985,445 | 1,985,445 | 1,985,445 | 1,985,445 | 1,985,445 | 1,985,445 | 1,985,445 | 1,985,445 | 1,985,445 | 1,985,445 # | 1,985,445 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outside City | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 3,916 | 3,896 | 3,877 | 3,857 | 3,838 | 3,819 | 3,800 | 3,781 | 3,762 | 3,743 | 3,819 |
| Multi-Family | 71,516 | 71,158 | 70,802 | 70,448 | 70,096 | 69,746 | 69,397 | 69,050 | 68,705 | 68,361 | 69,746 |
| Nonresidential | 46,082 | 45,851 | 45,622 | 45,394 | 45,167 | 44,941 | 44,716 | 44,493 | 44,270 | 44,049 | 44,941 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chino Valley | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 5,191 | 5,166 | 5,140 | 5,114 | 5,088 | 5,063 | 5,038 | 5,012 | 4,987 | 4,962 | 5,063 |
| Multi-Family | 159,048 | 158,253 | 157,461 | 156,674 | 155,891 | 155,111 | 154,336 | 153,564 | 152,796 | 152,032 | 155,111 |
| Nonresidential | 17,509 | 17,422 | 17,335 | 17,248 | 17,162 | 17,076 | 16,991 | 16,906 | 16,821 | 16,737 | 17,076 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Revenue at Existing Rates Projected Volume (000's) Gallons

| <u>Customer Class</u> | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | Test Year <u>2029</u> |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|
| | | | | | | | · | | | | |
| Inside City | | | | 4 050 000 | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 1,023,258 | 1,027,736 | 1,039,271 | 1,050,882 | 1,062,567 | 1,074,329 | 1,086,170 | 1,098,087 | 1,110,081 | 1,122,157 | 1,074,329 |
| Multi-Family | 199,597 | 200,474 | 202,715 | 204,971 | 207,242 | 209,547 | 211,865 | 214,197 | 216,541 | 218,897 | 209,547 |
| Nonresidential | 648,600 | 651,444 | 658,745 | 666,118 | 673,532 | 680,988 | 688,484 | 696,045 | 703,643 | 711,303 | 680,988 |
| Water Dispensing Station | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 | 4,320 |
| Reservation | 27,012 | 27,132 | 27,431 | 27,726 | 28,018 | 28,342 | 28,662 | 28,978 | 29,290 | 29,599 | 28,342 |
| Reservation - MF | 12,687 | 12,623 | 12,560 | 12,498 | 12,435 | 12,373 | 12,311 | 12,249 | 12,188 | 12,127 | 12,373 |
| Hydrant/Construction | 23,825 | 23,825 | 23,825 | 23,825 | 23,825 | 23,825 | 23,825 | 23,825 | 23,825 | 23,825 | 23,825 |
| Total Inside City | 1,939,299 | 1,947,554 | 1,968,868 | 1,990,340 | 2,011,940 | 2,033,724 | 2,055,638 | 2,077,702 | 2,099,889 | 2,122,228 | 2,033,724 |
| Outside City | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 111,929 | 112,418 | 113,674 | 114,938 | 116,209 | 117,492 | 118,781 | 120,078 | 121,385 | 122,698 | 117,492 |
| Multi-Family | 13,874 | 13,947 | 14,090 | 14,231 | 14,370 | 14,507 | 14,643 | 14,777 | 14,909 | 15,039 | 14,507 |
| Nonresidential | 21,336 | 21,412 | 21,670 | 21,925 | 22,177 | 22,426 | 22,671 | 22,914 | 23,153 | 23,390 | 22,426 |
| Total Outside City | 147,139 | 147,777 | 149,434 | 151,094 | 152,756 | 154,424 | 156,095 | 157,768 | 159,447 | 161,128 | 154,424 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chino Valley | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 44,911 | 45,100 | 45,594 | 46,092 | 46,594 | 47,101 | 47,611 | 48,125 | 48,637 | 49,153 | 47,101 |
| Multi-Family | 1,909 | 1,899 | 1,890 | 1,880 | 1,871 | 1,861 | 1,852 | 1,843 | 1,834 | 1,824 | 1,861 |
| Nonresidential | 7,844 | 7,875 | 7,957 | 8,038 | 8,118 | 8,196 | 8,274 | 8,368 | 8,461 | 8,553 | 8,196 |
| Total Chino Valley | 54,664 | 54,874 | 55,440 | 56,010 | 56,583 | 57,159 | 57,737 | 58,336 | 58,932 | 59,530 | 57,159 |
| Total Projected Volume | 2,141,102 | 2,150,205 | 2,173,742 | 2,197,443 | 2,221,278 | 2,245,307 | 2,269,470 | 2,293,806 | 2,318,268 | 2,342,885 | 2,245,307 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Revenue at Existing Rates Customer Bills by Meter Size

| <u>Customer Class</u> | <u>5/8"</u> | <u>3/4"</u> | <u>1"</u> | <u>1 1/2</u> | <u>2"</u> | <u>3"</u> | <u>4"</u> | <u>6"</u> | <u>8"</u> | <u>10"</u> |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Inside City | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 207,527 | 191 | 21,799 | 240 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Multi-Family | 4,441 | 24 | 2,139 | 873 | 1,577 | 132 | 36 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Nonresidential | 11,051 | 36 | 5,269 | 2,671 | 3,527 | 763 | 288 | 96 | 36 | 0 |
| Water Dispensing Station | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reservation | 144 | 0 | 179 | 132 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reservation - MF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Hydrant/Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Inside City | 223,163 | 251 | 29,386 | 3,916 | 5,416 | 895 | 324 | 120 | 36 | 0 |
| Outside City | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 27,186 | 24 | 968 | 120 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Multi-Family | 96 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| Nonresidential | 243 | 0 | 48 | 24 | 108 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Outside City | 27,525 | 24 | 1,064 | 144 | 139 | 12 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 |
| Chino Valley | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 6,650 | 0 | 1,910 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Multi-Family | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nonresidential | 156 | 0 | 156 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Chino Valley | 6,806 | 0 | 2,066 | 11 | 132 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Customers by Meter Size | 257,494 | 275 | 32,516 | 4,071 | 5,687 | 907 | 336 | 168 | 36 | 0 |

| City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Revenue at Existing Rates | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meter Size Distribution | <u>5/8"</u> | 3/4" | <u>1"</u> | <u>1 1/2</u> | <u>2"</u> | <u>3"</u> | <u>4"</u> | <u>6"</u> | <u>8"</u> | <u>10"</u> |
| Meter Capacity Ratio | 1.00 | 1.50 | 1.67 | 3.33 | 5.33 | 10.00 | 16.67 | 33.33 | 53.33 | 115.00 |
| Meter Cost Ratio | 1.00 | 1.17 | 1.35 | 2.08 | 2.81 | 10.25 | 15.99 | 22.59 | 30.00 | |
| Inside City | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 90.3% | 0.1% | 9.5% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Multi-Family | 48.1% | 0.3% | 23.2% | 9.5% | 17.1% | 1.4% | 0.4% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Nonresidential | 46.6% | 0.2% | 22.2% | 11.3% | 14.9% | 3.2% | 1.2% | 0.4% | 0.2% | 0.0% |
| Water Dispensing Station | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Reservation | 19.7% | 0.0% | 24.5% | 18.1% | 37.8% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Reservation - MF | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Hydrant/Construction | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Outside City | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outside City Single-Family | 96.0% | 0.1% | 3.4% | 0.4% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Multi-Family | 50.0% | 0.1% | 25.0% | 0.0% | 6.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 18.8% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Nonresidential | 54.4% | 0.0% | 10.7% | 5.4% | 24.2% | 2.7% | 0.0% | 2.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Nomesiacital | 34.470 | 0.070 | 10.770 | 3.470 | 24.270 | 2.770 | 0.070 | 2.770 | 0.070 | 0.070 |
| Chino Valley | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 77.6% | 0.0% | 22.3% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Multi-Family | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | | | |

0.0%

27.0%

0.0%

2.7%

0.0%

0.0%

0.0%

Nonresidential

35.1%

0.0%

35.1%

City of Prescott, Arizona
Water Impact Fee and Rate Study
Revenue at Existing Rates
Volume Percent Included in block

FY 2021-23 Combined Bill Frequency

| | | .ca z cquec, | | |
|--------------------------|---------|--------------|------------|---------|
| | | | % Included | |
| <u>Customer Class</u> | Block 1 | Block 2 | Block 3 | Block 4 |
| | | | | |
| Inside City | | | | |
| Single-Family | 48.4% | 38.2% | 9.9% | 3.4% |
| Multi-Family | 50.7% | 35.0% | 10.1% | 4.2% |
| Nonresidential | 40.3% | 43.3% | 14.4% | 2.0% |
| Water Dispensing Station | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Reservation | 42.6% | 44.2% | 12.1% | 1.1% |
| Reservation - MF | 12.6% | 24.5% | 33.0% | 30.0% |
| Hydrant/Construction | 100.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Outside City | | | | |
| Single-Family | 49.3% | 36.0% | 10.3% | 4.4% |
| Multi-Family | 49.3% | 38.6% | 10.1% | 1.9% |
| , Nonresidential | 37.9% | 37.9% | 20.9% | 3.3% |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Chino Valley | | | | |
| Single-Family | 44.2% | 41.2% | 11.7% | 3.0% |
| Multi-Family | 44.8% | 48.6% | 6.6% | 0.0% |
| Nonresidential | 57.0% | 36.9% | 5.1% | 1.0% |
| INOTHESTUCITUAL | 37.0% | 30.5% | 5.1% | 1.0% |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Revenue at Existing Rates 2023 Volume Charge

| | | | Volum | e Charge (000's) G | allons |
|--------------------------|------|---------|---------|--------------------|---------|
| Customer Class | _ | Block 1 | Block 2 | Block 3 | Block 4 |
| Inside City | | | | | |
| Single-Family | | \$4.44 | \$6.66 | \$9.99 | \$19.98 |
| Multi-Family | | 4.18 | 6.27 | 9.41 | 18.81 |
| Nonresidential | | 4.76 | 7.14 | 10.71 | 21.42 |
| Water Dispensing Station | | 14.00 | 14.00 | 14.00 | 14.00 |
| Reservation | | 4.76 | 7.14 | 10.71 | 21.42 |
| Reservation - MF | | 4.18 | 6.27 | 9.41 | 18.81 |
| Hydrant/Construction | | 5.43 | 5.43 | 5.43 | 5.43 |
| | | | | | |
| Outside City | 1.30 | | | | |
| Single-Family | | \$5.77 | \$8.66 | \$12.99 | \$25.97 |
| Multi-Family | | 5.43 | 8.15 | 12.23 | 24.45 |
| Nonresidential | | 6.19 | 9.28 | 13.92 | 27.85 |
| | | | | | |
| Chino Valley | 1.30 | | | | |
| Single-Family | | \$5.77 | \$8.66 | \$12.99 | \$25.97 |
| Multi-Family | | 5.43 | 8.15 | 12.23 | 24.45 |
| Nonresidential | | 6.19 | 9.28 | 13.92 | 27.85 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Revenue at Existing Rates Current Monthly Service Charge

| Customer Class | | <u>5/8"</u> | 3/4" | <u>1"</u> | <u>1 1/2"</u> | <u>2"</u> | <u>3"</u> | <u>4"</u> | <u>6"</u> | <u>8"</u> | <u> 10"</u> |
|--------------------------|------|-------------|-------|-----------|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Inside City | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | | 15.56 | 16.41 | 18.58 | 22.84 | 30.16 | 44.87 | 65.87 | 118.35 | 181.46 | 177.90 |
| Multi-Family | | 15.56 | 16.41 | 18.58 | 22.84 | 30.16 | 44.87 | 65.87 | 118.35 | 181.46 | 177.90 |
| Nonresidential | | 15.56 | 16.41 | 18.58 | 22.84 | 30.16 | 44.87 | 65.87 | 118.35 | 181.46 | 177.90 |
| Water Dispensing Station | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Reservation | | 15.56 | 16.41 | 18.58 | 22.84 | 30.16 | 44.87 | 65.87 | 118.35 | 181.46 | 177.90 |
| Reservation - MF | | 15.56 | 16.41 | 18.58 | 22.84 | 30.16 | 44.87 | 65.87 | 118.35 | 181.46 | 177.90 |
| Hydrant/Construction | | 15.56 | 16.41 | 18.58 | 22.84 | 30.16 | 44.87 | 65.87 | 118.35 | 181.46 | 177.90 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outside City | 1.30 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | | 20.23 | 21.33 | 24.15 | 29.69 | 39.21 | 58.33 | 85.63 | 153.86 | 235.90 | 231.27 |
| Multi-Family | | 20.23 | 21.33 | 24.15 | 29.69 | 39.21 | 58.33 | 85.63 | 153.86 | 235.90 | 231.27 |
| Nonresidential | | 20.23 | 21.33 | 24.15 | 29.69 | 39.21 | 58.33 | 85.63 | 153.86 | 235.90 | 231.27 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chino Valley | 1.30 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | | 20.23 | 21.33 | 24.15 | 29.69 | 39.21 | 58.33 | 85.63 | 153.86 | 235.90 | 231.27 |
| Multi-Family | | 20.23 | 21.33 | 24.15 | 29.69 | 39.21 | 58.33 | 85.63 | 153.86 | 235.90 | 231.27 |
| Nonresidential | | 20.23 | 21.33 | 24.15 | 29.69 | 39.21 | 58.33 | 85.63 | 153.86 | 235.90 | 231.27 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2022 Rates Inside | | 15.26 | 16.09 | 18.22 | 22.39 | 29.57 | 43.99 | 64.58 | 116.03 | 177.90 | 177.90 |
| 2023 Rates Inside | | 15.56 | 16.41 | 18.58 | 22.84 | 30.16 | 44.87 | 65.87 | 118.35 | 181.46 | 177.90 |
| 2024 Rates Inside | | 15.56 | 16.41 | 18.58 | 22.84 | 30.16 | 44.87 | 65.87 | 118.35 | 181.46 | 177.90 |
| ZUZ-+ Nates miside | | 13.30 | 10.41 | 10.30 | 22.04 | 30.10 | 44.07 | 03.07 | 110.33 | 101.40 | 177.50 |

City of Prescott, Arizona
Water Impact Fee and Rate Study
Revenue at Existing Rates
Development of Equivalent Development Units (EDUs) Based on Number of Monthly Bills and AWWA Capacity Ratios

| <u>Customer Class</u> | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | Test Year <u>2029</u> |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Inside City | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 20,621 | 20,815 | 21,155 | 21,499 | 21,847 | 22,200 | 22,557 | 22,919 | 23,286 | 23,658 | 22,200 |
| Multi-Family | 1,824 | 1,841 | 1,871 | 1,901 | 1,932 | 1,963 | 1,995 | 2,027 | 2,060 | 2,093 | 1,963 |
| Nonresidential | 5,480 | 5,532 | 5,622 | 5,714 | 5,806 | 5,900 | 5,995 | 6,091 | 6,189 | 6,288 | 5,900 |
| Water Dispensing Station | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reservation | 198 | 200 | 203 | 206 | 210 | 213 | 217 | 220 | 224 | 227 | 213 |
| Reservation - MF | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 | 67 |
| Hydrant/Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Inside City | 28,190 | 28,455 | 28,918 | 29,387 | 29,862 | 30,343 | 30,831 | 31,325 | 31,825 | 32,332 | 30,343 |
| Annual EDU Increase | 263.0 | 265.1 | 462.7 | 468.9 | 474.9 | 481.4 | 487.6 | 494.0 | 500.2 | 506.8 | |
| Outside City Single-Family Multi-Family Nonresidential Total Outside City Annual EDU Increase | 2,541 38 106 2,685 25.0 | 2,565 38 107 2,710 25.2 | 2,607 39 109 2,754 44.1 | 2,649 40 110 2,799 44.6 | 2,692 40 112 2,844 45.2 | 2,735 41 114 2,890 45.8 | 2,779 41 116 2,936 46.3 | 2,823 42 118 2,983 46.9 | 2,868 42 120 3,031 47.5 | 2,914 43 121 3,079 48.0 | 2,735 41 114 2,890 |
| Chino Valley Single-Family Multi-Family Nonresidential Total Chino Valley Annual EDU Increase | 769 2 102 874 8.0 | 776 2 103 882 8.0 | 789 2 105 896 14.0 | 801 2 107 910 14.2 | 814 2 108 925 14.4 | 827 2 110 939 14.6 | 840 2 111 954 14.8 | 854 2 113 969 15.2 | 867 2 115 984 15.3 | 881 2 117 1,000 15.4 | 0 827 2 110 939 |

City of Prescott, Arizona
Water Impact Fee and Rate Study
Revenue at Existing Rates
Development of Equivalent Meters Based on Meter Replacement Cost Equivalents

| Customer Class | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | <u>2029</u> |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Inside City | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 19,994 | 20,183 | 20,512 | 20,845 | 21,183 | 21,525 | 21,872 | 22,223 | 22,578 | 22,939 | 21,525 |
| Multi-Family | 1,329 | 1,341 | 1,363 | 1,385 | 1,407 | 1,430 | 1,453 | 1,477 | 1,500 | 1,524 | 1,430 |
| Nonresidential | 4,149 | 4,188 | 4,256 | 4,325 | 4,395 | 4,466 | 4,538 | 4,611 | 4,685 | 4,760 | 4,466 |
| Water Dispensing Station | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reservation | 121 | 122 | 124 | 126 | 128 | 130 | 132 | 134 | 136 | 138 | 130 |
| Reservation - MF | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 | 45 |
| Hydrant/Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Inside City | 25,637 | 25,879 | 26,300 | 26,726 | 27,159 | 27,597 | 28,040 | 28,490 | 28,945 | 29,406 | 27,597 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Outside City | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 2,424 | 2,447 | 2,487 | 2,527 | 2,568 | 2,609 | 2,651 | 2,694 | 2,737 | 2,780 | 2,609 |
| Multi-Family | 85 | 86 | 87 | 88 | 90 | 91 | 92 | 94 | 95 | 96 | 91 |
| Nonresidential | 91 | 92 | 93 | 95 | 97 | 98 | 100 | 101 | 103 | 104 | 98 |
| Total Outside City | 2,600 | 2,625 | 2,667 | 2,710 | 2,754 | 2,798 | 2,843 | 2,889 | 2,934 | 2,981 | 2,798 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chino Valley | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 777 | 785 | 797 | 810 | 823 | 836 | 849 | 863 | 876 | 890 | 836 |
| Multi-Family | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Nonresidential | 75 | 76 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 81 | 82 | 83 | 85 | 86 | 81 |
| Total Chino Valley | 856 | 863 | 877 | 891 | 905 | 920 | 934 | 949 | 964 | 979 | 920 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Revenue at Existing Rates Projected Service Charge Revenue

| <u>Customer Class</u> | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | Test Year 2029 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Inside City | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | \$3,678,257 | \$3,712,921 | \$3,773,463 | \$3,834,798 | \$3,896,926 | \$3,959,863 | \$4,023,624 | \$4,088,210 | \$4,153,637 | \$4,219,919 | 3,959,863 |
| Multi-Family | 188,211 | 189,988 | 193,077 | 196,207 | 199,377 | 202,608 | 205,879 | 209,191 | 212,543 | 215,935 | 202,608 |
| Nonresidential | 513,725 | 518,571 | 527,018 | 535,594 | 544,278 | 553,068 | 561,966 | 570,992 | 580,125 | 589,387 | 553,068 |
| Water Dispensing Station | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reservation | 17,067 | 17,229 | 17,507 | 17,784 | 18,062 | 18,362 | 18,663 | 18,964 | 19,264 | 19,565 | 18,362 |
| Reservation - MF | 2,840 | 2,840 | 2,840 | 2,840 | 2,840 | 2,840 | 2,840 | 2,840 | 2,840 | 2,840 | 2,840 |
| Hydrant/Construction | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Inside City | 4,400,101 | 4,441,549 | 4,513,906 | 4,587,224 | 4,661,483 | 4,736,742 | 4,812,973 | 4,890,197 | 4,968,409 | 5,047,647 | 4,736,742 |
| Outside City | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 583,571 | 589,062 | 598,638 | 608,335 | 618,155 | 628,118 | 638,204 | 648,412 | 658,763 | 669,236 | 628,118 |
| Multi-Family | 9,205 | 9,300 | 9,443 | 9,585 | 9,727 | 9,870 | 10,012 | 10,154 | 10,297 | 10,439 | 9,870 |
| Nonresidential | 14,054 | 14,175 | 14,418 | 14,661 | 14,904 | 15,146 | 15,389 | 15,632 | 15,875 | 16,118 | 15,146 |
| Total Outside City | 606,830 | 612,538 | 622,498 | 632,581 | 642,786 | 653,134 | 663,605 | 674,198 | 684,934 | 695,793 | 653,134 |
| Chino Valley | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 182,666 | 184,355 | 187,311 | 190,310 | 193,350 | 196,433 | 199,558 | 202,725 | 205,914 | 209,144 | 196,433 |
| Multi-Family | 471 | 471 | 471 | 471 | 471 | 471 | 471 | 471 | 471 | 471 | 471 |
| Nonresidential | 12,770 | 12,884 | 13,084 | 13,283 | 13,483 | 13,682 | 13,882 | 14,110 | 14,338 | 14,566 | 13,682 |
| Total Chino Valley | 195,907 | 197,710 | 200,866 | 204,064 | 207,304 | 210,586 | 213,911 | 217,306 | 220,722 | 224,181 | 210,586 |
| Total Projected Service Charge Rev. | \$5,202,838 | \$5,251,797 | \$5,337,270 | \$5,423,869 | \$5,511,573 | \$5,600,462 | \$5,690,488 | \$5,781,701 | \$5,874,066 | \$5,967,621 | 5,600,462 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Revenue at Existing Rates Projected Volume Charge Revenue

| | FV 2022 24 | FV 2024 25 | EV 2025 25 | EV 2025 27 | EV 2027 20 | EV 2020 20 | FV 2020 20 | EV 2020 24 | FV 2024 22 | FV 2022 22 | Test Year |
|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| <u>Customer Class</u> | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | <u>2029</u> |
| Inside City | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | \$6,516,587 | \$6,545,103 | \$6,618,562 | \$6,692,506 | \$6,766,923 | \$6,841,828 | \$6,917,236 | \$6,993,132 | \$7,069,516 | \$7,146,417 | 6,841,828 |
| Multi-Family | 1,209,887 | 1,215,203 | 1,228,789 | 1,242,464 | 1,256,226 | 1,270,200 | 1,284,254 | 1,298,386 | 1,312,594 | 1,326,876 | 1,270,200 |
| Nonresidential | 4,530,823 | 4,550,691 | 4,601,696 | 4,653,196 | 4,704,993 | 4,757,076 | 4,809,440 | 4,862,256 | 4,915,332 | 4,968,840 | 4,757,076 |
| Water Dispensing Station | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 |
| Reservation | 181,367 | 182,172 | 184,180 | 186,165 | 188,124 | 190,299 | 192,448 | 194,570 | 196,667 | 198,737 | 190,299 |
| Reservation - MF | 137,016 | 136,331 | 135,649 | 134,971 | 134,296 | 133,624 | 132,956 | 132,291 | 131,630 | 130,972 | 133,624 |
| Hydrant/Construction | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 |
| Total Inside City | 12,765,531 | 12,819,351 | 12,958,728 | 13,099,154 | 13,240,414 | 13,382,880 | 13,526,185 | 13,670,488 | 13,815,590 | 13,961,694 | 13,382,880 |
| Outside City | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 945,027 | 949,150 | 959,756 | 970,428 | 981,162 | 991,991 | 1,002,880 | 1,013,826 | 1,024,862 | 1,035,949 | 991,991 |
| Multi-Family | 104,601 | 105,151 | 106,227 | 107,289 | 108,338 | 109,374 | 110,397 | 111,407 | 112,404 | 113,388 | 109,374 |
| Nonresidential | 206,609 | 207,352 | 209,849 | 212,317 | 214,754 | 217,162 | 219,540 | 221,890 | 224,210 | 226,501 | 217,162 |
| Total Outside City | 1,256,237 | 1,261,653 | 1,275,832 | 1,290,034 | 1,304,254 | 1,318,527 | 1,332,817 | 1,347,122 | 1,361,475 | 1,375,838 | 1,318,527 |
| Chino Valley | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 377,269 | 378,854 | 383,004 | 387,189 | 391,408 | 395,661 | 399,946 | 404,262 | 408,567 | 412,902 | 395,661 |
| Multi-Family | 13,746 | 13,677 | 13,608 | 13,540 | 13,473 | 13,405 | 13,338 | 13,272 | 13,205 | 13,139 | 13,405 |
| Nonresidential | 62,339 | 62,581 | 63,233 | 63,876 | 64,511 | 65,139 | 65,758 | 66,504 | 67,241 | 67,969 | 65,139 |
| Total Chino Valley | 453,354 | 455,112 | 459,845 | 464,605 | 469,392 | 474,205 | 479,042 | 484,038 | 489,014 | 494,010 | 474,205 |
| Total Projected Volume Rev. | \$14,475,122 | \$14,536,117 | \$14,694,405 | \$14,853,793 | \$15,014,061 | \$15,175,612 | \$15,338,045 | \$15,501,649 | \$15,666,078 | \$15,831,543 | 15,175,612 |

City of Prescott, Arizona
Water Impact Fee and Rate Study
Revenue at Existing Rates
Projected Total Revenue for Study Period Before Revenue Adjustments

Adjustment 0.0%

| | | | | | | | | 0.0% | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|
| <u>Customer Class</u> | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | Test Year 2029 |
| Inside City | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | \$10,373,009 | \$10,437,869 | \$10,574,802 | \$10,713,052 | \$10,852,607 | \$10,993,497 | \$11,135,754 | \$11,279,365 | \$11,424,345 | \$11,570,739 | 10,993,497 |
| Multi-Family | 1,413,857 | 1,421,099 | 1,438,033 | 1,455,100 | 1,472,297 | 1,489,772 | 1,507,371 | 1,525,092 | 1,542,932 | 1,560,891 | 1,489,772 |
| Nonresidential | 5,091,900 | 5,117,059 | 5,177,291 | 5,238,157 | 5,299,438 | 5,361,122 | 5,423,203 | 5,485,878 | 5,548,928 | 5,612,553 | 5,361,122 |
| Water Dispensing Station | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 | 60,480 |
| Reservation | 200,145 | 201,128 | 203,443 | 205,732 | 207,997 | 210,503 | 212,982 | 215,436 | 217,863 | 220,264 | 210,503 |
| Reservation - MF | 140,432 | 139,747 | 139,065 | 138,387 | 137,712 | 137,041 | 136,373 | 135,708 | 135,046 | 134,388 | 137,041 |
| Hydrant/Construction | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 | 129,372 |
| Total Inside City | 17,409,195 | 17,506,754 | 17,722,485 | 17,940,280 | 18,159,903 | 18,381,786 | 18,605,535 | 18,831,330 | 19,058,966 | 19,288,686 | 18,381,786 |
| Outside City | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 1,556,315 | 1,566,192 | 1,586,827 | 1,607,657 | 1,628,678 | 1,649,943 | 1,671,397 | 1,693,036 | 1,714,914 | 1,736,972 | 1,649,943 |
| Multi-Family | 115,169 | 115,828 | 117,067 | 118,292 | 119,505 | 120,704 | 121,890 | 123,063 | 124,224 | 125,371 | 120,704 |
| Nonresidential | 222,116 | 222,993 | 225,758 | 228,494 | 231,199 | 233,875 | 236,521 | 239,138 | 241,726 | 244,286 | 233,875 |
| Total Outside City | 1,893,600 | 1,905,012 | 1,929,652 | 1,954,443 | 1,979,381 | 2,004,522 | 2,029,808 | 2,055,237 | 2,080,864 | 2,106,629 | 2,004,522 |
| Chino Valley | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-Family | 569,260 | 572,620 | 579,877 | 587,214 | 594,629 | 602,121 | 609,691 | 617,336 | 624,992 | 632,723 | 602,121 |
| Multi-Family | 14,276 | 14,207 | 14,139 | 14,071 | 14,003 | 13,936 | 13,869 | 13,802 | 13,736 | 13,670 | 13,936 |
| Nonresidential | 76,296 | 76,662 | 77,532 | 78,393 | 79,247 | 80,092 | 80,930 | 81,925 | 82,911 | 83,888 | 80,092 |
| Total Chino Valley | 659,831 | 663,490 | 671,548 | 679,678 | 687,878 | 696,149 | 704,489 | 713,063 | 721,639 | 730,280 | 696,149 |
| Total Projected Revenue | \$19,962,626 | \$20,075,255 | \$20,323,685 | \$20,574,401 | \$20,827,163 | \$21,082,457 | \$21,339,832 | \$21,599,630 | \$21,861,468 | \$22,125,596 | 21,082,457 |

APPENDIX B: WATER COST OF SERVICE

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Total Cost Of Service Test Year 2029

| Line | | Operating | Capital | FY 2028-29 |
|------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| No. | Description | Expense | Cost | Total |
| | Revenue Requirements | | | |
| | Operation and Maintenance Expense | | | |
| 1 | Operations | \$13,951,217 | | \$13,951,217 |
| 2 | Alt. Water Source | | | 0 |
| | Debt Service | | | |
| 3 | Operations | | \$3,395,817 | 3,395,817 |
| 4 | Rev. Transfer to Alt Water | | 0 | 0 |
| | Transfers | | | |
| 5 | Water Resource Development Fee Subund | | 130,585 | 130,585 |
| 6 | Alt Water | | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | System Impact Fee Subfund | | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Capital Improvement Subfund | | 2,325,254 | 2,325,254 |
| 9 | Remaining WIFA Loan Balance | | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Total Revenue Requirements | \$13,951,217 | \$5,851,655 | \$19,802,872 |
| | Revenue Requirement Adjustments | | | |
| 11 | Miscellaneous Revenue | (\$527,915) | | (\$527,915 |
| 12 | Interfund Loan Payment | | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Interest Income | (83,505) | (35,025) | (118,530 |
| 14 | Annual Surplus/(Deficiency) | | 8,578,412 | 8,578,412 |
| 15 | Revenue Adj. for 6 mo. Increase | | 271,122 | 271,122 |
| 16 | Total Revenue Requirement Adjustments | (\$611,420) | \$8,814,509 | \$8,203,089 |
| 17 | Total Cost of Service | \$13,339,797 | \$14,666,165 | \$28,005,961 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Allocation of Water System Assets Test Year 2029

| | | | | | | Extra Cap | acity | | Customer | | |
|------|---|---------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Line | | | | | _ | Maximum | Maximum | Distribution | Meters & | | Fire |
| No. | Description | Assets | CIP to Test-Year | Total | Base | Day | Hour | System | Services | Billing | Protection |
| | Water System Asset Allocations | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Storage | | | 100% | 31% | 25% | 44% | | | | |
| 2 | Source of Supply | | | 100% | 56% | 44% | | | | | |
| 3 | Treatment | | | 100% | 56% | 44% | | | | | |
| 4 | Transmission and Distribution Admin / Misc | | | 100% | 14% | 11% | 20% | 54% | | | |
| 5 | Meters and Services | | | 100% | | | | | 50% | 50% | |
| 6 | General Plant/Equipment | | | 100% | 35% | 28% | 13% | 19% | 2% | 2% | 0% |
| 7 | Fleet | | | 100% | 35% | 28% | 13% | 19% | 2% | 2% | 0% |
| | Water System Assets | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Storage | \$25,094,867 | \$14,298,810 | 39,393,677 | 12,158,542 | 9,726,834 | 17,508,301 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Source of Supply | 51,820,035 | 2,987,738 | 54,807,773 | 30,448,763 | 24,359,010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Treatment | 50,926,773 | 28,060,155 | 78,986,928 | 43,881,627 | 35,105,301 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Transmission and Distribution | 77,832,922 | 26,118,855 | 103,951,777 | 14,672,859 | 11,738,287 | 21,128,917 | 56,411,713 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Admin / Misc | | | 0 | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Meters and Services | 64,616 | 12,781,000 | 12,845,616 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,422,808 | 6,422,808 | 0 |
| 13 | General Plant/Equipment | 5,374,918 | 2,237,178 | 7,612,096 | 2,655,487 | 2,124,389 | 1,014,223 | 1,480,802 | 168,598 | 168,598 | 0 |
| 14 | Fleet | 2,469,610 | 2,586,121 | 5,055,731 | 1,763,696 | 1,410,957 | 673,617 | 983,505 | 111,978 | 111,978 | 0 |
| 15 | Total Water System Assets | \$213,583,742 | \$89,069,857 | \$302,653,599 | \$105,580,974 | \$84,464,779 | \$40,325,058 | \$58,876,019 | \$6,703,384 | \$6,703,384 | \$0 |
| 16 | Percent of Total | | | 100.0% | 34.9% | 27.9% | 13.3% | 19.5% | 2.2% | 2.2% | 0.0% |
| 17 | Annual Capital Expenditures | | _ | \$14,666,165 | \$5,116,304 | \$4,093,044 | \$1,954,095 | \$2,853,049 | \$324,836 | \$324,836 | \$0 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Allocation of Operations and Maintenance Expense Test Year 2029

| | | | | Extra Capa | city | | Customer | | |
|------|---|--------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Line | | | _ | Maximum | Maximum | Distribution | Meters & | | Fire |
| No. | Description | Total | Base | Day | Hour | System | Services | Billing | Protection |
| | Water System O&M Allocations | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Water Resources Management | 100% | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| 2 | Aguifer Protection Fee Fund | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 100% | 0% | |
| 3 | • | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 50% | 50% | |
| - | Utility Billing | | | | | | | | 00/ |
| 4 | Public Works Water | 100% | 35% | 28% | 13% | 19% | 2% | 2% | 0% |
| 5 | Water Administration | 100% | 47% | 25% | 6% | 16% | 4% | 2% | 0% |
| 6 | Water Production | 100% | 56% | 44% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| 7 | Water Distribution | 100% | 14% | 11% | 20% | 54% | 0% | 0% | |
| | Water System O&M Expenses | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Water Resources Management | \$1,530,786 | \$1,530,786 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 9 | Aquifer Protection Fee Fund | 130,690 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130,690 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Utility Billing | 449,665 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 224,832 | 224,832 | 0 |
| 11 | Public Works Water | 451,318 | 157,443 | 125,954 | 60,133 | 87.796 | 9,996 | 9,996 | 0 |
| 12 | Water Administration | 4,422,485 | 2,063,512 | 1,110,384 | 276,783 | 706,874 | 161,303 | 103,629 | 0 |
| 13 | Water Production | 4,669,167 | 2,593,981 | 2,075,185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Water Distribution | 2,789,921 | 393,799 | 315,039 | 567,071 | 1,514,012 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Total Operation and Maintenance Expense | \$14,444,031 | \$6,739,522 | \$3,626,562 | \$903,987 | \$2,308,682 | \$526,821 | \$338,458 | \$0 |
| 16 | Percent of Total | 100.0% | 46.7% | 25.1% | 6.3% | 16.0% | 3.6% | 2.3% | 0.0% |
| 17 | Total Revenue Requirements | \$14,444,031 | \$6,739,521 | \$3,626,562 | \$903,987 | \$2,308,682 | \$526,821 | \$338,458 | \$0 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Units of Service Test Year 2029

| | | Base | Γ | 1 | Maximum Day | | 1 | Maximum Hour | | | | | |
|------|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|--------|-------------|---------|------------|
| Line | | Annual Use | - | Capacity | Total | Extra | Capacity | Total | Extra | | Equiv. Cost | Annual | Fire |
| No. | Customer Class | Billed Water | Average Day | Factor | Capacity | Capacity | Factor | Capacity | Capacity | EDU | Meters | Bills | Protection |
| | | kgal | kgal/day | | kgal/day | kgal/day | | kgal/day | kgal/day | | | | <u>.</u> |
| | Inside City | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Single Family | 1,074,329 | 2,943 | 2.20 | 6,475 | 3,532 | 4.00 | 11,772 | 5,297 | 22,200 | 21,525 | 249,722 | |
| 2 | Multi-Family | 209,547 | 574 | 1.70 | 976 | 402 | 3.10 | 1,779 | 803 | 1,963 | 1,430 | 10,034 | |
| 3 | Nonresidential | 680,988 | 1,866 | 2.00 | 3,732 | 1,866 | 3.60 | 6,718 | 2,986 | 5,900 | 4,466 | 25,796 | |
| 4 | Bulk Water Station | 4,320 | 12 | 2.50 | 30 | 18 | 4.50 | 54 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 12 | |
| 5 | Reservation - Non-Residential | 28,342 | 78 | 2.00 | 156 | 78 | 3.60 | 281 | 125 | 280 | 175 | 818 | |
| 6 | Reservation - MF | 12,373 | 34 | 1.70 | 58 | 24 | 3.10 | 105 | 47 | 67 | 45 | 36 | |
| 7 | Construction / Hydrant | 23,825 | 65 | 2.00 | 130 | 65 | 3.60 | 234 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 12 | |
| 8 | Fire Protection | 0 | 0 | | 240 | 240 | | 2,880 | 2,880 | | | | 1,500 |
| 9 | Total Inside City | 2,033,724 | 5,572 | _ | 11,797 | 6,225 | - | 23,823 | 12,266 | 30,410 | 27,642 | 286,430 | 1,500 |
| | Outside City | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Single Family | 117,492 | 322 | 2.30 | 741 | 419 | 4.10 | 1,320 | 579 | 2,735 | 2,609 | 30,766 | |
| 11 | Multi-Family | 14,507 | 40 | 1.80 | 72 | 32 | 3.20 | 128 | 56 | 41 | 91 | 208 | |
| 12 | Nonresidential | 22,426 | 61 | 2.00 | 122 | 61 | 3.60 | 220 | 98 | 114 | 98 | 499 | |
| 13 | Total Outside City | 154,424 | 423 | - | 935 | 512 | _ | 1,668 | 733 | 2,890 | 2,798 | 31,473 | 0 |
| | Chino Valley | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Single Family | 47,101 | 129 | 2.10 | 271 | 142 | 3.80 | 490 | 219 | 827 | 836 | 9,303 | |
| 15 | Multi-Family | 1,861 | 5 | 1.10 | 6 | 1 | 2.00 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 12 | |
| 16 | Nonresidential | 8,196 | 22 | 1.20 | 26 | 4 | 2.20 | 48 | 22 | 110 | 81 | 480 | |
| 17 | Total Chino Valley | 57,159 | 156 | - | 303 | 147 | - | 548 | 245 | 939 | 920 | 9,795 | 0 |
| 18 | Total System | 2,245,307 | 6,151 | = | 13,035 | 6,884 | - | 26,039 | 13,244 | 34,239 | 31,360 | 327,698 | 1,500 |

| est Year | 2029 | | _ | | | | | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | | | L | Extra Capa | ıcity | | Customer | | |
| Line | | | | Maximum | Maximum | Distribution | Meters & | | Fire |
| No. | Description | Total | Base | Day | Hour | System | Services | Billing | Protection |
| | Revenue Requirements | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Operation and Maintenance Expense | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Operations | \$13,951,217 | \$6,509,576 | \$3,502,828 | \$873,144 | \$2,229,912 | \$508,847 | \$326,910 | \$0 |
| | Debt Service | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Operations | 3,395,817 | 1,184,634 | 947,707 | 452,453 | 660,597 | 75,213 | 75,213 | 0 |
| | Transfers | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Water Resource Development Fee Subund | 130,585 | 130,585 | | | | | | |
| 5 | Alt Water | 0 | 0 | | | | | | |
| 6 | System Impact Fee Subfund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Capital Improvement Subfund | 2,325,254 | 811,168 | 648,933 | 309,813 | 452,338 | 51,501 | 51,501 | 0 |
| 8 | Remaining WIFA Loan Balance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Total Revenue Requirements | \$19,802,872 | \$8,635,962 | \$5,099,468 | \$1,635,410 | \$3,342,847 | \$635,561 | \$453,624 | \$0 |
| | Revenue Requirement Adjustments | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Miscellaneous Revenue | (\$527,915) | (\$230,222) | (\$135,944) | (\$43,598) | (\$89,115) | (\$16,943) | (\$12,093) | \$0 |
| 11 | Interfund Loan Payment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Interest Income | (118,530) | (55,306) | (29,760) | (7,418) | (18,945) | (4,323) | (2,777) | 0 |
| 13 | Annual Surplus/(Deficiency) | 8,578,412 | 2,992,587 | 2,394,069 | 1,142,973 | 1,668,782 | 190,001 | 190,001 | 0 |
| 14 | Revenue Adj. for 6 mo. Increase | 271,122 | 126,504 | 68,073 | 16,968 | 43,335 | 9,889 | 6,353 | 0 |
| 15 | Total Revenue Requirement Adjustments | \$8,203,089 | \$2,833,563 | \$2,296,438 | \$1,108,925 | \$1,604,057 | \$178,624 | \$181,484 | \$0 |
| 16 | Total Cost of Service | \$28,005,961 | \$11,469,526 | \$7,395,906 | \$2,744,335 | \$4,946,904 | \$814,185 | \$635,108 | \$0 |
| | - | | | | | | | | |
| | Units of Service | | kgal | kgal/day | kgal/day | EDU | Equiv Meters | Annual Bills | gpd |
| 17 | Inside City | | 2,033,724 | 6,225 | 12,266 | 30,410 | 27,642 | 286,430 | 1,500 |
| 18 | Outside City | | 154,424 | 512 | 733 | 2,890 | 2,798 | 31,473 | 1,300 |
| 19 | Chino Valley | | 57,159 | 147 | 245 | 939 | 920 | 9,795 | 0 |
| 20 | Total Units of Service | = | 2,245,307 | 6,884 | 13,244 | 34,239 | 31,360 | 327,698 | 1,500 |
| | Halk Cook of Comitoe Amounts | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Unit Cost of Service, \$ per unit | | ¢4.050 | 64.044.350 | 6202 722 | 6420.702 | 625.674 | £4.000 | ¢0.000 |
| 21 | Inside City | | \$4.968 | \$1,044.369 | \$202.722 | \$139.792 | \$25.071 | \$1.868 | \$0.000 |
| 22 23 | Outside City | | 6.458 6.458 | 1,357.679 | 263.539 263.539 | 181.730 | 32.592 32.592 | 2.428 2.428 | 0.000 0.000 |
| 23 | Chino Valley | | 0.458 | 1,357.679 | 203.539 | 181.730 | 32.592 | 2.428 | 0.000 |

| | | | | Extra Capa | icity | | Customer | | |
|------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------|
| Line | | | | Maximum | Maximum | Distribution | Meters & | | Fire |
| No. | Description | Total | Base | Day | Hour | System | Services | Billing | Protection |
| | Unit Cost of Service, \$ per unit | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Inside City | | \$4.968 | \$1,044.369 | \$202.722 | \$139.792 | \$25.071 | \$1.868 | \$0.000 |
| 2 | Outside City | | 6.458 | 1,357.679 | 263.539 | 181.730 | 32.592 | 2.428 | 0.000 |
| 3 | Chino Valley | | 6.458 | 1,357.679 | 263.539 | 181.730 | 32.592 | 2.428 | 0.000 |
| | Inside City | | | | | | | | |
| | Single Family | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Units of Service | | 1,074,329 | 3,532 | 5,297 | 22,200 | 21,525 | 249,722 | 0 |
| 5 | Allocated Cost of Service | \$14,208,941 | \$5,337,032 | \$3,688,710 | \$1,073,821 | \$3,103,356 | \$539,658 | \$466,364 | \$0 |
| | Multi-Family | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Units of Service | | 209,547 | 402 | 803 | 1,963 | 1,430 | 10,034 | 0 |
| 7 | Allocated Cost of Service | \$1,952,673 | \$1,040,983 | \$419,836 | \$162,786 | \$274,473 | \$35,856 | \$18,739 | \$0 |
| | Nonresidential | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Units of Service | | 680,988 | 1,866 | 2,986 | 5,900 | 4,466 | 25,796 | 0 |
| 9 | Allocated Cost of Service | \$6,922,068 | \$3,383,001 | \$1,948,792 | \$605,329 | \$824,798 | \$111,973 | \$48,175 | \$0 |
| | Bulk Water Station | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Units of Service | | 4,320 | 18 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| 11 | Allocated Cost of Service | \$45,147 | \$21,461 | \$18,799 | \$4,865 | \$0 | \$0 | \$22 | \$0 |
| | Reservation - Non Residential | ¥ ·=/= ·· | 7,: | 7-0/: | + -, | ** | ** | * | |
| 12 | Units of Service | | 28,342 | 78 | 125 | 280 | 175 | 818 | 0 |
| 13 | Allocated Cost of Service | \$292,625 | \$140,797 | \$81,461 | \$25,340 | \$39,110 | \$4,389 | \$1,528 | \$0 |
| 13 | Reservation - MF | <i>\$232,023</i> | Ş140,737 | 701,401 | 723,340 | 755,110 | у ч ,303 | 71,320 | ÇÜ |
| 14 | Units of Service | | 12,373 | 24 | 47 | 67 | 45 | 36 | 0 |
| 15 | Allocated Cost of Service | \$106,578 | \$61,466 | \$25,065 | \$9,528 | \$9,320 | \$1,133 | \$67 | \$0 |
| 15 | | \$100,578 | 301,400 | \$25,065 | \$9,526 | \$9,520 | \$1,155 | \$67 | \$ 0 |
| 4.5 | Construction / Hydrant | | 22.025 | 65 | 404 | | 0 | 42 | |
| 16 | Units of Service | 4000010 | 23,825 | 65 | 104 | 0 | | 12 | 0 |
| 17 | Allocated Cost of Service | \$207,349 | \$118,359 | \$67,884 | \$21,083 | \$0 | \$0 | \$22 | \$0 |
| | Fire Protection | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Units of Service | | | 240 | 2,880 | | | | 1,500 |
| 19 | Allocated Cost of Service | \$834,489 | | \$250,648 | \$583,841 | | | | \$0 |
| | Outside City | | | | | | | | |
| | Single Family | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Units of Service | | 117,492 | 419 | 579 | 2,735 | 2,609 | 30,766 | 0 |
| 21 | Allocated Cost of Service | \$2,137,006 | \$758,775 | \$568,868 | \$152,589 | \$497,037 | \$85,044 | \$74,694 | \$0 |
| | Multi-Family | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Units of Service | | 14,507 | 32 | 56 | 41 | 91 | 208 | 0 |
| 23 | Allocated Cost of Service | \$162,758 | \$93,689 | \$43,446 | \$14,758 | \$7,396 | \$2,964 | \$505 | \$0 |
| | Nonresidential | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Units of Service | | 22,426 | 61 | 98 | 114 | 98 | 499 | 0 |
| 25 | Allocated Cost of Service | \$278,624 | \$144,827 | \$82,818 | \$25,827 | \$20,741 | \$3,199 | \$1,211 | \$0 |
| | Chino Valley | | | | | | | | |
| | Single Family | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | Units of Service | | 47,101 | 142 | 219 | 827 | 836 | 9,303 | 0 |
| 27 | Allocated Cost of Service | \$754,817 | \$304,182 | \$192,790 | \$57,715 | \$150,294 | \$27,249 | \$22,586 | \$0 |
| | Multi-Family | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | Units of Service | | 1,861 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 12 | 0 |
| 29 | Allocated Cost of Service | \$14,980 | \$12,021 | \$1,358 | \$1,054 | \$427 | \$92 | \$29 | \$0 |
| | Nonresidential | . , | | | | | • | - | |
| 30 | Units of Service | | 0 | 4 | 22 | 110 | 81 | 480 | 0 |
| 31 | Allocated Cost of Service | \$34,975 | \$0 | \$5,431 | \$5,798 | \$19,952 | \$2,629 | \$1,165 | \$0 |
| 32 | Total Allocated Cost of Service | \$27,953,030 | \$11,416,592 | \$7,395,906 | \$2,744,335 | \$4,946,904 | \$814,185 | \$635,108 | \$0 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Customer Class Cost of Service Test Year 2029

| Line No. | Customer Class | Cost of Service | Fire Protection Adjustment | Adjusted COS | Total Adjusted COS |
|-------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|
| 140. | customer class | Scrvice | Aujustinent | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Inside City | Ć14 200 041 | ¢620.700 | Ć1 4 020 C41 | Ć1 4 020 C41 |
| 1 | Single Family | \$14,208,941 | \$629,700 | \$14,838,641 | \$14,838,641 |
| 2 | Multi-Family | 1,952,673 | 42,170 | 1,994,843 | 1,994,843 |
| 3 | Nonresidential | 6,922,068 | 162,619 | 7,084,687 | 7,084,687 |
| 4 | Bulk Water Station | 45,147 | 0 | 45,147 | 45,147 |
| 5 | Reservation - Non-Residential | 292,625 | 0 | 292,625 | 292,625 |
| 6 | Reservation - MF | 106,578 | 0 | 106,578 | 106,578 |
| 7 | Construction / Hydrant | 207,349 | 0 | 207,349 | 207,349 |
| 8 | Fire Protection | 834,489 | (834,489) | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Total Inside City | 24,569,870 | 0 | 24,569,870 | 24,569,870 |
| | Outside City | | | | |
| 10 | Single Family | 2,137,006 | 0 | 2,137,006 | 2,137,006 |
| 11 | Multi-Family | 162,758 | 0 | 162,758 | 162,758 |
| 12 | Nonresidential | 278,624 | 0 | 278,624 | 278,624 |
| 13 | Total Outside City | 2,578,388 | 0 | 2,578,388 | 2,578,388 |
| | Chino Valley | | | | |
| 14 | Single Family | 754,817 | 0 | 754,817 | 754,817 |
| 15 | Multi-Family | 14,980 | 0 | 14,980 | 14,980 |
| 16 | Nonresidential | 34,975 | 0 | 34,975 | 34,975 |
| 17 | Total Chino Valley | 804,772 | 0 | 804,772 | 804,772 |
| 18 | Total Cost of Service | 27,953,030 | 0 | 27,953,030 | 27,953,030 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Comparison of COS Against Revenue Under Existing Rates Test Year 2029

| Line | | Adjusted Cost | Revenue Under | Indicated |
|------|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|
| No. | Customer Class | of Service | Existing Rates | Adjustment |
| | Inside City | | | |
| 1 | Single Family | \$14,838,641 | \$10,993,497 | 35.0% |
| 2 | Multi-Family | 1,994,843 | 1,489,772 | 33.9% |
| 3 | Nonresidential | 7,084,687 | 5,361,122 | 32.1% |
| 4 | Bulk Water Station | 45,147 | 60,480 | -25.4% |
| 5 | Reservation - Non-Residential | 292,625 | 210,503 | 39.0% |
| 6 | Reservation - MF | 106,578 | 137,041 | -22.2% |
| 7 | Construction / Hydrant | 207,349 | 129,372 | 60.3% |
| 8 | Fire Protection | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| 9 | Total Inside City | 24,569,870 | 18,381,786 | 33.7% |
| | Outside City | | | |
| 10 | Single Family | 2,137,006 | 1,649,943 | 29.5% |
| 11 | Multi-Family | 162,758 | 120,704 | 34.8% |
| 12 | Nonresidential | 278,624 | 233,875 | 19.1% |
| 13 | Total Outside City | 2,578,388 | 2,004,522 | 28.6% |
| | Chino Valley | | | |
| 14 | Single Family | 754,817 | 602,121 | 25.4% |
| 15 | Multi-Family | 14,980 | 13,936 | 7.5% |
| 16 | Nonresidential | 34,975 | 80,092 | -56.3% |
| 17 | Total Chino Valley | 804,772 | 696,149 | 15.6% |
| 18 | Total Cost of Service | \$27,953,030 | \$21,082,457 | 32.6% |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study COS Assumptions Test Year 2029

| | FY 2022-23 Total Usage (Kgal) | FY 2022-23 Total Bills | FY 2022-23 Average Monthly Use Per Bill (Kgal) | July 2022 Peak Monthly Use Per Bill | Ratio Max Month to Avg Month | Estimated Ratio Peak Day to Average Day (Coincidental) | Noncoincidental Diversification Factor | Coincidental Max Day Demand Factors | Coincidental Max Hour Demand Factors |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Inside City | | | | | | | | | |
| Single Family | 955,759 | 229,793 | 4.16 | 6.43 | 1.55 | 1.90 | 1.15 | 220% | 400% |
| Multi-Family | 190,209 | 9,234 | 20.60 | 24.64 | 1.20 | 1.47 | 1.15 | 170% | 310% |
| Nonresidential | 610,861 | 23,737 | 25.73 | 37.19 | 1.45 | 1.78 | 1.15 | 200% | 360% |
| Bulk Water Station | 3,642 | 12 | 303.54 | 529.58 | 1.74 | 2.15 | 1.15 | 250% | 450% |
| Reservation - Non-Res | 25,113 | 731 | 34.35 | 48.14 | 1.40 | 1.73 | 1.15 | 200% | 360% |
| Reservation - MF | 12,584 | 24 | 524.35 | 639.26 | 1.22 | 1.50 | 1.15 | 170% | 310% |
| Construction / Hydrant | 21,917 | 12 | 1,826.43 | 2,527.59 | 1.38 | 1.70 | 1.15 | 200% | 360% |
| Outside City | | | | | | | | | |
| Single Family | 103,670 | 28,317 | 3.7 | 6.04 | 1.65 | 2.03 | 1.15 | 230% | 410% |
| Multi-Family | 13,679 | 192 | 71.2 | 91.93 | 1.29 | 1.59 | 1.15 | 180% | 320% |
| Nonresidential | 23,431 | 459 | 51.0 | 70.85 | 1.39 | 1.71 | 1.15 | 200% | 360% |
| Chino Valley | | | | | | | | | |
| Single Family | 42,673 | 8,571 | 5.0 | 7.54 | 1.51 | 1.86 | 1.15 | 210% | 380% |
| Multi-Family | 2,599 | 12 | 216.6 | 162.60 | 0.75 | 0.92 | 1.15 | 110% | 200% |
| Nonresidential | 7,176 | 444 | 16.2 | 14.10 | 0.87 | 1.07 | 1.15 | 120% | 220% |
| Total | 2,013,313 | 301,538 | 6.7 | 9.76 | 1.46 | 1.80 | 1.15 | 210% | 380% |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study COS Assumptions Test Year 2029

| System Coincidental Demands | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|------------|
| | | |
| | Factor | Allocation |
| Max Day Extra Capacity | | |
| Base | 1.00 | 55.56% |
| Max Day | 0.80 | 44.44% |
| | 1.80 | 100.00% |
| Max Hour Extra Capacity | | |
| Base | 1.00 | 30.86% |
| Max Day | 0.80 | 24.69% |
| Max Hour | 1.44 | 44.44% |
| | 3.24 | 100.00% |

| Rate Surcharges | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Inside City | 1.00 | | | | | |
| Inside City Outside City | 1.30 | | | | | |
| Chino Valley | 1.30 | | | | | |

| Waterline Diameter | Length | ength Inch-ft | |
|--------------------|-------------|---------------|--------|
| | | | |
| 0.75 | 0 | 0 | |
| 1.00 | 3,182 | 3,182 | |
| 1.25 | 67 | 84 | |
| 1.50 | 1,793 | 2,689.91 | |
| 2.00 | 72,271 | 144,542 | |
| 2.50 | 1,693 | 4,231 | |
| 3.00 | 18,598 | 55,794 | |
| 4.00 | 103,499 | 413,996 | |
| 6.00 | 1,002,994 | 6,017,963 | |
| 8.00 | 961,828 | 7,694,623 | 54.3% |
| 10.00 | 59,528 | 595,282 | |
| 12.00 | 431,679 | 5,180,153 | |
| 14.00 | 31,562 | 441,871 | |
| 16.00 | 24,302 | 388,836 | |
| 18.00 | 113,326 | 2,039,871 | |
| 20.00 | 22,019 | 440,376 | |
| 24.00 | 10,319 | 247,665 | |
| 30.00 | 22,408 | 672,235 | |
| 36.00 | 57,669 | 2,076,075 | 45.7% |
| TOTAL | 2,938,737.3 | 26,419,468.3 | 100.0% |

Unknown 36,913

| Estimated Fire Flows | Accounts | gpm | hours | Factor | Weighted Ave |
|----------------------|----------|-------|----------|------------|--------------|
| System Wide | | 2,000 | 2 | | |
| Single Family | 20,810 | 1,500 | 2 | 62,430,510 | 75% |
| Multi-Family | 836 | 2,500 | 2 | 4,180,850 | 5% |
| Nonresidential | 2,150 | 2,500 | 3 | 16,122,525 | 19% |
| Total | | | <u> </u> | 82,733,885 | 100% |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Comparison of COS Under Cash Basis and Utility Basis Test Year 2029

| Line | | Adjusted COS | Adjusted COS | |
|------|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|
| No. | Customer Class | Cash Basis | Utility Basis | Differential |
| | Inside City | | | |
| 1 | Single Family | \$14,838,641 | \$19,789,477 | 33.4% |
| 2 | Multi-Family | 1,994,843 | 2,598,129 | 30.2% |
| 3 | Nonresidential | 7,084,687 | 9,324,503 | 31.6% |
| 4 | Bulk Water Station | 45,147 | 58,444 | 29.5% |
| 5 | Reservation - Non-Residential | 292,625 | 369,473 | 26.3% |
| 6 | Reservation - MF | 106,578 | 138,057 | 29.5% |
| 7 | Construction / Hydrant | 207,349 | 196,751 | -5.1% |
| 8 | Fire Protection | 0 | 0 | 0.0% |
| 9 | Total Inside City | 24,569,870 | 32,474,834 | 32.2% |
| | Outside City | | | |
| 10 | Single Family | 2,137,006 | 2,188,777 | 2.4% |
| 11 | Multi-Family | 162,758 | 161,525 | -0.8% |
| 12 | Nonresidential | 278,624 | 278,364 | -0.1% |
| 13 | Total Outside City | 2,578,388 | 2,628,666 | 1.9% |
| | Chino Valley | | | |
| 14 | Single Family | 754,817 | 768,755 | 1.8% |
| 15 | Multi-Family | 14,980 | 14,589 | -2.6% |
| 16 | Nonresidential | 34,975 | 88,535 | 153.1% |
| 17 | Total Chino Valley | 804,772 | 871,880 | 8.3% |
| 18 | Total Cost of Service | \$27,953,030 | \$35,975,381 | 28.7% |

APPENDIX C: WATER RATE DESIGN

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Rate Design by Customer Class - Proposed

Proposed FY 2028-29 Base Charge BASE CHARGE BY METER SIZE

| | | | | | Inside City | | |
|--------|----------|-------|--------------|----------|-------------|---------|---------|
| | Meter | Meter | Monthly | Monthly | Monthly | Monthly | |
| Meter | Capacity | Cost | Distribution | Meters & | Billing | Service | |
| Size | Ratio | Ratio | System | Services | | Charge | Use |
| (in.) | | | \$/bill | \$/bill | \$/bill | \$/bill | \$/bill |
| 5/8" | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$11.65 | \$2.09 | \$0.16 | \$13.90 | \$20.71 |
| 3/4" | 1.50 | 1.17 | 17.47 | 2.45 | 0.16 | 20.08 | 21.84 |
| 1" | 1.67 | 1.35 | 19.42 | 2.81 | 0.16 | 22.39 | 24.73 |
| 1 1/2" | 3.33 | 2.08 | 38.83 | 4.34 | 0.16 | 43.33 | 30.40 |
| 2" | 5.33 | 2.81 | 62.13 | 5.88 | 0.16 | 68.17 | 40.15 |
| 3" | 10.00 | 10.25 | 116.49 | 21.41 | 0.16 | 138.06 | 59.73 |
| 4" | 16.67 | 15.99 | 194.16 | 33.40 | 0.16 | 227.72 | 87.68 |
| 6" | 33.33 | 22.59 | 388.31 | 47.19 | 0.16 | 435.66 | 157.53 |
| 8" | 53.33 | 30.00 | 621.30 | 62.68 | 0.16 | 684.14 | 241.54 |

C - 2 4/25/2024

Revenue Surplus (Deficiency)

| ingle Family Resi | | | | | | \$ |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| otal Class Cost of | Service | | _ | | | \$14,838,64 |
| Meter Size | Bills | Monthly Service Charge | | Revenue | | |
| inches | | \$ | _ | \$ | | |
| r /o!! | 225 525 | ¢20.71 | | ¢4.670.633 | | |
| 5/8" | 225,525 | \$20.71 | | \$4,670,622 | | |
| 3/4" | 208 | 21.84 | | 4,533 | | |
| 1" | 23,690 | 24.73 | | 585,842 | | |
| 1 1/2" | 261 | 30.40 | | 7,929 | | |
| 2" | 39 | 40.15 | | 1,571 | | |
| 3" | 0 | 59.73 | | 0 | | |
| 4" | 0 | 87.68 | | 0 | | |
| 6" | 0 | 157.53 | | 0 | | |
| 8" | 0 | 241.54 | | 0 | | |
| otal | 249,722 | | - - | \$5,270,497 | | \$5,270,49 |
| olume Rate Reve | enue Required | | | | | \$9,568,14 |
| alculated Volume | e Rate (\$ per gal) | | | | | |
| | Block | Volume in | Billed | Cumulative | Volume | Rate |
| Block | Ending | Block | Volume | Factor | Rate | Revenue |
| | gal | % | kgal | | \$ per kgal | \$ |
| First | 3,000 | 48.4% | 520,446 | 1.000 | \$6.12 | \$3,185,12 |
| Next | 7,000 | 38.2% | 410,901 | 1.500 | 9.18 | 3,772,06 |
| Next | 10,000 | 9.9% | 106,341 | 2.250 | 13.77 | 1,464,31 |
| All Over | 20,000 | 3.4% | 36,642 | 4.500 | 27.54 | 1,009,12 |
| otal | · _ | 100.00% | 1,074,329 | | _ | \$9,430,62 |
| otal Revenue | | | | | | \$14,701,12 |

(\$137,516)

Multi-Family Residential - Inside City

| Total Class Cost of | Service | | | | _ | \$1,994,843 |
|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | Monthly | | | | |
| Meter | | Service | | | | |
| Size | Bills | Charge | | Revenue | | |
| inches | | \$ | - | \$ | | |
| 5/8" | 4,826 | \$20.71 | | \$99,941 | | |
| 3/4" | 26 | 21.84 | | 570 | | |
| 1" | 2,324 | 24.73 | | 57,480 | | |
| 1 1/2" | 949 | 30.40 | | 28,838 | | |
| 2" | 1,714 | 40.15 | | 68,802 | | |
| 3" | 143 | 59.73 | | 8,567 | | |
| 4" | 39 | 87.68 | | 3,430 | | |
| 6" | 13 | 157.53 | | 2,054 | | |
| 8" | 0 | 241.54 | | 0 | | |
| Total | 10,034 | | - | \$269,683 | | \$269,683 |
| Volume Rate Reve | enue Required | | | | | \$1,725,159 |
| Calculated Volume | e Rate (\$ per gal) | | | | | |
| | Block | Volume in | Billed | Cumulative | Volume | Rate |
| Block | Ending | Block | Volume | Factor | Rate | Revenue |
| | gal | % | kgal | | \$ per kgal | \$ |
| First | 1,700 | 50.7% | 106,159 | 1.000 | \$5.77 | \$612,535 |
| Next | 3,300 | 35.0% | 73,253 | 1.500 | 8.66 | 634,373 |
| Next | 5,000 | 10.1% | 21,243 | 2.250 | 12.98 | 275,730 |
| All Over | 10,000 | 4.2% | 8,892 | 4.500 | 25.97 | 230,935 |
| Total | _ | 100.00% | 209,547 | | _ | \$1,753,573 |
| Total Revenue | | | | | | \$2,023,256 |
| Revenue Surplus (| Deficiency) | | | | _ | \$28,414 |
| | * * | | | | = | |

| n-Residential In al Class Cost of | | | | \$ \$7,084,687 |
|---|--------------|------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Meter Size | Bills | Monthly Service Charge | Payanya | |
| inches | DIIIS | \$ | Revenue \$ | |
| 5/8" | 12,010 | \$20.71 | \$248,719 | |
| 3/4" | . 39 | 21.84 | 854 | |
| 1" | 5,726 | 24.73 | 141,605 | |
| 1 1/2" | 2,903 | 30.40 | 88,242 | |
| 2" | 3,833 | 40.15 | 153,893 | |
| 3" | 829 | 59.73 | 49,527 | |
| 4" | 313 | 87.68 | 27,442 | |
| 6" | 104 | 157.53 | 16,435 | |
| 8" | 39 | 241.54 | 9,450 | |
| al _ | 25,796 | | \$736,166 | \$736,166 |
| lume Rate Reve | nue Required | | | \$6,348,521 |

Calculated Volume Rate (\$ per gal)

Total Inside City Non-Residential Usage

| | Block | Volume in | Billed | Cumulative | Volume | Rate |
|----------------------|-------------|-----------|---------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Block | Ending | Block | Volume | Factor | Rate | Revenue |
| | | | | | \$ per kgal | \$ |
| First | n/a | 40.3% | 274,230 | 1.000 | \$6.28 | \$1,722,166 |
| Next | n/a | 43.3% | 294,893 | 1.500 | 9.42 | 2,777,890 |
| Next | n/a | 14.4% | 98,034 | 2.250 | 14.13 | 1,385,227 |
| All Over | n/a | 2.0% | 13,831 | 4.500 | 28.26 | 390,860 |
| Total | | = | 680,988 | | = | \$6,276,143 |
| Total Revenue | | | | | | \$7,012,309 |
| Revenue Surplus (| Deficiency) | | | | _ | (\$72,378 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Rate Design by Customer Class - Proposed Proposed FY 2028-29 Rates

Non-Residential Usage By Block

| | Block Volume in | | Billed |
|-------------|-----------------|-------|--------|
| Block | Ending | Block | Volume |
| | gal | % | kgal |
| 5/8" & 3/4" | | | |
| First | 6,000 | 43.7% | 31,432 |
| Next | 22,000 | 39.4% | 28,313 |
| Next | 32,000 | 11.3% | 8,122 |
| All Over | 60,000 | 5.6% | 4,056 |
| 1" | | | |
| First | 15,000 | 46.5% | 35,156 |
| Next | 55,000 | 37.7% | 28,536 |
| Next | 80,000 | 12.6% | 9,542 |
| All Over | 150,000 | 3.1% | 2,377 |
| 1.5" | | | |
| First | 30,000 | 55.9% | 35,391 |
| Next | 110,000 | 37.5% | 23,703 |
| Next | 160,000 | 6.2% | 3,935 |
| All Over | 300,000 | 0.4% | 228 |
| 2" | | | |
| First | 48,000 | 43.2% | 85,246 |
| Next | 176,000 | 45.2% | 89,132 |
| Next | 256,000 | 9.5% | 18,835 |
| All Over | 480,000 | 2.0% | 4,043 |
| 3" | | | |
| First | 96,000 | 42.6% | 25,101 |
| Next | 352,000 | 40.8% | 24,022 |
| Next | 512,000 | 14.2% | 8,349 |
| All Over | 960,000 | 2.4% | 1,418 |
| 4" | | | |
| First | 150,000 | 33.8% | 26,092 |
| Next | 550,000 | 46.6% | 36,038 |
| Next | 800,000 | 17.9% | 13,811 |
| All Over | 1,500,000 | 1.7% | 1,331 |
| 6" | | | |
| First | 300,000 | 33.3% | 20,849 |
| Next | 1,100,000 | 48.1% | 30,091 |
| Next | 1,600,000 | 18.4% | 11,491 |
| All Over | 3,000,000 | 0.3% | 184 |
| 8" | | | |
| First | 480,000 | 20.2% | 14,963 |
| Next | 1,760,000 | 47.3% | 35,057 |
| Next | 2,560,000 | 32.3% | 23,949 |
| All Over | 4,800,000 | 0.3% | 194 |
| | | | |

C - 6 4/25/2024

| Reservation | | | | | | \$ |
|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|--------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Total Class Cost of | Service | | | | | \$292,625 |
| Non-Residential - | Reservation | | | | | |
| | | Monthly | | | | |
| Meter | | Service | | | | |
| Size | Bills | Charge | _ | Revenue | | |
| inches | | \$ | _ | \$ | | |
| 5/8" | 156 | \$20.71 | | \$3,239 | | |
| 3/4" | 0 | 21.84 | | 0 | | |
| 1" | 194 | 24.73 | | 4,808 | | |
| 1 1/2" | 143 | 30.40 | | 4,359 | | |
| 2" | 300 | 40.15 | | 12,036 | | |
| 3" | 0 | 59.73 | | 0 | | |
| 4" | 0 | 87.68 | | 0 | | |
| 6" | 0 | 157.53 | | 0 | | |
| 8" | 0 | 241.54 | | 0 | | |
| Total | 794 | | _ | \$24,443 | | \$24,443 |
| Volume Rate Reve | enue Required | | = | | | \$268,182 |
| Calculated Volume | e Rate (\$ per gal) | | | | | |
| | | Volume in | Billed | Cumulative | Volume | Rate |
| Block | | Block | Volume | Factor | Rate | Revenue |
| | | % | kgal | | \$ per kgal | \$ |
| First | | 42.6% | 12,072 | 1.000 | \$6.28 | \$75,809 |
| Next | | 44.2% | 12,526 | 1.500 | 9.42 | 117,996 |
| Next | | 12.1% | 3,436 | 2.250 | 14.13 | 48,556 |
| All Over | | 1.1% | 308 | 4.500 | 28.26 | 8,707 |
| Total | _ | 100.00% | 28,342 | | _ | \$251,067 |
| Total Revenue | | | | | | \$275,510 |
| Revenue Surplus (| Deficiency) | | | | _ | (\$17,115) |
| Merchae Julpius (| Deficiency) | | | | | (717,113) |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Rate Design by Customer Class - Proposed Proposed FY 2028-29 Rates

Usage By Block - Reservation - Non Residential

| | Block | Volume in | Billed | |
|-------------|---------|-----------|--------|--|
| Block | Ending | Block | Volume | |
| | gal | % | kgal | |
| 5/8" & 3/4" | | | | |
| First | 6,000 | 48.5% | 435 | |
| Next | 22,000 | 33.2% | 298 | |
| Next | 32,000 | 14.7% | 132 | |
| All Over | 60,000 | 3.6% | 32 | |
| 1" | | | | |
| First | 15,000 | 41.9% | 1,162 | |
| Next | 55,000 | 52.1% | 1,447 | |
| Next | 80,000 | 5.8% | 161 | |
| All Over | 150,000 | 0.2% | 6 | |
| 1.5" | | | | |
| First | 30,000 | 58.7% | 2,349 | |
| Next | 110,000 | 38.9% | 1,557 | |
| Next | 160,000 | 2.0% | 78 | |
| All Over | 300,000 | 0.5% | 20 | |
| 2" | | | | |
| First | 48,000 | 39.3% | 8,125 | |
| Next | 176,000 | 44.6% | 9,224 | |
| Next | 256,000 | 14.8% | 3,065 | |
| All Over | 480,000 | 1.2% | 250 | |
| | | | | |

| Reservation - Mul | ti-Family | | | | | \$ |
|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Total Class Cost of | Service | | | | _ | \$106,578 |
| Reservation - Mul | ti-Family | | | | | |
| | | Monthly | | | | |
| Meter | | Service | | | | |
| Size | Bills | Charge | <u>_</u> | Revenue | | |
| inches | | \$ | | \$ | | |
| 5/8" | 0 | \$20.71 | | \$0 | | |
| 3/4" | 0 | 21.84 | | 0 | | |
| 1" | 0 | 24.73 | | 0 | | |
| 1 1/2" | 0 | 30.40 | | 0 | | |
| 2" | 0 | 40.15 | | 0 | | |
| 3" | 0 | 59.73 | | 0 | | |
| 4" | 0 | 87.68 | | 0 | | |
| 6" | 24 | 157.53 | | 3,781 | | |
| 8" | 0 | 241.54 | | 0 | | |
| Total | 24 | | - - | \$3,781 | | \$3,781 |
| Volume Rate Reve | enue Required | | | | | \$102,798 |
| Calculated Volum | e Rate (\$ per gal) | | | | | |
| | Block | Volume in | Billed | Cumulative | Volume | Rate |
| Block | Ending | Block | Volume | Factor | Rate | Revenue |
| | gal | % | kgal | | \$ per kgal | \$ |
| First | | 100.0% | 12,373 | 1.000 | \$5.77 | \$71,392 |
| Next | | 0.0% | 0 | 1.500 | 8.66 | 0 |
| Next | | 0.0% | 0 | 2.250 | 12.98 | 0 |
| All Over | | 0.0% | 0 | 4.500 | 25.97 | 0 |
| Total | | 100.00% | 12,373 | | _ | \$71,392 |
| Total Revenue | | | | | | \$75,172 |
| Revenue Surplus (| Deficiency) | | | | _ | (\$31,406) |
| nevenue surpius (| Deficiency) | | | | | (331,400) |

Usage By Block - Reservation - Multi-Family

| | Block | Volume in | Billed | |
|----------|-----------|-----------|--------|--|
| Block | Ending | Block | Volume | |
| | gal | % | kgal | |
| 6" | | | | |
| First | 300,000 | 100.0% | 12,373 | |
| Next | 1,100,000 | 0.0% | 0 | |
| Next | 1,600,000 | 0.0% | 0 | |
| All Over | 3,000,000 | 0.0% | 0 | |

C - 10 4/25/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Rate Design by Customer Class - Proposed

Proposed FY 2028-29 Base Charges BASE CHARGE BY METER SIZE

| | | | Outside City | | | | | |
|--------|----------|-------|--------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| | Meter | Meter | Monthly | Monthly | Monthly | Monthly | | |
| Meter | Capacity | Cost | Distribution | Meters & | Billing | Service | | |
| Size | Ratio | Ratio | System | Services | | Charge | Use | |
| (in.) | | | \$/bill | \$/bill | \$/bill | \$/bill | \$/bill | |
| 5/8" | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$15.14 | \$2.72 | \$0.20 | \$18.06 | \$26.92 | |
| 3/4" | 1.50 | 1.17 | 22.72 | 3.19 | 0.20 | 26.11 | 28.39 | |
| 1" | 1.67 | 1.35 | 25.24 | 3.66 | 0.20 | 29.10 | 32.15 | |
| 1 1/2" | 3.33 | 2.08 | 50.48 | 5.64 | 0.20 | 56.32 | 39.52 | |
| 2" | 5.33 | 2.81 | 80.77 | 7.64 | 0.20 | 88.61 | 52.20 | |
| 3" | 10.00 | 10.25 | 151.44 | 27.83 | 0.20 | 179.47 | 77.65 | |
| 4" | 16.67 | 15.99 | 252.40 | 43.42 | 0.20 | 296.02 | 113.98 | |
| 6" | 33.33 | 22.59 | 504.81 | 61.35 | 0.20 | 566.36 | 204.79 | |
| 8" | 53.33 | 30.00 | 807.69 | 81.48 | 0.20 | 889.37 | 314.00 | |

Outside City Differential

1.30

C - 11 4/25/2024

| Single Family Resi | idential - Outside Ci | ty | | | | \$ |
|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Total Class Cost of | f Service | | | | | \$2,137,006 |
| Meter Size | Bills | Monthly Service Charge | | Revenue | | |
| inches | | \$ | _ | \$ | | |
| 5/8" | 29,537 | \$26.92 | | \$795,230 | | |
| 3/4" | 25,537 | 28.39 | | 740 | | |
| 1" | 1,052 | 32.15 | | 33,812 | | |
| 1 1/2" | 130 | 39.52 | | 5,153 | | |
| 2" | 21 | 52.20 | | 1,077 | | |
| 3" | 0 | 77.65 | | 0 | | |
| 4" | 0 | 113.98 | | 0 | | |
| 6" | 0 | 204.79 | | 0 | | |
| 8" | 0 | 314.00 | | 0 | | |
| otal | 30,766 | | <u>-</u> | \$836,012 | | \$836,012 |
| olume Rate Rev | enue Required | | | | | \$1,300,995 |
| alculated Volum | e Rate (\$ per gal) | | | | | |
| | Block | Volume in | Billed | Cumulative | Volume | Rate |
| Block | Ending | Block | Volume | Factor | Rate | Revenue |
| | gal | % | kgal | | \$ per kgal | \$ |
| First | 3,000 | 49.3% | 57,928 | 1.000 | \$7.96 | \$461,109 |
| Next | 7,000 | 36.0% | 42,250 | 1.500 | 11.94 | 504,464 |
| Next | 10,000 | 10.3% | 12,156 | 2.250 | 17.91 | 217,716 |
| All Over | 20,000 | 4.4% | 5,157 | 4.500 | 35.82 | 184,737 |
| otal | _ | 100.00% | 117,492 | | | \$1,368,026 |
| otal Revenue | | | | | | \$2,204,037 |
| evenue Surplus (| Deficiency) | | | | | \$67,031 |
| | | | | | = | 70.7001 |

C - 12

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Rate Design by Customer Class - Proposed Proposed FY 2028-29 Rates

Multi-Family Residential - Outside City

| a.c ayco. | aciitiai — — attitac — ii | • 7 | | | | Ψ |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------|--------|------------|-------------|-----------|
| Total Class Cost of | Service | | | | <u></u> | \$162,758 |
| | | Monthly | | | | |
| Meter | | Service | | | | |
| Size | Bills | Charge | _ | Revenue | | |
| inches | | \$ | _ | \$ | | |
| 5/8" | 104 | \$26.92 | | \$2,800 | | |
| 3/4" | 0 | 28.39 | | 0 | | |
| 1" | 52 | 32.15 | | 1,672 | | |
| 1 1/2" | 0 | 39.52 | | 0 | | |
| 2" | 13 | 52.20 | | 679 | | |
| 3" | 0 | 77.65 | | 0 | | |
| 4" | 0 | 113.98 | | 0 | | |
| 6" | 39 | 204.79 | | 7,987 | | |
| 8" | 0 | 314.00 | | 0 | | |
| Total | 208 | | - | \$13,137 | _ | \$13,137 |
| Volume Rate Reve | enue Required | | | | | \$149,621 |
| Calculated Volume | e Rate (\$ per gal) | | | | | |
| | Block | Volume in | Billed | Cumulative | Volume | Rate |
| Block | Ending | Block | Volume | Factor | Rate | Revenue |
| | gal | % | kgal | | \$ per kgal | \$ |
| First | 1,700 | 49.3% | 7,157 | 1.000 | \$7.50 | \$53,678 |
| Next | 3,300 | 38.6% | 5,603 | 1.500 | 11.25 | 63,038 |
| Next | 5,000 | 10.1% | 1,465 | 2.250 | 16.88 | 24,728 |
| All Over | 10,000 | 1.9% | 282 | 4.500 | 33.75 | 9,508 |
| Total | _ | 100.00% | 14,507 | | | \$150,952 |
| Total Revenue | | | | | | \$164,089 |
| Revenue Surplus (| Deficiency) | | | | _ | \$1,331 |
| . , | • • | | | | = | |

C - 13 4/25/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Rate Design by Customer Class - Proposed Proposed FY 2028-29 Rates

| Non-Residential - C | | | | | _ | \$ \$278,624 |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------|------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Total Class Cost of S | service | | | | | \$276,024 |
| | | Monthly | | | | |
| Meter | | Service | | | | |
| Size | Bills | Charge | _ | Revenue | | |
| inches | | \$ | | \$ | | |
| 5/8" | 271 | \$26.92 | | \$7,303 | | |
| 3/4" | 0 | 28.39 | | 0 | | |
| 1" | 54 | 32.15 | | 1,723 | | |
| 1 1/2" | 27 | 39.52 | | 1,059 | | |
| 2" | 121 | 52.20 | | 6,293 | | |
| 3" | 13 | 77.65 | | 1,040 | | |
| 4" | 0 | 113.98 | | 0 | | |
| 6" | 13 | 204.79 | | 2,743 | | |
| 8" | 0 | 314.00 | | 0 | | |
| Total | 499 | | - | \$20,161 | _ | \$20,161 |
| Volume Rate Reve | nue Required | | | | | \$258,462 |
| Calculated Volume | Rate (\$ per gal) | | | | | |
| | Block | Volume in | Billed | Cumulative | Volume | Rate |
| Block | Ending | Block | Volume | Factor | Rate | Revenue |
| | gal | % | kgal | | \$ per kgal | \$ |
| First | | 40.3% | 9,031 | 1.000 | \$8.16 | \$73,690 |
| Next | | 43.3% | 9,711 | 1.500 | 12.24 | 118,864 |
| Next | | 14.4% | 3,228 | 2.250 | 18.36 | 59,273 |
| All Over | | 2.0% | 455 | 4.500 | 36.72 | 16,725 |
| Total | _ | 100.00% | 22,426 | | _ | \$268,551 |
| Total Revenue | | | | | | \$288,712 |
| Revenue Surplus (D | eficiency) | | | | = | \$10,089 |
| | | | | | - | · |

C - 14 4/25/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Rate Design by Customer Class - Alternative 1

Proposed FY 2028-29 Rates BASE CHARGE BY METER SIZE

| | | | | Chino Valley | | | | | | | |
|--------|----------|-------|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | Meter | Meter | Monthly | Monthly | Monthly | Monthly | | | | | |
| Meter | Capacity | Cost | Distribution | Meters & | Billing | Service | | | | | |
| Size | Ratio | Ratio | System | Services | | Charge | Use | | | | |
| (in.) | | | \$/bill | \$/bill | \$/bill | \$/bill | \$/bill | | | | |
| 5/8" | 1.00 | 1.00 | \$15.14 | \$2.72 | \$0.20 | \$18.06 | \$26.92 | | | | |
| 3/4" | 1.50 | 1.17 | 22.72 | 3.19 | 0.20 | 26.11 | 28.39 | | | | |
| 1" | 1.67 | 1.35 | 25.24 | 3.66 | 0.20 | 29.10 | 32.15 | | | | |
| 1 1/2" | 3.33 | 2.08 | 50.48 | 5.64 | 0.20 | 56.32 | 39.52 | | | | |
| 2" | 5.33 | 2.81 | 80.77 | 7.64 | 0.20 | 88.61 | 52.20 | | | | |
| 3" | 10.00 | 10.25 | 151.44 | 27.83 | 0.20 | 179.47 | 77.65 | | | | |
| 4" | 16.67 | 15.99 | 252.40 | 43.42 | 0.20 | 296.02 | 113.98 | | | | |
| 6" | 33.33 | 22.59 | 504.81 | 61.35 | 0.20 | 566.36 | 204.79 | | | | |
| 8" | 53.33 | 30.00 | 807.69 | 81.48 | 0.20 | 889.37 | 314.00 | | | | |

Chino Valley Differential

1.30

C - 15 4/25/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Rate Design by Customer Class - Alternative 1 Proposed FY 2028-29 Rates

| Solume Rate Revenue Required Solume Rate (\$ per gal) Solume Rate (\$ per gal) | gle Family Reside al Class Cost of S | lential - Chino Vall Service | еу | | | _ | \$ \$754,8 |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|-----------|--------|------------|-------------|---------------|
| 5/8" 7,218 \$26.92 \$194,329 3/4" 0 28.39 0 1" 2,073 32.15 66,649 1 1/2" 12 39.52 472 2" 0 52.20 0 3" 0 77.65 0 4" 0 113.98 0 6" 0 204.79 0 8" 0 314.00 0 Otal 9,303 \$261,449 Special Properties Block Ending Block Volume Factor Rate Revenue Required Block Ending Block Volume Factor Rate Revenue Required First 3,000 44.2% 20,798 1.000 \$7.96 Next 7,000 41.2% 19,415 1.500 11.94 Next 10,000 11.7% 5,496 2.250 17.91 All Over 20,000 3.0% 1,392 4.500 35.82 Otal Revenue | | Bills | Service | | Revenue | | |
| 3/4" 0 28.39 0 0 1" 2,073 32.15 66,649 1 1/2" 12 39.52 472 2" 0 52.20 0 0 4" 0 113.98 0 6" 0 314.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | inches | | \$ | _ | \$ | | |
| 3/4" 0 28.39 0 1" 2,073 32.15 66,649 11/2" 12 39.52 472 2" 0 52.20 0 0 4" 0 113.98 0 6" 0 314.00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 5/8" | 7,218 | \$26.92 | | \$194,329 | | |
| 1" 2,073 32.15 66,649 1 1/2" 12 39.52 472 2" 0 52.20 0 3" 0 77.65 0 4" 0 113.98 0 6" 0 204.79 0 8" 0 314.00 0 Ital 9,303 \$\frac{\$\frac{5}{261,449}}\$ Second First 3,000 44.2% 20,798 1.000 \$\frac{7.96}{800}\$ Next 7,000 41.2% 19,415 1.500 11.94 Next 10,000 11.7% 5,496 2.250 17.91 All Over 20,000 41.2% 1,392 4.500 35.82 Ital Revenue | | · | | | | | |
| 1 1/2" 12 39.52 472 2" 0 52.20 0 3" 0 77.65 0 4" 0 113.98 0 6" 0 204.79 0 8" 0 314.00 0 tal 9,303 \$\frac{\$\frac{5}{261,449}}\$ | | | | | | | |
| 2" 0 52.20 0 3" 0 77.65 0 4" 0 113.98 0 6" 0 204.79 0 8" 0 314.00 0 tal 9,303 \$\frac{\$261,449}{\$}\$\$ Cumulative Factor Rate Revenue Required Rate Fending Block Volume Factor Rate Revenue Required First 3,000 44.2% 20,798 1.000 \$7.96 Next 7,000 41.2% 19,415 1.500 11.94 Next 10,000 11.7% 5,496 2.250 17.91 All Over 20,000 3.0% 1,392 4.500 35.82 tal Revenue | 1 1/2" | | | | | | |
| 3" 0 77.65 0 0 113.98 0 6" 0 204.79 0 0 113.98 0 0 0 113.98 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | | | 0 | | |
| A" 0 113.98 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | | | | | |
| 6" 0 204.79 0 0 8" 0 314.00 0 tal 9,303 \$261,449 Cumulative Volume Rate Revenue Required Cumulative Rate Revenue Required Cumulative Rate Revenue Required Cumulative Rate Revenue Required Cumulative Rate Revenue Required Cumulative Rate Revenue Required Rate Revenue Required Cumulative Volume Rate Revenue Required Rate Revenue Required Rate Revenue Required Cumulative Volume Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Sper kgal Sper | 4" | | | | | | |
| S | 6" | | | | | | |
| Solume Rate Revenue Required Solume Rate (\$ per gal) | | | 314.00 | | 0 | | |
| Block Volume in Billed Cumulative Volume R Reverse | al = | 9,303 | | - - | \$261,449 | _ | \$261, |
| Block Finding Block Volume Factor Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Revenue Rate Rate Revenue Rate Rate Revenue Rate Rate Revenue Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate Rate | lume Rate Reve | nue Required | | | | | \$493, |
| Block Ending gal Block wgal Volume Factor Rate Revenue Revenue First 3,000 Next 7,000 Next 7,000 Next 10,000 Next | culated Volume | Rate (\$ per gal) | | | | | |
| gal % kgal \$ per kgal First 3,000 44.2% 20,798 1.000 \$7.96 Next 7,000 41.2% 19,415 1.500 11.94 Next 10,000 11.7% 5,496 2.250 17.91 All Over 20,000 3.0% 1,392 4.500 35.82 tal 100.00% 47,101 | | Block | Volume in | Billed | Cumulative | Volume | Rate |
| First 3,000 44.2% 20,798 1.000 \$7.96 Next 7,000 41.2% 19,415 1.500 11.94 Next 10,000 11.7% 5,496 2.250 17.91 All Over 20,000 3.0% 1,392 4.500 35.82 tal 100.00% 47,101 | Block | Ending | Block | Volume | Factor | Rate | Revenue |
| Next 7,000 41.2% 19,415 1.500 11.94 Next 10,000 11.7% 5,496 2.250 17.91 All Over 20,000 3.0% 1,392 4.500 35.82 tal 100.00% 47,101 | | gal | % | kgal | | \$ per kgal | \$ |
| Next 10,000 11.7% 5,496 2.250 17.91 All Over 20,000 3.0% 1,392 4.500 35.82 tal 100.00% 47,101 | First | 3,000 | 44.2% | 20,798 | 1.000 | \$7.96 | \$165, |
| All Over 20,000 3.0% 1,392 4.500 35.82 100.00% 47,101 tal Revenue | Next | 7,000 | 41.2% | 19,415 | 1.500 | 11.94 | 231, |
| All Over 20,000 3.0% 1,392 4.500 35.82 100.00% 47,101 tal Revenue | Next | | 11.7% | | | 17.91 | 98, |
| tal Revenue 100.00% 47,101 | All Over | | 3.0% | | 4.500 | 35.82 | 49, |
| | | _ | 100.00% | | | _ | \$545, |
| Complex (Deficience) | al Revenue | | | | | | \$807, |
| venue Surplus (Deficiency) | enue Surnlus (D | eficiency) | | | | _ | \$52, |

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Rate Design by Customer Class - Alternative 1 Proposed FY 2028-29 Rates

| otal Class Cost of | dential - Chino Valle Service | ey . | | | _ | \$ \$14,980 |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|-------------------------|---|--|
| Meter Size | Bills | Monthly Service Charge | _ | Revenue | | |
| inches | | \$ | _ | \$ | | |
| 5/8" | 0 | \$26.92 | | \$0 | | |
| 3/4" | 0 | 28.39 | | 0 | | |
| 1" | 0 | 32.15 | | 0 | | |
| 1 1/2" | 0 | 39.52 | | 0 | | |
| 2" | 12 | 52.20 | | 626 | | |
| 3" | 0 | 77.65 | | 0 | | |
| 4" | 0 | 113.98 | | 0 | | |
| 6" | 0 | 204.79 | | 0 | | |
| 8" | 0 | 314.00 | | 0 | | |
| otal | 12 | | - - | \$626 | _ | \$62 |
| | | | | | | |
| olume Rate Rev | enue Required | | | | | \$14,35 |
| olume Rate Revo | enue Required e Rate (\$ per gal) | | | | | \$14,35 |
| | - | Volume in | Billed | Cumulative | Volume | \$14,35 Rate |
| | e Rate (\$ per gal) | Volume in Block | Billed Volume | Cumulative Factor | Volume Rate | |
| alculated Volumo | e Rate (\$ per gal) Block | | | | | Rate |
| alculated Volumo | e Rate (\$ per gal) Block Ending | Block | Volume | | Rate | Rate Revenue |
| alculated Volumo | e Rate (\$ per gal) Block Ending gal | Block % | Volume kgal | Factor | Rate \$ per kgal | Rate Revenue \$ |
| alculated Volumo Block First | Block Ending gal 1,700 | Block % 44.8% | Volume kgal 835 | Factor | Rate \$ per kgal \$7.50 | Rate Revenue \$ \$6,25 |
| Block First Next | Block Ending gal 1,700 3,300 | Block % 44.8% 48.6% | Volume kgal 835 904 | 1.000 1.500 | Rate \$ per kgal \$7.50 11.25 | Rate Revenue \$ \$6,25 10,17 2,07 |
| Block First Next Next All Over | Block Ending gal 1,700 3,300 5,000 | Block % 44.8% 48.6% 6.6% | Volume kgal 835 904 123 | 1.000 1.500 2.250 | \$ per kgal \$7.50 11.25 16.88 | Rate Revenue \$ \$6,25 10,17 2,07 |
| Block First Next Next | Block Ending gal 1,700 3,300 5,000 | Block % 44.8% 48.6% 6.6% 0.0% | Volume kgal 835 904 123 0 | 1.000 1.500 2.250 | \$ per kgal \$7.50 11.25 16.88 | Rate Revenue \$ \$6,25 10,17 |

C - 17 4/25/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Rate Design by Customer Class - Alternative 1 Proposed FY 2028-29 Rates

Non-Residential - Chino Valley

| otal Class Cost of | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| | Service | | | | | \$34,97 |
| | | Monthly | | | | |
| Meter | | Service | | | | |
| Size | Bills | Charge | | Revenue | | |
| inches | | \$ | | \$ | | |
| 5/8" | 169 | \$26.92 | | \$4,541 | | |
| 3/4" | 0 | 28.39 | | 0 | | |
| 1" | 169 | 32.15 | | 5,422 | | |
| 1 1/2" | 0 | 39.52 | | 0 | | |
| 2" | 130 | 52.20 | | 6,771 | | |
| 3" | 0 | 77.65 | | 0 | | |
| 4" | 13 | 113.98 | | 1,479 | | |
| 6" | 0 | 204.79 | | 0 | | |
| 8" | 0 | 314.00 | | 0 | | |
| otal | 480 | | _ | \$18,212 | | \$18,2 |
| Olai • | 400 | | = | 710,212 | <u></u> | 710,2. |
| olume Rate Reve | enue Required | | = | Ţ10,E1E | | |
| : | enue Required | | = | Ÿ10;Z1Z | _ | |
| olume Rate Reve | enue Required | Volume in | = Billed | Cumulative | Volume | \$16,76 Rate |
| olume Rate Reve | enue Required e Rate (\$ per gal) | Volume in Block | Billed Volume | | Volume Rate | \$16,70 |
| i olume Rate Reve alculated Volume | enue Required e Rate (\$ per gal) Block | | | Cumulative | | \$16,7 0 Rate |
| i olume Rate Reve alculated Volume | enue Required e Rate (\$ per gal) Block Ending | Block | Volume | Cumulative | Rate | \$16,70 Rate Revenue \$ |
| olume Rate Reversal R | enue Required e Rate (\$ per gal) Block Ending | Block % | Volume kgal | Cumulative Factor | Rate \$ per kgal | \$16,70 Rate Revenue \$ \$26,9 |
| olume Rate Reve alculated Volume Block First | enue Required e Rate (\$ per gal) Block Ending | Block % 40.3% | Volume kgal 3,301 | Cumulative Factor | Rate \$ per kgal \$8.16 | \$16,70 Rate Revenue \$ \$26,90 43,44 |
| olume Rate Reve alculated Volume Block First Next | enue Required e Rate (\$ per gal) Block Ending | Block % 40.3% 43.3% | Volume kgal 3,301 3,549 | Cumulative Factor 1.000 1.500 | Rate \$ per kgal \$8.16 12.24 | \$16,70 Rate Revenue \$ \$26,90 43,40 21,60 |
| olume Rate Reve alculated Volume Block First Next Next All Over | enue Required e Rate (\$ per gal) Block Ending | Block % 40.3% 43.3% 14.4% | Volume kgal 3,301 3,549 1,180 | Cumulative Factor 1.000 1.500 2.250 | \$ per kgal \$8.16 12.24 18.36 | \$16,7 Rate Revenue \$ \$26,9 43,4 21,6 6,1 |
| olume Rate Reve alculated Volume Block First Next Next | enue Required e Rate (\$ per gal) Block Ending | Block % 40.3% 43.3% 14.4% 2.0% | Volume kgal 3,301 3,549 1,180 166 | Cumulative Factor 1.000 1.500 2.250 | \$ per kgal \$8.16 12.24 18.36 | \$16,70 Rate Revenue |

C - 18

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Wastewater Cash Flow Assumptions

| | Current Year | | | Projected | | | Projected | | | | |
|--|----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| Key Results and Drivers | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating Fund | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Revenue Increases | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Annual Increases | 0.0% | 18.0% | 17.0% | 8.0% | 7.0% | 4.0% | 10.0% | 7.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | |
| Cumulative Increase | 0.0% | 18.0% | 38.1% | 49.1% | 59.5% | 65.9% | 82.5% | 95.3% | 95.3% | 95.3% | |
| Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | (\$20,355,170) | (\$3,763,407) | (\$1,030,497) | \$1,386,505 | \$394,078 | (\$1,794,932) | \$33,666 | \$201,422 | \$3,676,000 | \$6,303,420 | |
| Ending Subfund Balance | 6,619,056 | 2,855,649 | 1,825,152 | 3,211,657 | 3,605,735 | 1,810,803 | 1,844,469 | 2,045,891 | 5,721,891 | 12,025,312 | |
| Operations Sub-Fund Reserve (Target 16%) | 70% | 29% | 17% | 30% | 32% | 16% | 15% | 17% | 45% | 92% | |
| Consolidated Wastewater Fund | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Target 150%) | 145% | 171% | 156% | 159% | 150% | 152% | 150% | 159% | 164% | 203% | |
| Debt Service Coverage Ratio (w/o DIFs - Target 120%) | 133% | 160% | 142% | 137% | 131% | 134% | 134% | 143% | 148% | 183% | |
| Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | (\$910,507) | (\$3,763,407) | (\$1,030,497) | \$1,386,505 | \$394,078 | (\$1,794,932) | \$33,666 | \$201,422 | \$3,676,000 | \$6,303,420 | |
| Beginning Fund Balance | 7,529,562 | 6,619,055 | 2,855,648 | 1,825,151 | 3,211,656 | 3,605,734 | 1,810,802 | 1,844,468 | 2,045,890 | 5,721,890 | |
| Ending Fund Balance | \$6,619,055 | \$2,855,648 | \$1,825,151 | \$3,211,656 | \$3,605,734 | \$1,810,802 | \$1,844,468 | \$2,045,890 | \$5,721,890 | \$12,025,310 | |
| Total Wastewater Fund Reserve (Target 16%) | 70% | 29% | 17% | 30% | 32% | 16% | 15% | 17% | 45% | 92% | |

D - 1 4/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Water Impact Fee and Rate Study Inside City Customer Rates Rate Design Summary - Proposed

| | <u> </u> | | Proposed Water Base Charge (per bill) | | | | | | | |
|----------------|----------|------|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|--|--|--|
| Meter | 6 | | 7/1/2024 | 1/1/2026 | 1/1/2027 | 1/1/2028 | 1 /1 /2020 | | | |
| Size | Curre | ent | 7/1/2024 | 1/1/2026 | 1/1/2027 | 1/1/2028 | 1/1/2029 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5/8" | \$1 | 5.56 | \$17.58 | \$19.52 | \$19.91 | \$20.30 | \$20.71 | | | |
| 3/4" | 1 | 6.41 | 18.54 | 20.58 | 20.99 | 21.41 | 21.84 | | | |
| 1" | 1 | 8.58 | 20.99 | 23.30 | 23.77 | 24.25 | 24.73 | | | |
| 1 1/2" | 2 | 2.84 | 25.81 | 28.65 | 29.22 | 29.80 | 30.40 | | | |
| 2" | 3 | 0.16 | 34.08 | 37.83 | 38.59 | 39.36 | 40.15 | | | |
| 3" | 4 | 4.87 | 50.70 | 56.28 | 57.41 | 58.56 | 59.73 | | | |
| 4" | 6 | 5.87 | 74.43 | 82.62 | 84.28 | 85.96 | 87.68 | | | |
| 6" | 11 | 8.35 | 133.73 | 148.44 | 151.41 | 154.44 | 157.53 | | | |
| 8" | 18 | 1.46 | 205.05 | 227.61 | 232.16 | 236.80 | 241.54 | | | |
| Percent Change | | | 13% | 11% | 2% | 2% | 2% | | | |

| | | | 1 | Proposed Volume Rate (per 1,000 gallons) | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------------|---------|----------|--|----------|----------|----------|---------|--|--|--|
| Customer Class | Tier Width | Current | 7/1/2024 | 1/1/2026 | 1/1/2027 | 1/1/2028 | 1/1/2029 | | | | |
| | Start to E | nd | | | | | | | | | |
| Inside City | Gallons | } | - | | | | | | | | |
| Single Family | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 | 0 | 3,000 | \$4.44 | \$5.10 | \$5.73 | \$5.86 | \$5.99 | \$6.12 | | | |
| Tier 2 | 3,001 | 10,000 | 6.66 | 7.65 | 8.60 | 8.79 | 8.98 | 9.18 | | | |
| Tier 3 | 10,001 | 20,000 | 9.99 | 11.47 | 12.89 | 13.18 | 13.47 | 13.77 | | | |
| Tier 4 | Over | 20,001 | 19.98 | 22.95 | 25.79 | 26.36 | 26.94 | 27.54 | | | |
| Multi-Family | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 | 0 | 1,700 | \$4.18 | \$4.80 | \$5.40 | \$5.52 | \$5.64 | \$5.77 | | | |
| Tier 2 | 1,701 | 5,000 | 6.27 | 7.21 | 8.11 | 8.29 | 8.47 | 8.66 | | | |
| Tier 3 | 5,001 | 10,000 | 9.41 | 10.81 | 12.15 | 12.42 | 12.70 | 12.98 | | | |
| Tier 4 | Over | 10,001 | 18.81 | 21.62 | 24.31 | 24.85 | 25.41 | 25.97 | | | |
| Non-Residential | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 | 0 | 6,000 | \$4.76 | \$5.36 | \$5.93 | \$6.04 | \$6.16 | \$6.28 | | | |
| Tier 2 | 6,001 | 28,000 | 7.14 | 8.04 | 8.89 | 9.06 | 9.24 | 9.42 | | | |
| Tier 3 | 28,001 | 60,000 | 10.71 | 12.05 | 13.34 | 13.60 | 13.86 | 14.13 | | | |
| Tier 4 | Over | 60,001 | 21.42 | 24.11 | 26.67 | 27.19 | 27.72 | 28.26 | | | |
| Construction/Hydrant | All Usag | e | \$5.43 | \$7.00 | \$8.33 | \$8.57 | \$8.81 | \$9.42 | | | |
| Coin Operated Water | All Usag | e | \$14.00 | \$14.69 | \$15.27 | \$15.38 | \$15.49 | \$15.76 | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Multi-Family tier allocations are provided per dwelling unit. Non-residential customer tier allocations increase by meter size. 5/8" x 3/4" (smallest meter size) are summarized in this table.



City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Consolidated Wastewater Fund Cash Flow

| Line | | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | Test Year |
|------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| | Consolidated Wastewater Fund Cash Flo | ow | | | | | | | | | _ | |
| | Revenues | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | System Impact Fees | \$588,900 | \$594,940 | \$1,038,880 | \$2,034,028 | \$2,063,253 | \$2,086,632 | \$2,115,857 | \$2,145,081 | \$2,174,306 | \$2,197,685 | 2,086,632 |
| 2 | Bond & Loan Proceeds | 0 | 12,300,000 | 31,100,000 | 28,100,000 | 21,000,000 | 11,100,000 | 27,100,000 | 11,240,000 | 1,500,000 | 4,500,000 | 11,100,000 |
| 3 | Grant / Loan / Developer Funded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Wastewater Revenue | 15,436,353 | 18,291,672 | 20,039,134 | 22,691,717 | 24,626,308 | 26,220,885 | 28,344,979 | 31,033,339 | 32,395,309 | 32,711,072 | 26,220,885 |
| 5 | Miscellaneous Revenue | 712,000 | 729,800 | 748,045 | 766,746 | 785,915 | 805,563 | 825,704 | 846,347 | 867,507 | 889,195 | 805,563 |
| 6 | Remaining WIFA Loan Balance | 237,410 | 2,016,250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Interest Income | 269,742 | 66,191 | 28,556 | 18,252 | 32,117 | 36,057 | 18,108 | 18,445 | 20,459 | 57,219 | 36,057 |
| 8 | Total Revenues | 17,244,405 | 33,998,854 | 52,954,615 | 53,610,743 | 48,507,592 | 40,249,137 | 58,404,648 | 45,283,213 | 36,957,581 | 40,355,171 | 2,086,632 |
| | Expenditures and Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Wastewater Fund O&M | 9,441,380 | 9,936,252 | 10,474,063 | 10,787,477 | 11,177,761 | 11,574,417 | 11,920,779 | 12,277,510 | 12,644,924 | 13,023,336 | 11,574,417 |
| 10 | System Infrastructure Growth-Related | 330,142 | 9,866,250 | 8,938,875 | 9,737,500 | 7,928,875 | 7,220,150 | 13,102,250 | 2,967,500 | 1,496,000 | 4,539,700 | 7,220,150 |
| 11 | System Infrastructure Non-Growth Rel | 3,153,593 | 11,173,940 | 24,576,875 | 19,990,500 | 16,306,875 | 10,720,950 | 18,045,750 | 15,155,500 | 5,102,000 | 4,850,300 | 10,720,950 |
| 12 | Bond Issuance Costs and Reserve Depo | . 0 | 1,075,500 | 2,719,354 | 2,457,037 | 1,836,219 | 970,573 | 2,369,597 | 982,815 | 131,159 | 393,476 | 970,573 |
| 13 | Debt Service - Existing | 5,229,797 | 5,226,443 | 5,222,990 | 5,219,432 | 5,215,766 | 4,883,202 | 4,879,824 | 4,442,010 | 4,276,194 | 1,394,458 | 4,883,202 |
| 14 | Debt Service - New | 0 | 483,875 | 2,052,956 | 4,032,292 | 5,648,018 | 6,674,778 | 8,052,782 | 9,256,456 | 9,631,304 | 9,850,481 | 6,674,778 |
| 15 | Total Uses of Funds | 18,154,912 | 37,762,260 | 53,985,113 | 52,224,238 | 48,113,514 | 42,044,070 | 58,370,982 | 45,081,791 | 33,281,581 | 34,051,751 | 11,574,417 |
| 16 | Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | (910,507) | (3,763,407) | (1,030,497) | 1,386,505 | 394,078 | (1,794,932) | 33,666 | 201,422 | 3,676,000 | 6,303,420 | (1,794,932) |
| 17 | Wastewater Utility Beginning Balance | 7,529,562 | 6,619,055 | 2,855,648 | 1,825,151 | 3,211,656 | 3,605,734 | 1,810,802 | 1,844,468 | 2,045,890 | 5,721,890 | 3,605,734 |
| 18 | Consolidated Wastewater Fund | \$6,619,055 | \$2,855,648 | \$1,825,151 | \$3,211,656 | \$3,605,734 | \$1,810,802 | \$1,844,468 | \$2,045,890 | \$5,721,890 | \$12,025,310 | 1,810,802 |

D - 2 4/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Debt Service Coverage

| Line | | Budget | | | | | Projected | | | | |
|------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 |
| | Revenues | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | System Impact Fees | \$588,900 | \$594,940 | \$1,038,880 | \$2,034,028 | \$2,063,253 | \$2,086,632 | \$2,115,857 | \$2,145,081 | \$2,174,306 | \$2,197,685 |
| 2 | Wastewater Sales Revenue | 15,436,353 | 18,291,672 | 20,039,134 | 22,691,717 | 24,626,308 | 26,220,885 | 28,344,979 | 31,033,339 | 32,395,309 | 32,711,072 |
| 3 | Miscellaneous Revenue | 712,000 | 729,800 | 748,045 | 766,746 | 785,915 | 805,563 | 825,704 | 846,347 | 867,507 | 889,195 |
| 4 | Interest Income | 269,742 | 66,191 | 28,556 | 18,252 | 32,117 | 36,057 | 18,108 | 18,445 | 20,459 | 57,219 |
| 5 | Subtotal | \$17,006,995 | \$19,682,603 | \$21,854,615 | \$25,510,743 | \$27,507,592 | \$29,149,137 | \$31,304,648 | \$34,043,213 | \$35,457,581 | \$35,855,171 |
| 6 | Operation and Maintenance Expense | \$9,441,380 | \$9,936,252 | \$10,474,063 | \$10,787,477 | \$11,177,761 | \$11,574,417 | \$11,920,779 | \$12,277,510 | \$12,644,924 | \$13,023,336 |
| 7 | Net Revenue Available for Debt Service | \$7,565,615 | \$9,746,351 | \$11,380,552 | \$14,723,266 | \$16,329,831 | \$17,574,720 | \$19,383,869 | \$21,765,703 | \$22,812,657 | \$22,831,835 |
| | Total Debt Service | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Existing Debt Service | \$5,229,797 | \$5,226,443 | \$5,222,990 | \$5,219,432 | \$5,215,766 | \$4,883,202 | \$4,879,824 | \$4,442,010 | \$4,276,194 | \$1,394,458 |
| 9 | Future Debt Service | 0 | 483,875 | 2,052,956 | 4,032,292 | 5,648,018 | 6,674,778 | 8,052,782 | 9,256,456 | 9,631,304 | 9,850,481 |
| 10 | Subtotal | \$5,229,797 | \$5,710,318 | \$7,275,946 | \$9,251,724 | \$10,863,784 | \$11,557,980 | \$12,932,606 | \$13,698,466 | \$13,907,498 | \$11,244,939 |
| 11 | Debt Service Coverage Ratio (Target 150%) | 145% | 171% | 156% | 159% | 150% | 152% | 150% | 159% | 164% | 203% |
| 12 | Debt Service Coverage Ratio (w/o DIFs - Target 125%) | 133% | 160% | 142% | 137% | 131% | 134% | 134% | 143% | 148% | 183% |

D - 3 4/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Wastewater Operations Subfund Cash Flow

| Line | [| Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | Test Year |
|------|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| | Operating Revenues | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Revenue Under Existing Rates | \$15,436,353 | \$15,501,417 | \$15,651,905 | \$15,803,969 | \$15,957,591 | \$16,112,828 | \$16,269,645 | \$16,428,077 | \$16,588,110 | \$16,749,798 | 16,112,828 |
| 2 | Additional Revenue Required | 0 | 2,790,255 | 4,387,229 | 6,887,748 | 8,668,717 | 10,108,057 | 12,075,334 | 14,605,262 | 15,807,199 | 15,961,274 | 10,108,057 |
| 3 | Total Wastewater Revenue | 15,436,353 | 18,291,672 | 20,039,134 | 22,691,717 | 24,626,308 | 26,220,885 | 28,344,979 | 31,033,339 | 32,395,309 | 32,711,072 | 26,220,885 |
| 4 | Interest Income | 269,742 | 66,191 | 28,556 | 18,252 | 32,117 | 36,057 | 18,108 | 18,445 | 20,459 | 57,219 | 36,057 |
| 5 | Miscellaneous Revenue | 712,000 | 729,800 | 748,045 | 766,746 | 785,915 | 805,563 | 825,702 | 846,344 | 867,503 | 889,190 | 805,563 |
| 6 | Total Operating Revenues | 16,418,095 | 19,087,663 | 20,815,735 | 23,476,715 | 25,444,340 | 27,062,505 | 29,188,789 | 31,898,128 | 33,283,271 | 33,657,481 | 27,062,505 |
| 7 | Total Operating Expenditures | 9,441,380 | 9,936,252 | 10,474,063 | 10,787,477 | 11,177,761 | 11,574,417 | 11,920,779 | 12,277,510 | 12,644,924 | 13,023,336 | 11,574,417 |
| 8 | Net Operating Income Available For Del | 6,976,715 | 9,151,411 | 10,341,672 | 12,689,238 | 14,266,579 | 15,488,088 | 17,268,010 | 19,620,618 | 20,638,347 | 20,634,145 | 15,488,088 |
| | Debt Service | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Debt Service - Existing | 2,165,784 | 2,164,305 | 2,162,780 | 2,161,206 | 2,159,582 | 1,829,117 | 1,827,901 | 1,464,050 | 1,313,993 | 737,466 | 1,829,117 |
| 10 | Debt Service - New | 0 | 212,433 | 1,253,242 | 2,612,138 | 3,719,262 | 4,424,000 | 5,181,003 | 5,932,836 | 6,186,856 | 6,186,856 | 4,424,000 |
| 11 | Total Debt Service | 2,165,784 | 2,376,738 | 3,416,022 | 4,773,344 | 5,878,844 | 6,253,117 | 7,008,904 | 7,396,886 | 7,500,849 | 6,924,322 | 6,253,117 |
| 12 | Income Available After Debt Service | 4,810,931 | 6,774,673 | 6,925,651 | 7,915,894 | 8,387,735 | 9,234,971 | 10,259,107 | 12,223,732 | 13,137,498 | 13,709,823 | 9,234,971 |
| | Other Cash Inflows/(Outflows) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Interfund Loan Receivable Payment Capital Subfund Loans | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | System Impact Fee Subfund | (22,154,954) | (5,501,719) | (4,003,151) | (3,330,011) | (5,375,197) | (6,879,392) | (5,046,803) | (5,116,365) | (4,359,502) | (2,556,108) | (6,879,392) |
| 15 | Capital Improvement Subfund | (3,011,147) | (5,036,361) | (3,952,997) | (3,199,378) | (2,618,460) | (4,150,511) | (5,178,638) | (6,905,946) | (5,101,996) | (4,850,295) | (4,150,511) |
| 16 | Other Cash Inflows/(Outflows) | (25,166,101) | (10,538,080) | (7,956,148) | (6,529,389) | (7,993,657) | (11,029,903) | (10,225,440) | (12,022,310) | (9,461,498) | (7,406,403) | (11,029,903) |
| 17 | Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | (20,355,170) | (3,763,407) | (1,030,497) | 1,386,505 | 394,078 | (1,794,932) | 33,666 | 201,422 | 3,676,000 | 6,303,420 | (1,794,932) |
| 18 | Operation Subfund Beginning Balance | 26,974,226 | 6,619,056 | 2,855,649 | 1,825,152 | 3,211,657 | 3,605,735 | 1,810,803 | 1,844,469 | 2,045,891 | 5,721,891 | 3,605,735 |
| 19 | Operation Subfund Ending Balance | \$6,619,056 | \$2,855,649 | \$1,825,152 | \$3,211,657 | \$3,605,735 | \$1,810,803 | \$1,844,469 | \$2,045,891 | \$5,721,891 | \$12,025,312 | \$1,810,803 |
| 20 | Target Reserve (16% of O&M) | 70% | 29% | 17% | 30% | 32% | 16% | 15% | 17% | 45% | 92% | 16% |
| | Revenue Adjustments | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | Annual Increase | 0.0% | 18.0% | 17.0% | 8.0% | 7.0% | 4.0% | 10.0% | 7.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | |
| 22 | Cumulative Increase | 0.0% | 18.0% | 38.1% | 49.1% | 59.5% | 65.9% | 82.5% | 95.3% | 95.3% | 95.3% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

⁽¹⁾ Includes all debt. Pledged revenues include system impact fees, wastewater sales revenue, and misc. revenue.

D - 4 4/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Wastewater Non-Growth Capital Improvement Subfund

| Line | | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | Test Year |
|------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| | Capital Improvement Program Subfund | Cash Flow | | | | | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | Sources of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Bond and Loan Proceeds | \$0 | \$5,400,000 | \$22,600,000 | \$18,400,000 | \$15,000,000 | \$7,200,000 | \$14,100,000 | \$9,040,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$7,200,000 |
| 2 | Grant / Developer / County Funded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Transfer From (To) Operations Subfund | 3,011,147 | 5,036,361 | 3,952,997 | 3,199,378 | 2,618,460 | 4,150,511 | 5,178,638 | 6,905,946 | 5,101,996 | 4,850,295 | 4,150,511 |
| 4 | Authorized WIFA Loans | 142,446 | 1,209,750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Interest Income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Miscellaneous Revenue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| 7 | Total Sources of Funds | 3,153,593 | 11,646,111 | 26,552,997 | 21,599,378 | 17,618,460 | 11,350,511 | 19,278,640 | 15,945,949 | 5,102,000 | 4,850,300 | 11,350,511 |
| | Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | System Infrastructure Non-Growth Rel | 3,153,593 | 11,173,940 | 24,576,875 | 19,990,500 | 16,306,875 | 10,720,950 | 18,045,750 | 15,155,500 | 5,102,000 | 4,850,300 | 0 |
| 9 | Bond Issuance Costs and Reserve Depo | 0 | 472,171 | 1,976,122 | 1,608,878 | 1,311,585 | 629,561 | 1,232,890 | 790,449 | 0 | 0 | 629,561 |
| 10 | Total Uses of Funds | 3,153,593 | 11,646,111 | 26,552,997 | 21,599,378 | 17,618,460 | 11,350,511 | 19,278,640 | 15,945,949 | 5,102,000 | 4,850,300 | 11,350,511 |
| 11 | Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Beginning Subfund Balance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Ending Subfund Balance | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 |

D - 5 4/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Total System Impact Fee Subfund (Service Area A) (1)

| Line | | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | Test Year |
|------|--------------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| 1 | Wastewater System Impact Fee (2) | \$3,020 | \$3,020 | \$3,020 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | |
| 2 | Fee Escalation Factor | | 0.0% | 0.0% | 93.5% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | |
| 3 | Annual Added EDUs | 195 | 197 | 344 | 348 | 353 | 357 | 362 | 367 | 372 | 376 | |
| | Sources of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | System Impact Fees | \$588,900 | \$594,940 | \$1,038,880 | \$2,034,028 | \$2,063,253 | \$2,086,632 | \$2,115,857 | \$2,145,081 | \$2,174,306 | \$2,197,685 | \$2,086,632 |
| 5 | Interest Income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Bond & Loan Proceeds | 0 | 6,900,000 | 8,500,000 | 9,700,000 | 6,000,000 | 3,900,000 | 13,000,000 | 2,200,000 | 1,500,000 | 4,500,000 | 3,900,000 |
| 7 | Grant / Developer / County Funded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 8 | Loans from Operations Subfund | 22,154,954 | 5,501,719 | 4,003,151 | 3,330,011 | 5,375,197 | 6,879,392 | 5,046,803 | 5,116,365 | 4,359,502 | 2,556,108 | 6,879,392 |
| 9 | Authorized WIFA Loan Disbursements | 94,964 | 806,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Total Sources of Funds | 22,838,818 | 13,803,159 | 13,542,031 | 15,064,039 | 13,438,450 | 12,866,024 | 20,162,659 | 9,461,446 | 8,033,808 | 9,253,793 | 12,866,024 |
| | Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | System Infrastructure Growth-Related | 330,142 | 9,866,250 | 8,938,875 | 9,737,500 | 7,928,875 | 7,220,150 | 13,102,250 | 2,967,500 | 1,496,000 | 4,539,700 | 7,220,150 |
| 12 | Bond Issuance Costs and Reserve Depc | 0 | 603,329 | 743,232 | 848,159 | 524,634 | 341,012 | 1,136,707 | 192,366 | 131,159 | 393,476 | 341,012 |
| 13 | Debt Service - Existing | 3,064,013 | 3,062,138 | 3,060,210 | 3,058,226 | 3,056,185 | 3,054,084 | 3,051,923 | 2,977,960 | 2,962,201 | 656,992 | 3,054,084 |
| 14 | Debt Service - New | 0 | 271,442 | 799,714 | 1,420,154 | 1,928,756 | 2,250,778 | 2,871,779 | 3,323,620 | 3,444,448 | 3,663,625 | 2,250,778 |
| 15 | Loan Repayment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Total Uses of Funds | 3,394,155 | 13,803,159 | 13,542,031 | 15,064,039 | 13,438,450 | 12,866,024 | 20,162,659 | 9,461,446 | 8,033,808 | 9,253,793 | 12,866,024 |
| 17 | Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | 19,444,664 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | Beginning Subfund Balance | (19,444,664) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | Ending Subfund Balance | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 |

⁽¹⁾ Summary for total service area impact fee subfund sources and uses in FY 2023-24 through FY 2032-33.

D - 6 4/26/2024

⁽²⁾ Proposed increases to be effective January 1, 2025 and increased revenues anticipated starting July 1, 2026 following up to 24-months for increased fees to be assessed.

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Wastewater Impact Fee Subfund: Service Area A

| Line | | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | Test Year |
|------|---------------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | 2029 |
| 1 | Wastewater Impact Fee (Service Area A | \$3,020 | \$3,020 | \$3,020 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | |
| | Sources of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | System Impact Fees | \$588,900 | \$594,940 | \$1,038,880 | \$2,034,028 | \$2,063,253 | \$2,086,632 | \$2,115,857 | \$2,145,081 | \$2,174,306 | \$2,197,685 | \$2,086,632 |
| 3 | Interest Income | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Bond & Loan Proceeds | 0 | 6,900,000 | 8,500,000 | 9,700,000 | 6,000,000 | 3,900,000 | 13,000,000 | 2,200,000 | 1,500,000 | 4,500,000 | 3,900,000 |
| 5 | Grant Funded | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 6 | Loans from Operations Subfund | 22,154,954 | 5,501,719 | 4,003,151 | 3,330,011 | 5,375,197 | 6,879,392 | 5,046,803 | 5,116,365 | 4,359,502 | 2,556,108 | 6,879,392 |
| 7 | Authorized WIFA Loan | 94,964 | 806,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Total Sources of Funds | 22,838,818 | 13,803,159 | 13,542,031 | 15,064,039 | 13,438,450 | 12,866,024 | 20,162,660 | 9,461,446 | 8,033,808 | 9,253,793 | 12,866,024 |
| | Uses of Funds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | System Infrastructure Growth-Related | 330,142 | 9,866,250 | 8,938,875 | 9,737,500 | 7,928,875 | 7,220,150 | 13,102,250 | 2,967,500 | 1,496,000 | 4,539,700 | 7,220,150 |
| 10 | Bond Issuance Costs and Reserve Depc | 0 | 603,329 | 743,232 | 848,159 | 524,634 | 341,012 | 1,136,707 | 192,366 | 131,159 | 393,476 | 341,012 |
| 11 | Debt Service - Existing | 3,064,013 | 3,062,138 | 3,060,210 | 3,058,226 | 3,056,185 | 3,054,084 | 3,051,923 | 2,977,960 | 2,962,201 | 656,992 | 3,054,084 |
| 12 | Debt Service - New | 0 | 271,442 | 799,714 | 1,420,154 | 1,928,756 | 2,250,778 | 2,871,779 | 3,323,620 | 3,444,448 | 3,663,625 | 2,250,778 |
| 13 | Loan Repayment to Operations Subfun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Total Uses of Funds | 3,394,155 | 13,803,159 | 13,542,031 | 15,064,039 | 13,438,450 | 12,866,024 | 20,162,660 | 9,461,446 | 8,033,808 | 9,253,793 | 12,866,024 |
| 15 | Increase/(Decrease) in Fund Balance | 19,444,663 | (0) | (0) | 0 | 0 | (0) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) |
| 16 | Beginning Subfund Balance | (19,444,664) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Ending Subfund Balance (A) | (\$0) | (\$0) | (\$0) | \$0 | \$0 | (\$0) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (0) |

D - 7 4/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Existing Debt Service

| | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | 0 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| DESCRIPTION (1) | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 |
| Airport WWTP Upgrade 20%/80% | 2,899,144 | 2,897,156 | 2,895,110 | 2,893,004 | 2,890,836 | 2,888,603 | 2,886,305 | 2,883,939 | 2,881,188 | 0 |
| Clean Water Projects | 331,076 | 330,652 | 330,213 | 329,756 | 329,282 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Airport Trunk Main | 995,318 | 994,991 | 994,657 | 994,315 | 993,966 | 993,608 | 993,241 | 992,867 | 992,483 | 992,091 |
| North Main Copperbasin AP 78%/22% | 400,610 | 400,283 | 399,946 | 399,598 | 399,240 | 398,870 | 398,488 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sundog Filter Replace/Denitrif | 96,594 | 96,518 | 96,439 | 96,357 | 96,273 | 96,186 | 96,096 | 96,004 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgina/Penn Wastewater 80%/20% | 103,409 | 103,326 | 103,240 | 103,152 | 103,061 | 102,967 | 102,869 | 66,526 | 0 | 0 |
| Sundog Trunk Main | 403,646 | 403,517 | 403,384 | 403,249 | 403,110 | 402,969 | 402,823 | 402,675 | 402,523 | 402,368 |
| Total Existing Debt Service | \$5,229,797 | \$5,226,443 | \$5,222,990 | \$5,219,432 | \$5,215,766 | \$4,883,202 | \$4,879,824 | \$4,442,010 | \$4,276,194 | \$1,394,458 |

⁽¹⁾ Debt service is allocated between growth and non-growth funds for repayment included within Cashflow analysis results.

D - 8 4/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Operation and Maintenance Expense (1)

| Line | | Budget | | | | | Projected | | | | |
|------|---|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 |
| | Wastewater Treatment Plant | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Personnel Services | \$1,633,264 | \$1,714,927 | \$1,783,524 | \$1,837,030 | \$1,959,627 | \$2,018,415 | \$2,078,968 | \$2,141,337 | \$2,205,577 | \$2,271,74 |
| 2 | Supplies | 557,750 | 585,638 | 609,063 | 627,335 | 646,155 | 665,540 | 685,506 | 706,071 | 727,253 | 749,07 |
| 3 | Internal Charges | 15,000 | 15,750 | 16,380 | 16,871 | 17,378 | 17,899 | 18,436 | 18,989 | 19,559 | 20,14 |
| 4 | Other Services | 1,336,662 | 1,403,495 | 1,459,635 | 1,503,424 | 1,548,527 | 1,594,982 | 1,642,832 | 1,692,117 | 1,742,880 | 1,795,16 |
| 5 | Total Wastewater Treatment Plant | 3,542,676 | 3,719,810 | 3,868,602 | 3,984,660 | 4,171,687 | 4,296,836 | 4,425,742 | 4,558,514 | 4,695,269 | 4,836,127 |
| | Wastewater Collection | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Personnel Services | 1,396,409 | 1,466,229 | 1,667,603 | 1,717,631 | 1,769,160 | 1,884,408 | 1,940,940 | 1,999,168 | 2,059,143 | 2,120,91 |
| 7 | Supplies | 234,350 | 246,068 | 255,910 | 263,588 | 271,495 | 279,640 | 288,029 | 296,670 | 305,570 | 314,73 |
| 8 | Internal Charges | 120,000 | 126,000 | 131,040 | 134,971 | 139,020 | 143,191 | 147,487 | 151,911 | 156,469 | 161,16 |
| 9 | Other Services & Consultants | 1,433,850 | 1,505,543 | 1,565,764 | 1,612,737 | 1,661,119 | 1,710,953 | 1,762,281 | 1,815,150 | 1,869,604 | 1,925,69 |
| 10 | Total Wastewater Collection | 3,184,609 | 3,343,840 | 3,620,317 | 3,728,927 | 3,840,794 | 4,018,192 | 4,138,737 | 4,262,899 | 4,390,786 | 4,522,510 |
| | Public Works Projects | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Personnel Services | 178,223 | 187,134 | 194,620 | 200,458 | 206,472 | 212,666 | 219,046 | 225,617 | 232,386 | 239,35 |
| 12 | Internal Charges | 25,000 | 26,250 | 27,300 | 28,119 | 28,963 | 29,831 | 30,726 | 31,648 | 32,598 | 33,57 |
| 13 | All Other Services | 25,000 | 26,250 | 27,300 | 28,119 | 28,963 | 29,831 | 30,726 | 31,648 | 32,598 | 33,576 |
| 14 | Total Public Works Projects | 228,223 | 239,634 | 249,220 | 256,696 | 264,398 | 272,328 | 280,498 | 288,913 | 297,582 | 306,509 |
| | Wastewater Administration | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Personal Services | 592,513 | 648,784 | 674,736 | 694,978 | 715,827 | 737,302 | 759,421 | 782,203 | 805,669 | 829,840 |
| 16 | Supplies | 6,675 | 7,009 | 7,289 | 7,508 | 7,733 | 7,965 | 8,204 | 8,450 | 8,704 | 8,96 |
| 17 | Internal Charges | 1,732,968 | 1,819,616 | 1,892,401 | 1,949,173 | 2,007,648 | 2,067,878 | 2,129,914 | 2,193,811 | 2,259,626 | 2,327,41 |
| 18 | All Other Services | 153,716 | 157,559 | 161,498 | 165,535 | 169,674 | 173,916 | 178,263 | 182,720 | 187,288 | 191,97 |
| 19 | Total Wastewater Administration | 2,485,872 | 2,632,968 | 2,735,924 | 2,817,194 | 2,900,882 | 2,987,061 | 3,075,802 | 3,167,184 | 3,261,287 | 3,358,19 |
| 20 | Total Operation and Maintenance Expense | \$9,441,380 | \$9,936,252 | \$10,474,063 | \$10,787,477 | \$11,177,761 | \$11,574,417 | \$11,920,779 | \$12,277,510 | \$12,644,924 | \$13,023,336 |

⁽¹⁾ O&M adjusted for inflation applied to FY 2023-24 budget assuming annual increases of 5% in FY 2024-25, 4% in FY 2025-26, and 3% per year starting in FY 2026-27.

D-9 4/26/2024 4/26/2024

⁽²⁾ Provided by City Staff

City of Prescott, Arizona Development Impact Fee Study Total Wastewater CIP by Fund Requirements

| | Current Year | | | | | | | Projected | | | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--|--|
| Total CIP with Inflation | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total DIF Growth-Related Projects (% Paid by Fees) | \$330,142 | \$9,866,250 | \$8,938,875 | \$9,737,500 | \$7,928,875 | \$7,220,150 | \$13,102,250 | \$2,967,500 | \$1,496,000 | \$4,539,700 | | |
| Renewal and Replacement | 3,153,593 | 11,173,940 | 24,576,875 | 19,990,500 | 16,306,875 | 10,720,950 | 18,045,750 | 15,155,500 | 5,102,000 | 4,850,300 | | |
| Authorized WIFA Loans | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Debt Financed | 212,951 | 12,255,000 | 31,105,000 | 28,120,000 | 21,035,000 | 11,165,600 | 27,150,000 | 13,530,000 | 6,400,000 | 9,192,000 | | |
| Cash Financed (1) | 3,270,784 | 8,785,190 | 2,410,750 | 1,608,000 | 3,200,750 | 6,775,500 | 3,998,000 | 4,593,000 | 198,000 | 198,000 | | |
| Total CIP with Inflation | \$3,483,735 | \$21,040,190 | \$33,515,750 | \$29,728,000 | \$24,235,750 | \$17,941,100 | \$31,148,000 | \$18,123,000 | \$6,598,000 | \$9,390,000 | | |

⁽¹⁾ Cash financed projects include on-going WIFA loans in progress.

D - 10 4/26/2024

| Line | Line Cap/OP Percent Percent Functional Service DIF Non-DIF Project No Cost Growth Non-growth Designation Area Funding Funding Number Project Name | | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | | 10-Year | | | | | | | |
|------|--|--------|--------------|-------------|------|---------|---------|-----------|--|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| No | Cost | Growth | Non-growth | Designation | Area | Funding | Funding | Number | Project Name | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | Total |
| | ı | | | | | | | r | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | | 0% | 100% | 6 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Misc. Wastewater Projects | \$26,343 | \$198,000 | \$198,000 | \$198,000 | \$198,000 | \$198,000 | \$198,000 | \$198,000 | \$198,000 | \$198,000 | \$1,808,343 |
| 2 | | 0% | 100% | 4 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Vehicle Replacements - Wastewater | 185,288 | 589,190 | 1,080,000 | 810,000 | 265,000 | 650,000 | 750,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,329,478 |
| 3 | | 60% | 40% | 2 | Α | Cash | Cash | 87WW | Yavapai Hills #1 Lift Station Rehab | 52,294 | 3,000,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,052,294 |
| 4 | | 100% | 0% | 1 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 88WW | Section 32 and 33 Wastewater | 50,000 | 5,230,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,280,000 |
| 5 | | 0% | 100% | 2 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Wildwood Lift Station Abandonment | 0 | 820,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 820,000 |
| 6 | CIP | 35% | 65% | 5 | Α | Cash | Cash | 26WW | Sundog Trunk Main Phase C (WIFA) - Current Loan | 465,458 | 2,700,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,165,458 |
| 7 | CIP | 35% | 65% | 5 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 26WW | Sundog Trunk Main Phase C (WIFA) - Future Loan | 0 | 0 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 4,250,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34,250,000 |
| 8 | CIP | 20% | 80% | 1 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 55WW | Centralization - Effluent Tank, Pipeline (WIFA) | 45,773 | 1,500,000 | 4,350,000 | 7,125,000 | 5,425,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,445,773 |
| 9 | CIP | 25% | 75% | 1 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 62WW | Willow Creek Gravity Sewer | 117,178 | 5,025,000 | 10,250,000 | 400,000 | 2,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,292,178 |
| 10 | | 20% | 80% | 5 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 84WW.1 | Centralization - Airport WRF Solids Handling Facility (WIFA) | 0 | 500,000 | 6,150,000 | 6,650,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,300,000 |
| 11 | | 0% | 100% | 5 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Airport WRF Reclaimed Water Tank Maintenance | 0 | 550,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 550,000 |
| 12 | | 100% | 0% | 1 | Α | Cash | Cash | 103WW | Storm Ranch Wastewater | 0 | 0 | 420,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 420,000 |
| 13 | | 100% | 0% | 1 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 32WW | Granite Dells Ranch DA | 0 | 0 | 355,000 | 3,195,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,550,000 |
| 14 | | 0% | 100% | 2 | Α | Cash | Cash | 98&99WW | Loma Rica and Skyline Lift Station Abandonments | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,900,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,900,000 |
| 15 | | 25% | 75% | 1 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 56WW | Willow Creek Trunk Main Upsize | 0 | 0 | 0 | 750,000 | 3,110,000 | 4,135,600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,995,600 |
| 16 | | 90% | 10% | 1 | Α | Cash | Cash | 86WW.1 | Ruger Airport Trunk Main Phase 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 350,000 | 3,575,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,925,000 |
| 17 | | 100% | 0% | 2 | Α | Cash | Cash | 100WW | Prescott Lakes Parkway Lift Station | 12,743 | 210,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,465,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,687,743 |
| 18 | | 20% | 80% | 5 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 54WW | Centralization - Sundog Equalization Basin and Plant Decommissioning | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 800,000 | 1,500,000 | 0 | 2,300,000 |
| 19 | | 25% | 75% | 1 | Α | Cash | Cash | 36WW | Montezuma Trunk Main Upsizing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250,000 | 2,265,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,515,000 |
| 20 | CIP | 60% | 40% | 2 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 87WW | Yavapai Hills Lift Station Force Main | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100,000 | 660,000 | 5,862,000 | 6,622,000 |
| 21 | | 100% | 0% | 1 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 97WW | Deep Well Ranch Wastewater DA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 830,000 | 7,475,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,305,000 |
| 22 | | 0% | 100% | 6 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Willow Lake Dam Repair: Discharge Valve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,500,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,500,000 |
| 23 | | 0% | 100% | 1 | Α | Cash | Cash | 70WW | Peace Lane and Gail Gardner | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90,000 |
| 24 | | 25% | 75% | 1 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 38WW | Hassayampa Sewer Trunk Main Upsizing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 400,000 | 4,325,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,725,000 |
| 25 | CIP | 90% | 10% | 1 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 86WW.2 | Ruger Airport Trunk Main Phase 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300,000 | 2,740,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,040,000 |
| 26 | CIP | 0% | 100% | 1 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 46WW | Sun Street Sewer Main Upgrade | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250,000 | 2,320,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,570,000 |
| 27 | CIP | 20% | 80% | 5 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 84WW.2 | Centralization - WRF Expansion Phase 2 (WIFA) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 4,000,000 | 0 | 25,000,000 |
| 28 | CIP | 0% | 100% | 1 | Α | Cash | Cash | 78WW | Shadow Valley Drive and Archers Path | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90,000 |
| 29 | CIP | 0% | 100% | 1 | Α | Cash | Cash | 66WW | Gurley, Sheldon, EZ Street and Roughrider Improvements | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80,000 | 805,000 | 0 | 0 | 885,000 |
| 30 | CIP | 0% | 100% | 2 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 101WW | Timber Ridge #1 Regional Lift Station Rehab | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 290,000 | 2,630,000 | 0 | 0 | 2,920,000 |
| 31 | CIP | 25% | 75% | 1 | Α | Cash | Cash | 72WW | Prescott Lakes Parkway Sewer Upsizing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70,000 | 725,000 | 0 | 0 | 795,000 |
| 32 | CIP | 0% | 100% | 1 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 52WW | Thumb Butte Road Upsizing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240,000 | 2,105,000 | 2,345,000 |
| 33 | | 10% | 90% | 1 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 44WW | 5th Street, 6th Street, and Hillside Sewer Upsize | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225,000 | 225,000 |
| 34 | CIP | 100% | 0% | 1 | Α | WIFA | WIFA | 102WW | Deep Well Ranch Trunkline and Lift Station | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,000,000 | 1,000,000 |
| 35 | CIP | 0% | 100% | 1 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Slaughterhouse Gulch Sewer Improvement | 986,179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 986,179 |
| 36 | CIP | 0% | 100% | 1 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Garden/Western Sewer and Pavement Improvs. | 209,636 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 209,636 |
| 37 | CIP | 0% | 100% | 5 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Sundog WWTP Sand Filters | 713,517 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 713,517 |
| 38 | | 0% | 100% | 5 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Card Key Lock System AWRF | 150,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150,000 |
| 39 | CIP | 0% | 100% | 4 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Additional FTE Vehicles | 0 | 0 | 110,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110,000 |
| 40 | CIP | 0% | 100% | 1 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Manhole Repair and Replacement Program | 0 | 250,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 500,000 | 0 | 0 | 3,250,000 |
| 41 | CIP | 0% | 100% | 6 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Generators for Wastewater | 0 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 700,000 |
| 42 | CIP | 0% | 100% | 6 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Utility Cart Purchase | 0 | 18,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18,000 |
| 43 | CIP | 50% | 50% | 6 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Impact Fee Ordinance | 29,646 | 50,000 | 2,750 | 0 | 2,750 | 162,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 247,646 |
| 44 | CIP | 50% | 50% | 6 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Water and Wastewater Model | 39,680 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 359,680 |
| 45 | CIP | 0% | 100% | 1 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Zone 24/27 Project, wastewater portion | 400,000 | 300,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 700,000 |
| 46 | CIP | 0% | 100% | 1 | Α | Cash | Cash | | Zone 42 Project, wastewater portion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60,000 |
| 47 | CIP TOTA | L | | | | | | | • | \$3,483,735 | \$21,040,190 | \$33,515,750 | \$29,728,000 | \$24,235,750 | \$17,941,100 | \$31,148,000 | \$18,123,000 | \$6,598,000 | \$9,390,000 | \$195,203,525 |
| | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

D - 11 4/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Estimated Fund Balances

| Description | 6/30/2023 |
|--|----------------|
| Wastewater System Impact Fee Subfund (1) | (\$19,444,664) |
| Capital Improvement Subfund | 0 |
| WIFA Debt Service Reserve | (4,539,471) |
| Operating Fund (1) | 31,513,697 |
| Total | \$7,529,562 |

⁽¹⁾ Cash and invested balances less current liabilities as of 6/30/23.

D - 12 4/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Wastewater Cash Flow Assumptions

| | Current Year | | | | | Projected | | | | |
|--|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Non-Growth Capital Improvement Subfund | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 |
| | 40 | 4= 400 000 | 400 000 000 | 440 400 000 | 445 000 000 | 47.000.000 | 444400000 | 40.040.000 | 40 | 40 |
| Bond & Loan Proceeds | \$0 | \$5,400,000 | \$22,600,000 | \$18,400,000 | \$15,000,000 | \$7,200,000 | \$14,100,000 | \$9,040,000 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Max Allowable Debt Financed Projects | 124,502 | 5,368,750 | 22,587,500 | 18,382,500 | 15,047,500 | 7,244,200 | 14,127,750 | 11,310,000 | 4,904,000 | 4,652,300 |
| Transfer from Operations Subfund | 3,011,147 | 5,036,361 | 3,952,997 | 3,199,378 | 2,618,460 | 4,150,511 | 5,178,638 | 6,905,946 | 5,101,996 | 4,850,295 |
| Ending Subfund Balance | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wastewater System Impact Fee Subfund | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 |
| Bond and Loan Proceeds | \$0 | \$6,900,000 | \$8,500,000 | \$9,700,000 | \$6,000,000 | \$3,900,000 | \$13,000,000 | \$2,200,000 | \$1,500,000 | \$4,500,000 |
| Loan from Operations Subfund | 22,154,954 | 5,501,719 | 4,003,151 | 3,330,011 | 5,375,197 | 6,879,392 | 5,046,803 | 5,116,365 | 4,359,502 | 2,556,108 |
| Authorized Remaining WIFA Loan Outstanding | 94,964 | 806,500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ending Subfund Balance | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Additional Cash Flow Assumptions | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 |
| Debt Assumptions | | | | | | | | | | |
| Payment Period, years | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |
| Interest Rate, % | 4.5% | 4.5% | 4.5% | 4.5% | 4.5% | 4.5% | 4.5% | 4.5% | 4.5% | 4.5% |
| Month of Issue (1-12) | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Repayment Delay, years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Debt Service Reserve, % | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Debt Issuance Expense | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% | 2.0% |
| Cashflow Assumptions | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest on Fund Balances | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% | 1.0% |

D - 13 4/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Wastewater Development Impact Fee by Service Area

| Line | | Current Year | | | Projected | | | | Proje | cted | |
|------|--------------------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| No. | DESCRIPTION | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Wastewater System DIF | \$3,020 | \$3,020 | \$3,020 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 | \$5,845 |
| 2 | Wastewater System EDUs | 195 | 197 | 344 | 348 | 353 | 357 | 362 | 367 | 372 | 376 |
| 3 | Wastewater System DIF Revenues | \$588,900 | \$594,940 | \$1,038,880 | \$2,034,028 | \$2,063,253 | \$2,086,632 | \$2,115,857 | \$2,145,081 | \$2,174,306 | \$2,197,685 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Total DIF Revenue | \$588,900 | \$594,940 | \$1,038,880 | \$2,034,028 | \$2,063,253 | \$2,086,632 | \$2,115,857 | \$2,145,081 | \$2,174,306 | \$2,197,685 |

D - 14 4/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona
Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study
Revenue Under Existing & Proposed Rates
Historical Number of Bills

| <u>Customer Class</u> | FY 2018-19 | FY 2019-20 | FY 2020-21 | FY 2021-22 | FY 2022-23 |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Residential | | | | | |
| Residential | 213,821 | 218,268 | 223,772 | 228,185 | 231,651 |
| Total Inside City | 213,821 | 218,268 | 223,772 | 228,185 | 231,651 |
| Nonresidential | | | | | |
| All Non- Residential | 16,404 | 16,348 | 16,422 | 16,592 | 16,806 |
| Septage Hauler | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Total Nonresidential | 16,416 | 16,360 | 16,434 | 16,604 | 16,818 |
| Total Bills | 230,237 | 234,628 | 240,206 | 244,789 | 248,469 |

D - 15 4/26/2024/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona
Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study
Revenue Under Existing & Proposed Rates
Historical Usage (1,000 gallons)

| <u>Customer Class</u> | FY 2018-19 | FY 2019-20 | FY 2020-21 | FY 2021-22 | FY 2022-23 |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Residential | | | | | |
| Residential | 924,762 | 890,177 | 911,020 | 978,578 | 960,168 |
| Total Inside City | 924,762 | 890,177 | 911,020 | 978,578 | 960,168 |
| Nonresidential | | | | | |
| All Non- Residential | 339,155 | 335,524 | 322,525 | 322,871 | 349,341 |
| Septage Hauler | | | | 2,358 | 2,583 |
| Total Nonresidential | 339,155 | 335,524 | 322,525 | 325,229 | 351,924 |
| Total Billable Volume (1,000 gallons) | 1,263,917 | 1,225,702 | 1,233,545 | 1,303,807 | 1,312,092 |

City of Prescott, Arizona
Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study
Revenue Under Existing & Proposed Rates
Historical Use Per Bill (gallons)

Customer Class

| | FY 2018-19 | FY 2019-20 | FY 2020-21 | FY 2021-22 | FY 2022-23 |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Residential Residential | 4,325 | 4,078 | 4,071 | 4,289 | 4,145 |
| Nonresidential | | | | | |
| All Non- Residential | 20,675 | 20,524 | 19,640 | 19,459 | 20,787 |
| Septage Hauler | | | | 196,500 | 215,250 |

D - 17 4/26/2024/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Revenue Under Existing & Proposed Rates Projected Number of Bills

| <u>Customer Class</u> | | FY 2022-23 | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | Test Year 2029 |
|------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| Bills Added Per Year | | | 1,864 | 1,877 | 3,279 | 3,321 | 3,365 | 3,408 | 3,453 | 3,497 | 3,543 | 3,589 | 3,408 |
| Residential | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Residential | | 231,651 | 233,388 | 235,138 | 238,195 | 241,292 | 244,429 | 247,607 | 250,826 | 254,087 | 257,390 | 260,736 | 247,607 |
| Total Residential | | 231,651 | 233,388 | 235,138 | 238,195 | 241,292 | 244,429 | 247,607 | 250,826 | 254,087 | 257,390 | 260,736 | \$247,607 |
| Nonresidential | <u>Toggle</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All Non- Residential | 1 | 16,806 | 16,932 | 17,059 | 17,281 | 17,506 | 17,734 | 17,965 | 18,199 | 18,436 | 18,676 | 18,919 | 17965 |
| Septage Hauler | 0 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Total Nonresidential | | 16,818 | 16,944 | 17,071 | 17,293 | 17,518 | 17,746 | 17,977 | 18,211 | 18,448 | 18,688 | 18,931 | 17977 |
| Total Projected Bills | : | 248,469 | 250,332 | 252,209 | 255,488 | 258,810 | 262,175 | 265,584 | 269,037 | 272,535 | 276,078 | 279,667 | 265584 |
| Residential Growth | | 1.52% | 0.75% | 0.75% | 1.30% | 1.30% | 1.30% | 1.30% | 1.30% | 1.30% | 1.30% | 1.30% | 1% |
| Non-Residential Growth | | 1.29% | 0.75% | 0.75% | 1.30% | 1.30% | 1.30% | 1.30% | 1.30% | 1.30% | 1.30% | 1.30% | |

4/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Revenue Under Existing & Proposed Rates Projected Volume per Bill (gallons)

| Percent Reduction for Conservation | FY 2022-23 | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | Test Year 2029 | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| All Customer Classes | 0.00% | 0.50% | 0.50% | 0.50% | 0.50% | 0.50% | 0.50% | 0.50% | 0.50% | 0.50% | 0.50% | 0.5% | |
| Residential Residential | 4,217 | 4,196 | 4,175 | 4,154 | 4,133 | 4,113 | 4,092 | 4,072 | 4,051 | 4,031 | 4,011 | 4,092 | |
| Nonresidential All Non- Residential Septage Hauler | 20,123 205,875 | 20,022 204,846 | 19,922 203,821 | 19,823 202,802 | 19,724 201,788 | 19,625 200,779 | 19,527 199,775 | 19,429 198,777 | 19,332 197,783 | 19,235 196,794 | 19,139 195,810 | 19,527 199,775 | |

4/26/2024 D - 19 4/26/2024 City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Revenue Under Existing & Proposed Rates Projected Volume (000's) Gallons

| <u>Customer Class</u> Residential | FY 2022-23 | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | Test Year 2029 |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| Residential | 976,872 | 979,277 | 981,687 | 989,479 | 997,332 | 1,005,246 | 1,013,225 | 1,021,266 | 1,029,370 | 1,037,537 | 1,045,770 | 1,013,225 |
| Total Residential | 976,872 | 979,277 | 981,687 | 989,479 | 997,332 | 1,005,246 | 1,013,225 | 1,021,266 | 1,029,370 | 1,037,537 | 1,045,770 | 1,013,225 |
| Nonresidential | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All Non- Residential | 338,187 | 339,019 | 339,854 | 342,556 | 345,281 | 348,029 | 350,799 | 353,592 | 356,405 | 359,240 | 362,095 | 350,799 |
| Septage Hauler | 2,471 | 2,458 | 2,446 | 2,434 | 2,421 | 2,409 | 2,397 | 2,385 | 2,373 | 2,362 | 2,350 | 2,397 |
| Total Nonresidential | 338,187 | 339,019 | 339,854 | 342,556 | 345,281 | 348,029 | 350,799 | 353,592 | 356,405 | 359,240 | 362,095 | 350,799 |
| Total Projected Volume (000's) | 1,315,059 | 1,318,296 | 1,321,541 | 1,332,034 | 1,342,613 | 1,353,275 | 1,364,024 | 1,374,857 | 1,385,775 | 1,396,776 | 1,407,865 | 1,364,024 |

City of Prescott, Arizona
Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study
Revenue Under Existing & Proposed Rates
Monthly Volume Charge

Customer Class

| | 2022 | 2023 |
|----------------------|--------|--------|
| Residential | | |
| Residential | 7.20 | 7.72 |
| | | |
| Nonresidential | | |
| All Non- Residential | 7.09 | 7.38 |
| Septage Hauler | 100.95 | 101.25 |

D - 21 4/26/2024/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona
Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study
Revenue Under Existing & Proposed Rates
Monthly Service Charge

Customer Class

| | 2022 | 2023 |
|----------------------|-------|-------|
| Residential | | |
| Residential | 21.47 | 21.47 |
| | | |
| Nonresidential | | |
| All Non- Residential | 21.47 | 21.47 |
| Septage Hauler | 0.00 | 0.00 |

D - 22 4/26/2024/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Revenue Under Existing & Proposed Rates Projected Service Charge Revenue

| Customer Class | FY 2022-23 | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | Test Year 2029 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Residential Residential | \$4,973,547 | \$5,010,840 | \$5,048,413 | \$5.114.047 | \$5,180,539 | \$5,247,891 | \$5,316,122 | \$5,385,234 | \$5,455,248 | \$5,526,163 | \$5,598,002 | \$5,316,122 |
| Total Residential | 4,973,547 | 5,010,840 | 5,048,413 | 5,114,047 | 5,180,539 | 5,247,891 | 5,316,122 | 5,385,234 | 5,455,248 | 5,526,163 | 5,598,002 | |
| Nonresidential All Non- Residential | 360,825 | 363,530 | 366,257 | 371,023 | 375,854 | 380.749 | 385,709 | 390,733 | 395,821 | 400,974 | 406,191 | 385,709 |
| Septage Hauler | 360,825 | 303,530 | 300,237 | 371,023 | 373,854 0 | 380,749 | 385,709 | 390,733 | 393,821 | 400,974 | 406,191 | 383,709 |
| Total Nonresidential | 360,825 | 363,530 | 366,257 | 371,023 | 375,854 | 380,749 | 385,709 | 390,733 | 395,821 | 400,974 | 406,191 | 385,709 |
| Total Projected Service Charge Revenue | \$5,334,372 | \$5,374,370 | \$5,414,670 | \$5,485,070 | \$5,556,393 | \$5,628,640 | \$5,701,831 | \$5,775,967 | \$5,851,069 | \$5,927,137 | \$6,004,193 | 5,701,831 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Revenue Under Existing & Proposed Rates Projected Volume Charge Revenue

| Total Projected Volume Charge Revenue | \$9,734,251 | \$10,061,982 | \$10,086,748 | \$10,166,836 | \$10,247,576 | \$10,328,951 | \$10,410,997 | \$10,493,679 | \$10,577,009 | \$10,660,973 | \$10,745,605 | 10,410,997 |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Total Nonresidential | 2,446,784 | 2,501,961 | 2,508,124 | 2,528,060 | 2,548,171 | 2,568,452 | 2,588,899 | 2,609,508 | 2,630,273 | 2,651,191 | 2,672,258 | 2,588,899 |
| All Non- Residential Septage Hauler | 2,446,784 249,768 | 2,501,961 248,887 | 2,508,124 247,643 | 2,528,060 246,405 | 2,548,171 245,173 | 2,568,452 243,947 | 2,588,899 242,727 | 2,609,508 241,514 | 2,630,273 240,306 | 2,651,191 239,104 | 2,672,258 237,909 | 2,588,899 242,727 |
| Nonresidential | 2 446 784 | 2 501 061 | 2 500 124 | 2 528 060 | 2 540 171 | 2 569 452 | 2 500 000 | 3 600 509 | 2 620 272 | 2 651 101 | 2 672 250 | 2 500 000 |
| Total Residential | 7,287,467 | 7,560,021 | 7,578,624 | 7,638,776 | 7,699,405 | 7,760,499 | 7,822,098 | 7,884,171 | 7,946,736 | 8,009,782 | 8,073,347 | 7,822,098 |
| Residential Residential | \$7,287,467 | \$7,560,021 | \$7,578,624 | \$7,638,776 | \$7,699,405 | \$7,760,499 | \$7,822,098 | \$7,884,171 | \$7,946,736 | \$8,009,782 | \$8,073,347 | 7,822,098 |
| <u>Customer Class</u> | FY 2022-23 | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | Test Year 2029 |

D - 24 4/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Revenue Under Existing & Proposed Rates Projected Total Revenue for Study Period

| Customer Class | FY 2022-23 | FY 2023-24 | FY 2024-25 | FY 2025-26 | FY 2026-27 | FY 2027-28 | FY 2028-29 | FY 2029-30 | FY 2030-31 | FY 2031-32 | FY 2032-33 | Test Year 2029 |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Residential Residential Total Residential | \$12,261,014 | \$12,570,862 | \$12,627,037 | \$12,752,822 | \$12,879,944 | \$13,008,390 | \$13,138,221 ——————————————————————————————————— | \$13,269,405 | \$13,401,984 | \$13,535,945 ———————————————————————————————————— | \$13,671,349 | 13,138,221 |
| Nonresidential All Non- Residential | 2,807,609 | 2,865,491 | 2,874,381 | 2,899,083 | 2,924,025 | 2,949,201 | 2,974,608 | 3,000,240 | 3,026,093 | 3,052,165 | 3,078,449 | 2,974,608 |
| Septage Hauler Total Nonresidential | 249,768 | 248,887 | 247,643 | 246,405 | 245,173 | 243,947 | 242,727 | 241,514 3,000,240 | 240,306 3,026,093 | 239,104 3,052,165 | 237,909 3,078,449 | 242,727 —————— 2,974,608 |
| Total Projected User Charge Revenue | \$15,068,623 | \$15,436,353 | \$15,501,417 | \$15,651,905 | \$15,803,969 | \$15,957,591 | \$16,112,828 | \$16,269,645 | \$16,428,077 | \$16,588,110 | \$16,749,798 | 16,112,828 |

D - 25 4/26/2024 _{4/26/2024}



City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Total Cost of Service Test Year FY 2028-29

| Line | | O&M | Capital | |
|------|--|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| No. | Description | Expense | Costs | Total |
| | | | | |
| | Revenue Requirements | | | |
| 1 | Operation and Maintenance Expense | \$11,574,417 | | \$11,574,417 |
| 2 | Operating Debt Service | | 6,253,117 | 6,253,117 |
| | Transfers/Loans | | | |
| 3 | WIFA Authorized Remaining | | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | System Impact Fee Subfund | | 6,879,392 | 6,879,392 |
| 5 | Capital Improvement Subfund | | 4,150,511 | 4,150,511 |
| 6 | Total Revenue Requirements | \$11,574,417 | \$17,283,020 | \$28,857,437 |
| | Revenue Requirement Adjustments | | | |
| 7 | Miscellaneous Revenue (1) | (\$805,563) | | (\$805,563) |
| 8 | Interfund Loan Receivable | | 0 | \$0 |
| 9 | Interest Income | (36,057) | | (36,057) |
| 10 | Operating Fund Reserve Increase (Decrease) | | (1,794,932) | (1,794,932) |
| 11 | Revenue Adjustment for full 12 Months | | 514,135 | 514,135 |
| 12 | Total Revenue Requirement Adjustments | (\$841,620) | (\$1,280,797) | (\$2,122,417) |
| 14 | Total Cost of Service | \$10,732,797 | \$16,002,223 | \$26,735,020 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes Effluent water fund (\$200,000) payment.

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Allocation of Wastewater System Assets Test Year FY 2028-29

| | | | | | | | | | | | Customer | | |
|-----|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------|-------|----------|
| | | | | | | | Stre | - | System Sewer | Local Collector | | _ | |
| No. | | Description | | | Total | Volume | BOD | TSS | Lines | Sewers | Billing | Other | Effluent |
| | Percent by Service Category | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Sewer Lines | | | | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 20% | 80% | 0% | | |
| 2 | Lift Stations | | | | 100% | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| 3 | Fleet | | | | 100% | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| 4 | Treatment | | | | 100% | 34% | 33% | 33% | 0% | 0% | 0% | | |
| 5 | Admin / Misc | | | | 100% | 21% | 15% | 15% | 10% | 39% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | Wastewater Assets | | Assets | Cum. CIP | Total | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Sewer Lines | | \$82,802,786 | 73,399,950 | \$156,202,736 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30,906,388 | \$125,296,348 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 7 | Lift Stations | | 4,208,157 | 6,077,254 | 10,285,410 | 10,285,410 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Fleet | | 1,801,920 | 4,205,281 | 6,007,201 | 6,007,201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Treatment | | 85,301,420 | 61,201,847 | 146,503,267 | 49,811,111 | 48,346,078 | 48,346,078 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Admin / Misc | | 1,801,920 | 4,413,194 | 6,215,114 | 1,287,912 | 941,936 | 941,936 | 602,155 | 2,441,174 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Total Plant | | \$175,916,202 | \$149,297,526 | \$325,213,728 | \$67,391,634 | \$49,288,014 | \$49,288,014 | \$31,508,543 | \$127,737,522 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 12 | Percent of Total | | | | 100.0% | 20.7% | 15.2% | 15.2% | 9.7% | 39.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 13 | Annual Capital Expenditures | | | | \$16,002,223 | \$3,316,022 | \$2,425,229 | \$2,425,229 | \$1,550,386 | \$6,285,357 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Allocation of Operation and Maintenance Expense Test Year FY 2028-29

| Line | | | | Streng | gth | System Sewer | Local Collector | | | |
|------|---|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------|-------|----------|
| No. | Description | Total Volume | Volume | BOD | TSS | Lines | Sewers | Billing | Other | Effluent |
| | Percent by Service Category | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Engineering | 100% | 21% | 15% | 15% | 10% | 39% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 2 | Wastewater Collection | 100% | 0% | | | 20% | 80% | | | |
| 3 | Wastewater Administration | 100% | 27% | 24% | 24% | 5% | 21% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 4 | Wastewater Treatment Plant | 100% | 34% | 33% | 33% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | Wastewater O&M | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Engineering | \$4,138,737 | \$857,640 | \$627,249 | \$627,249 | \$400,984 | \$1,625,614 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 6 | Wastewater Collection | 280,498 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55,500 | 224,998 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Wastewater Administration | 3,075,802 | 821,512 | 726,004 | 726,004 | 158,740 | 643,542 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Wastewater Treatment Plant | 4,425,742 | 1,504,752 | 1,460,495 | 1,460,495 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Total Operation and Maintenance Expense | \$11,920,779 | \$3,183,904 | \$2,813,748 | \$2,813,748 | \$615,224 | \$2,494,154 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 10 | Percent of Total | 100.0% | 26.7% | 23.6% | 23.6% | 5.2% | 20.9% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |

Customer

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Development of Infiltration/Inflow Allocation Test Year FY 2028-29

| | | Average | Billed | | I/I Volume | | Total | |
|------|----------------------|---------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Line | | Active | Wastewater | Customer | Volume | _ | Treated | Percent |
| No. | Customer Class | Taps | Volume | Related (a) | Related (b) | Total | Volume | 1/1 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Residential | 20,634 | 1,013,225 | 217,454 | 85,331 | 302,785 | 1,316,010 | 23.0% |
| 2 | All Non- Residential | 1,497 | 350,799 | 15,777 | 29,543 | 45,320 | 396,119 | 11.4% |
| 3 | Septage Hauler | 1 | 2,397 | | | 0 | 2,397 | 0.0% |
| 4 | Total System | 22,132 | 1,366,422 | 233,231 | 114,875 | 348,106 | 1,714,528 | 20.3% |

⁽a) Allocated based on each customer class' share of the total number of active taps and excluding Septage Haulers.

E-4 4/26/2024

⁽b) Allocated based on each customer class' share of total billable wastewater volume and excluding Septage Haulers

City of Prescott, Arizona
Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study
Estimated Units of Service
Test Year FY 2028-29

| Test Year | car FY 2028-29 Contributed Wastewater Strength | | | | h | | | | |
|-----------|--|-------------|-----------------------|-----------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|---------|
| | | V | Wastewater Volume BOD | | | D | T: | SS | |
| Line | | Billed/ | Infiltration/ | | Strength | Contribution | Strength | Contribution | |
| No. | Customer Class | Contributed | Inflow | Total | (mg/L) | (lbs) | (mg/L) | (lbs) | Bills |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Residential | 1,013,225 | 302,785 | 1,316,010 | 193 | 1,630,908 | 185 | 1,563,305 | 247,607 |
| 2 | All Non- Residential | 350,799 | 45,320 | 396,119 | 193 | 564,654 | 185 | 541,248 | 17,965 |
| 3 | Septage Hauler | 2,397 | 0 | 2,397 | 5,400 | 107,965 | 6,000 | 119,961 | 12 |
| 4 | Total System | 1,366,422 | 348,105 | 1,714,527 | 202 | 2,303,526 | 195 | 2,224,515 | 265,584 |

City of Prescott, Arizona
Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study
Development of Unit Costs of Service
Test Year FY 2028-29

| - | nr FY 2028-29 | | | | | System _ | Local | Customer | | |
|------|--|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|----------|
| Line | | | | Streng | gth | Sewer | Collector | | | |
| No. | Description | Total | Volume | BOD | TSS | Lines | Sewers | Billing | Other | Effluent |
| | Revenue Requirements - \$ | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Operation and Maintenance Expense | \$11,574,417 | \$3,091,395 | \$2,731,994 | \$2,731,994 | \$597,348 | \$2,421,686 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 2 | Operating Debt Service | 6,253,117 | 1,295,787 | 947,696 | 947,696 | 605,837 | 2,456,101 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Transfers/Loans | -,, | ,, - | , | , | , | ,, - | | | |
| 3 | WIFA Authorized Remaining | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | System Impact Fee Subfund | 6,879,392 | 1,425,567 | 1,042,611 | 1,042,611 | 666,514 | 2,702,089 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | Capital Improvement Subfund | 4,150,511 | 860,079 | 629,034 | 629,034 | 402,125 | 1,630,239 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Total Revenue Requirements | \$28,857,437 | \$6,672,828 | \$5,351,335 | \$5,351,335 | \$2,271,824 | \$9,210,115 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | Revenue Requirement Adjustments | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Miscellaneous Revenue (1) | (\$805,563) | (215,156) | (\$190,143) | (\$190,143) | (\$41,575) | (\$168,546) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 8 | Interfund Loan Receivable | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Interest Income | (36,057) | (9,630) | (8,511) | (8,511) | (1,861) | (7,544) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Operating Fund Reserve Increase (Decrease) | (1,794,932) | (371,951) | (272,032) | (272,032) | (173,903) | (705,014) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Revenue Adjustment for full 12 Months | 514,135 | 106,541 | 77,920 | 77,920 | 49,812 | 201,942 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total Revenue Requirement | | · | | - | | · | | | |
| 12 | Adjustments | (2,122,417) | (490,196) | (392,766) | (392,766) | (167,527) | (679,162) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Total Cost of Service | \$26,735,020 | \$6,182,632 | \$4,958,569 | \$4,958,569 | \$2,104,297 | \$8,530,953 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| 14 | Units of Service | | Kgal | lbs | lbs | Kgal | # of bills | # of bills | # of bills | Kgal |
| 15 | Total Units of Service | _ | 1,714,527 | 2,303,526 | 2,224,515 | 1,714,527 | 265,584 | 265,584 | 265,584 | 409,575 |
| | City Unit Costs of Service - \$/unit (a) | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Operation and Maintenance Expense | | \$1.80 | \$1.19 | \$1.23 | \$0.35 | \$9.12 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 17 | Debt Service | | 0.76 | 0.41 | 0.43 | 0.35 | 9.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 18 | Transfers | | 1.33 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.62 | 16.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 19 | Adjustments | | (0.29) | (0.17) | (0.18) | (0.10) | (2.56) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 20 | Total City Unit Costs | _ | \$3.61 | \$2.15 | \$2.23 | \$1.23 | \$32.12 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |

City of Prescott, Arizona

Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study

Distribution of Costs to Combined Customer Classes

Test Year FY 2028-29

| Line | | | | Streng | zth | System Sewer | Local Collector | |
|------|--|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------|
| No. | Customer Class | Customer Class Total Volume | Volume | BOD | TSS | Lines | Sewers | Billing |
| 1 | Unit Costs of Service (Full Service) - \$/unit | | \$3.61 | \$2.15 | \$2.23 | \$1.23 | \$32.12 | \$0.00 |
| | Residential | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Units | | 1,316,010 | 1,630,908 | 1,563,305 | 1,316,010 | 247,607 | 247,607 |
| 3 | Cost of Service - \$ | \$21,309,646 | \$4,745,570 | \$3,510,691 | \$3,484,696 | \$1,615,184 | \$7,953,505 | \$0 |
| | Nonresidential (All) | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Units | | 396,119 | 564,654 | 541,248 | 396,119 | 17,965 | 17,965 |
| 5 | Cost of Service - \$ | \$4,913,597 | \$1,428,417 | \$1,215,473 | \$1,206,473 | \$486,171 | \$577,063 | \$0 |
| | Septage Hauler | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Units | | 2,397 | 107,965 | 119,961 | 2,397 | 12 | 12 |
| 7 | Cost of Service - \$ | \$511,777 | \$8,645 | \$232,405 | \$267,400 | \$2,942 | \$385 | \$0 |
| 8 | Total System | \$26,735,020 | \$6,182,632 | \$4,958,569 | \$4,958,569 | \$2,104,297 | \$8,530,953 | \$0 |

Customer

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study Comparison of Customer Class Cost of Service to Revenue at Existing Rates Test Year FY 2028-29

| | | | Revenue at | Indicated |
|------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Line | | Cost of | Existing | Revenue |
| No. | Customer Class | Service | Rates | Adjustment |
| | | | | |
| 1 | Residential | \$21,309,646 | \$13,138,221 | 62.2% |
| 2 | Nonresidential (general) | 4,913,597 | 2,974,608 | 65.2% |
| 3 | Septage Hauler | 511,777 | 242,727 | 110.8% |
| 4 | Total System | \$26,735,020 | \$16,355,555 | 63.5% |

E-8 4/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study COS Assumptions Test Year 2028-29

| Historical and Projected Flo | Historical and Projected Flow and Strength Data | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------|---------|--------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Select year the year that best represents the WWTP flows and strengths for the test year. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Select Year 2022 Calendar Year 2022 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | Flow (mgd) | BOD | BOD | TSS | TSS | | | | | |
| | mgd | mg/l | lbs/day | mg/l | lbs/day | | | | | |
| Airport | 2.21 | 194.02 | 3,568 | 144.74 | 2,662 | | | | | |
| Sundog | 2.25 | 209.97 | 3,944 | 174.40 | 3,276 | | | | | |
| Test Year | 4.46 | 202.08 | 3,758 | 159.73 | 2,972 | | | | | |
| Test Year (CY2022) 4.46 101 3,756 80 2,969 | | | | | | | | | | |

1084746.179

| Inch-Miles of Sewers | Percen |
|--------------------------|---------|
| Trunk Related | 20% |
| Local Collector Related | 80% |
| Infiltration and Inflow | Percent |
| Percent Customer Related | 67% |
| Percent Volume Related | 33% |

| Sanitary Sewe | Line Inventory | | |
|---------------|----------------|------------|-------|
| Dia.(in.) | Length (Ft) | Inch-feet | |
| 2 | 2,803 | 5,606 | |
| 3 | 10,786 | 32,358 | |
| 4 | 41,213 | 164,852 | |
| 6 | 401,658 | 2,409,948 | |
| 8 | 1,309,528 | 10,476,224 | |
| 10 | 94,772 | 947,720 | 80.2% |
| 12 | 114,952 | 1,379,424 | |
| 14 | 17,438 | 244,132 | |
| 15 | 15,799 | 236,985 | |
| 16 | 5,470 | 87,520 | |
| 18 | 14,067 | 253,206 | |
| 21 | 10,136 | 212,856 | |
| 24 | 12,693 | 304,632 | |
| 30 | 624 | 18,720 | |
| 36 | 2,783 | 100,188 | 19.8% |
| 48 | 13015 | 624,720 | |
| Total | 2,067,737 | 17,499,091 | |
| | | | |

E - 9 4/26/2024

APPENDIX F: WASTEWATER RATE DESIGN

City of Prescott, Arizona
Wastewater Impact Fee and Rate Study
Wastewater Rate Implementation Summary

Proposed Rates

| Line No | Description | Current | 7/1/2024 | 1/1/2026 | 1/1/2027 | 1/1/2028 | 1/1/2029 |
|---------|------------------------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1 | Annual % Increase in Revenue-Rates | | 18% | 17% | 8% | 7% | 4% |
| | Manthly Camina Chausa | | | | | | |
| | Monthly Service Charge | | | | | | |
| 2 | Residential | \$21.47 | \$25.33 | \$29.64 | \$32.01 | \$34.25 | \$35.62 |
| 3 | Nonresidential (General) | 21.47 | 25.33 | 29.64 | 32.01 | 34.25 | 35.62 |
| | Volume Charge per 1,000 gallons | | | | | | |
| 4 | Residential | \$7.72 | \$8.96 | \$10.35 | \$11.11 | \$11.83 | \$12.27 |
| 5 | Nonresidential (General) | 7.38 | 8.72 | 10.20 | 11.02 | 11.80 | 12.27 |
| 6 | Septage Hauler | 101.25 | 200.00 | 206.00 | 212.18 | 218.55 | 225.11 |

F-1 4/26/2024

City of Prescott, Arizona
Development Impact Fee and Rate Study
Charges by Class - Residential
Test Year FY 2028-29

| | | | \$ |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | \$21,309,646 |
| | | | |
| | Monthly | | |
| | Service | Service Charge | |
| Bills | Charge | Revenue | |
| 247,607 | \$35.62 | \$8,819,761 | |
| | | | \$8,819,761 |
| | | | \$12,489,885 |
| kgal) | | | |
| Volume | Billed | Volume | |
| cos | Volume | Charge | |
| \$13,356,141 | 1,013,225 | \$12.27 | |
| | | | \$12,432,273 |
| | | _ | (\$57,612) |
| | 247,607 kgal) Volume COS | Service Bills Charge 247,607 \$35.62 kgal) Volume Billed COS Volume | Service Service Charge Charge Revenue 247,607 \$35.62 \$8,819,761 kgal) Volume Billed Volume COS Volume Charge |

City of Prescott, Arizona
Development Impact Fee and Rate Study
Charges by Class - Non-Residential
Test Year FY 2028-29

| Non-Residential (General) | | | | \$ |
|--------------------------------|-------------|---------|----------------|-------------|
| Total Class Cost of Service | _ | | _ | \$4,913,597 |
| Service Charge Revenue | | | | |
| 0 | | Monthly | | |
| | | Service | Service Charge | |
| | Bills | Charge | Revenue | |
| All Users | 17,965 | \$35.62 | \$639,913 | |
| Total Service Charge Revenue | | | | \$639,913 |
| Volume Revenue Required | | | _ | \$4,273,684 |
| Calculated Volume Rate (\$ per | kgal) | | | |
| | Volume | Billed | Volume | |
| | cos | Volume | Charge | |
| All Users | \$4,273,684 | 350,799 | \$12.27 | |
| Total Volume Revenue | | | | \$4,304,308 |
| Revenue Surplus/(Deficit) | | | = | \$30,624 |

City of Prescott, Arizona
Development Impact Fee and Rate Study
Charges by Class - Septage Hauler
Test Year FY 2028-29

| Septage Hauler | | | | \$ |
|--------------------------------|-----------|--------|-------------|-----------|
| Total Class Cost of Service | | | | \$511,777 |
| | | | | |
| Calculated Volume Rate (\$ per | kgal) | | | |
| | Volume | Billed | Volume | |
| | cos | Volume | Charge | |
| All Users | \$511,777 | 2,397 | \$225.11 | |
| Total Volume Revenue | | | | \$539,657 |
| Revenue Surplus/(Deficit) | | | | \$27,880 |
| | | | | |